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**1. Overall Description:**

Based on the following WID objective of R19 Mob enhancement, RAN2 discussed the aspect of inter-CU LTM with key-change and views the following options as directions for handling the key change as part of inter-CU LTM cell switch:

|  |
| --- |
| * + Specify support for subsequent LTM mobility procedures aiming to avoid RRC configuration between cell switches as per Rel-18 LTM
		- Coordination with SA3 needed with respect to security key handling
 |

:

**Option 1:** Use new information in MAC CE to deliver the security info. Whether the UE uses horizontal or vertical derivation is derived from this new information in MAC CE (neither integrity protected nor ciphered).

 **Option 1A:** NCC value to use is included as MAC CE parameter to be used at inter-CU LTM execution.

 **Option 1B:** UE is preconfigured with a NCC value list and association to the index in a secured way (in RRC), and the index of NCC is included included as MAC CE parameter..

**Option 2:** Similar to Rel-18 S-CPAC key update mechanism, the UE is preconfigured from the source gNB with a NCC list **per CU**, and UE chooses the first unused NCC for the target CU upon inter-CU LTM execution. It is expected that the participating gNBs (CUs) would need to be aware of the list and how the UE applies the list.

**Option 3:** The participating gNBs are expected to be updated with new K-gNB\* with the **next** to be used NCC after the execution of the **current** inter-CU LTM cell switch. UE and CN are aware of how the UE would use the next NCC value.

 **Option 3A:** UE determines the following NCC to use by itself (eg., increase by 1) after subsequent inter-CU LTM execution.

 **Option 3B:** UE is preconfigured by CN (via source gNB RRC signalling) with a NCC value list and UE chooses the first unused NCC as the next NCC value.

**Option 4:** After every inter-CU LTM cell switch execution, for vertical derivation based security change, using RRC, the UE is provided with the NCC to be used for the next inter-gNB CU LTM switch. This implies that every inter-CU LTM switch which is vertically derived security key based, a prior RRC message is needed to inform the UE which NCC to use for this inter-CU LTM switch.

RAN2 assumes that both horizontal and vertical derivation used in L3 handover would need to be supported for inter-CU LTM, however RAN2 wonders how horizontal derivation would fit into options 2 and 3.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

RAN2 would like to inform SA3 that RAN2 will focus first on inter-CU LTM without DC before considering the cases with DC configured. And so the above are intended for inter-CU LTM without DC case.

**2. Actions:**

**To SA3**

**ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully asks SA3 to take the above information related to security key change for Inter-CU LTM into account and comment on the below questions:

1. RAN2 requests SA3 to inform RAN2 if any of the above options are not acceptable from security perspective (including the assessment on the impact from needed signalling between participating network nodes).
2. From SA3 perspective, among the acceptable options from option 2 and option 3, RAN2 requests if horizontal derivation is also needed/allowed for RAN2 to specify.
3. If Option 1 is acceptable, RAN2 requests SA3 whether, via MAC CE, the change of security algorithm or the change of key set indicator is to be supported in inter-CU LTM.
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