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# 1 Introduction

This contribution is aimed at collecting companies’ views on the open issues for eMBS UE capability, which is associated with the following post-email discussion:

* [Post123bis][614][eMBS] UE capabilities CRs update and open issues (vivo)

Scope: Running CRs update and open issues

Intended outcome:

* Endorsed running CRs
* List of open issues for UE capabilities (separate document)

Deadline: Long

# 2 Participants

To facilitate this offline discussion amongst the delegates, would you please fill in your name and email address in the table below?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Delegate name | E-mail address |
| Yitao Mo (Stephen) | yitao.mo@vivo.com |
| Umesh Phuyal | uphuyal@qti.qualcomm.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Discussion

In R2-2309567, the capability CR rapporteur recommends companies further consider and discuss whether and how to define some minimum capability requirements for eMBS regarding RLC and PDCP-related capability, similar to the Rel-17 broadcast feature (the corresponding features are highlighted below).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 25-2 | Broadcast reception | It is optional for UE to support broadcast reception (RAN1 FG 33-1) as specified in TS 38.331 [2]. A UE that supports the feature shall also support:  - 4 broadcast MRBs as the minimum number;  - PDCP 12 bits SN;  - ROHC with profiles 0x0000, 0x0001 and 0x0002;  - 4 ROHC context sessions;  - RLC UM with 6 bits SN;  - RLC UM with 12 bits SN;  - DRX with long DRX cycle for MBS broadcast as specified in TS 38.321 [10]. |

In the rapporteur's understanding, some minimum RLC and PDCP-related capability requirements are needed for multicast reception in the RRC\_INACTIVE state, considering the mobility case (where the network cannot know the radio capability of a UE reselected to the current serving cell). Based on this assumption, the NW can configure a more appropriate (e.g. configuring ROCH profiles of 0x0002 for UDP/IP) PTM configuration to facilitate multicast reception. The following components are assumed for eMBS (Note that the maximum supported number (i.e. 16 for non-RedCap UEs and 8 for RedCap UE) of DRBs are shared for multicast MRB and unicast DRB. Thus it is assumed that there is no need to define a minimum number for multicast MRB in RRC\_INACTIVE state. From UE point of view, the UE capability constraint for the DRB number is not changed after going into the RRC\_INACTIVE state),

- Support 12-bit length of PDCP sequence number;

- Support of ROHC profiles 0x0000, 0x0001, and 0x0002;

- Support 4 ROHC header compression context sessions as the minimum number;

- Support UM MRB with 12-bit length of RLC sequence number;

- Support UM MRB with 6-bit length of RLC sequence number;

Further, views and comments from companies are warmly welcome.

**Q1: Do companies agree that the above-mentioned RLC and PDCP-related capability components can be considered as the minimum capability requirements for eMBS?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No/Comments** | **Detailed comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Last but not least, companies are invited to provide other open issues regarding the eMBS UE capability, which are not covered, if any.

**Q2: Are there any additional open issues for eMBS UE capability?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Detailed comments** |
| Qualcomm | Yes | It should be made clear that the existing rel17 mcast capabilities are ONLY for CONNECTED mode and do not automatically apply in rel18 INACTIVE mcast. E.g. if a UE indicates support of ***maxModulationOrderForMulticast-r17,* that should not mean it supports those for INACTIVE mcast. Same applies for all other -r17 mcast capabilities. Then the question is should we clarify each -r17 or capture somewhere common the above principle? E.g.** 38.306: ***sps-Multicast-r17, s***hould we add a NOTE in rel18 spec that SPS is not supported for multicast reception in INACTIVE state?  Further discussion is needed on which of the rel-17 parameters like ***dynamicSlotRepetitionMulticastNTN-SharedSpectrumChAccess-r17, dynamicSlotRepetitionMulticastTN-NonSharedSpectrumChAccess-r17, maxModulationOrderForMulticast-r17, etc.*** apply as it is in CONN, and which one need new capabilities for INACTIVE compared to CONNECTED. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |