|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Clause | Comment | Rapp Response |
| ZTE | 4.2.2 | The “UE ” can be removed.  Figure 4.2.2-4: Example of functional view of SRAP sublayer at PC5 interface in U2U Relay UE case | Thanks, it is removed |
| ZTE | 4.2.2, | We are fine with the view that only one SRAP entity at U2U Relay UE.  If so, we think some text should be updated accordingly. Otherwise, it seems there are two SRAP entities on each PC5 interface respectively.  E.g. in 4.2.2,  “For U2U data packet, the receiving part on the SRAP entity of PC5 interface between the U2U Relay UE and the U2U Remote UE delivers SRAP Data PDUs to the transmitting part on the SRAP entity of PC5 interface between the U2U Relay UE and the peer U2U Remote UE. “ the sentence can be updated to  “For U2U data packets, the receiving part on the SRAP entity delivers SRAP Data PDUs received from U2U remote UE to the transmitting part on the SRAP entity to transmit the SRAP Data PDUs to the peer U2U Remote UE.”  Similar changes may be needed in clause 5.x.2, 5.x.3. | Thanks, our reading of the current wording can reflect “only one SRAP entity at U2U Relay UE” by understanding it as 2 parts on the one SRAP entity:  the receiving part (on the SRAP entity) of PC5 interface between the U2U Relay UE and the U2U Remote UE delivers SRAP Data PDUs to the transmitting part on the SRAP entity of PC5 interface between the U2U Relay UE and the peer U2U Remote UE.  And we are also open to other wording suggestions if any. |
| ZTE | 5.x.3.1 | Add “ UE” in the following sentence.  Determine the egress link on PC5 interface towards the peer U2U remote UE based on the UE ID pair in the U2U SRAP Data PDU. | Thanks, added |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | 4.2.2 | “On the U2N Remote UE, U2U Relay UE and U2U Remote UE, the SRAP sublayer contains only one SRAP entity at the PC5 interface.”  “U2N Remote UE” seems not needed, since it already exists in legacy spec. | Thanks, it is removed. |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |