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# Introduction

This document is the report of the following email discussion.

* [POST127][505][MBS] RRC CR (Huawei)

 Scope: Update the RRC CR with the agreements from the meeting, discuss the related FFSes.

 Intended outcome: Agreeable RRC CR in R2-2407736

 Deadline: Short

Please provide your input before August 29, 02:00 UTC. After that, an updated RRC CR will be provided based on the conclusions in this document.

# Contact information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name (Email)** |
| CATT | Rui Zhou(zhourui@catt.cn) |
| Nokia | Jarkko.t.koskela@nokia.com |
|  |  |

# Discussion on the spec impact of RAN2#127 agreements

## **3.1 Agreement 1**

|  |
| --- |
| * When the UE which was configured to receive MBS multicast in INACTIVE reselects to a new cell where there is no SIB24, it should trigger RRC resume. Include the change in the post-meeting e-mail discussion for RRC.
 |

This agreement is discussed first in this offline, because it may impact the discussion on other agreements.

During the online, some companies think UE may miss paging during the cell re-selection to an MCCH-less cell. So, to take pre-caution, the above agreement was made that UE always resume upon re-selecting an MCCH-less cell. The proposed TP for this agreement from Rapp is:

|  |
| --- |
| 5.2.2.4.2 Actions upon reception of the *SIB1*……4> if in RRC\_INACTIVE and the forwarded information does not trigger message transmission by upper layers:5> if the serving cell does not belong to the configured *ran-NotificationAreaInfo*:6> initiate an RNA update as specified in 5.3.13.8;5> if configured to receive MBS multicast in RRC\_INACTIVE:6> if SIB24 is not scheduled in SIB1 in the new cell (i.e., different from the cell where the UE received multicast in RRC\_CONNECTED) after cell selection or in the cell after cell reselection:7> initiate RRC connection resume procedure for multicast reception as specified in 5.3.13.1d; |

**Question 1: Do you think the above spec change is agreeable?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments if any** |
| CATT | See comment | The agreement is only on the reselection case.But UE behavior in the cell selection is impacted by the change as well.  |
| Nokia  | Yes | To our understanding also cell selection should be covered – it has exactly same issue. |
|  |  |  |

## **3.2 Agreement 2 and 3**

|  |
| --- |
| * Upon receiving group paging which indicates to allow the inactive multicast reception, if multicast MCCH is not present, UE initiates RRC resume if it was not configured to receive multicast in RRC\_CONNECTED. FFS the exact change.
* Upon receiving group paging which indicates to allow inactive multicast reception when UE is receiving multicast in RRC\_INACTIVE, UE checks whether the selected or reselected cell is multicast MCCH-less cell before reading multicast MCCH. FFS whether this is already covered by the current specs.
 |

Rapporteur’s understanding:

According to Agreement 1, if UE moved to an MCCH-less cell, UE would have resumed. So, by the time UE receives the paging in RRC\_INACTIVE, there is no case that this is an MCCH-less cell. On the other hand, if UE didn’t move, and this is an MCCH-less cell, NW shouldn’t have told the UE to stay in INACTIVE for multicast reception if no PTM configuration was provided. So for both cases mentioned in Agreement 2 and 3, MCCH is always present and the UE doesn’t need to check MCCH presence.

Based on the above understanding, the following change is proposed:

|  |
| --- |
| 3> else:4> start monitoring the G-RNTI(s), if configured, corresponding to the *TMGI(s)*;4> if the UE was notified to stop monitoring the G-RNTI(s) for all the joined multicast sessions that are configured for reception in RRC\_INACTIVE:5> start monitoring the Multicast MCCH-RNTI;5> acquire the *MBSMulticastConfiguration* message on multicast MCCH; |

**Question 2: Do you think the above spec change is agreeable?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments if any** |
| CATT | OK |  |
| Nokia | Partly | We agree on deletion of “”Not so sure that we should delete “5> if multicast MCCH is present” as then UE would start monitoring MCCH-RNTI even in cell not sending MCCH (paging was received in same cell where UE was released to INACTIVE), right?But we think also change from CATT R2-2406333 should be includedResulting in If we don’t have purple change above then UE wouldn’t resume connection if MCCH is not present (in case paging was received in same cell where UE was released)? |
|  |  |  |

## **3.2 Agreement 4**

|  |
| --- |
| * When UE initiates RRC resume procedure with resumeCause set to mt-SDT, it should start monitoring G-RNTI(s) of joined MBS session(s) indicated by the TMGI(s) included in the paging message. FFS if there is spec impact (discuss in post-meeting e-mail discussion).
 |

Rapporteur’s understanding:

There was a history discussion in the main session about the collision between mt-sdt paging and group paging. It was about the following case 1:

Case1: When UE receives both mt-sdt paging and group paging that wants UE to go to CONNECTED in the same paging message, UE will use mt-access instead of mt-sdt as the resume cause to make sure NW doesn’t send UE back into RRC\_INACTIVE.

The Agreement 4 here refers to the following case 2:

Case2: When UE receives both mt-sdt paging and group paging that wants UE to stay in INACTIVE in the same paging message, UE should use mt-sdt and NW may send UE back into RRC\_INACTIVE. **But according to the current condition, UE will not start monitoring G-RNTI in INACTIVE (see the red text in Option 2)**.

During the discussion at the meeting, there were two options to solve this case 2:

Option 1: Add a note for this case to say UE should start monitoring G-RNTI in INACTIVE to avoid big spec impact. Potential change (based on the TP proposed toAgreement 2 and 3):

|  |
| --- |
| 3> else:4> start monitoring the G-RNTI(s), if configured, corresponding to the *TMGI(s)*;4> if the UE was notified to stop monitoring the G-RNTI(s) for all the joined multicast sessions that are configured for reception in RRC\_INACTIVE:5> start monitoring the Multicast MCCH-RNTI;5> acquire the *MBSMulticastConfiguration* message on multicast MCCH;NOTE X: In case UE initiates the RRC connection resumption procedure with *resumeCause* set to *mt-SDT* and NW sends UE back to RRC\_INACTIVE, UE configured to receive MBS multicast in RRC\_INACTIVE should start receiving multicast in RRC\_INACTIVE if *pagingGroupList* was included in the same paging message with *mt-SDT* indication telling the UE to stay in RRC\_INACTIVE for multicast reception.  |

Option 2: Change the procedural text to make sure UE starts monitoring G-RNTI in INACTIVE. Potential change (based on the TP proposed toAgreement 2 and 3):

|  |
| --- |
| 1> if in RRC\_INACTIVE and the UE has joined one or more MBS session(s) indicated by the *TMGI(s)* included in the *pagingGroupList*:2> if *PagingRecordList* is not included in the *Paging* message; or2> if none of the *ue-Identity* included in any of the *PagingRecord* matches the UE identity allocated by upper layers or the UE's stored *fullI-RNTI*:3> if the UE is not configured to receive multicast in RRC\_INACTIVE for at least one of the MBS sessions indicated by the *TMGI(s)* that the UE has joined; or3> if *inactiveReceptionAllowed* is not included for at least one of the MBS sessions indicated by the *TMGI(s)* that the UE has joined:4> initiate the RRC connection resumption procedure according to 5.3.13 with *resumeCause* set as below:5> if the UE is configured by upper layers with Access Identity 1:6> set *resumeCause* to *mps-PriorityAccess*;5> else if the UE is configured by upper layers with Access Identity 2:6> set *resumeCause* to *mcs-PriorityAccess*;5> else if the UE is configured by upper layers with one or more Access Identities equal to 11-15:6> set *resumeCause* to *highPriorityAcces*s;5> else:6> set *resumeCause* to *mt-Access*;3> else:4> start monitoring the G-RNTI(s), if configured, corresponding to the *TMGI(s)*;4> if the UE was notified to stop monitoring the G-RNTI(s) for all the joined multicast sessions that are configured for reception in RRC\_INACTIVE:5> start monitoring the Multicast MCCH-RNTI;5> acquire the *MBSMulticastConfiguration* message on multicast MCCH;4> else if the UE was notified to stop monitoring the G-RNTI for at least one multicast session for which the PTM configuration was not included in *RRCRelease* message:5> acquire the *MBSMulticastConfiguration* message on multicast MCCH;2> else if the *ue-Identity* included in any of the *PagingRecord* matches the UE identity allocated by upper layers:3> forward the *TMGI(s)* to the upper layers;3> if UE initiated the RRC connection resumption procedure with *resumeCause* set to *mt-SDT* and was released to RRC\_INACTIVE:4> start monitoring the G-RNTI(s), if configured, corresponding to the *TMGI(s)*;4> if the UE was notified to stop monitoring the G-RNTI(s) for all the joined multicast sessions that are configured for reception in RRC\_INACTIVE:5> start monitoring the Multicast MCCH-RNTI;5> acquire the *MBSMulticastConfiguration* message on multicast MCCH; |

**Question 3: Which option do you prefer?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Which option is preferred?** | **Comments/suggestions if any** |
| CATT | Option 1 |  |
| Nokia | Option 1 | Option 2 could also work, but the current wording seems misleading. We believe that this is anyway a corner case. |
|  |  |  |

# Summary

To be added

# Reference

[1] R2-2407575 Report from session on R18 MBS, R18 QoE and R19 XR