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# Background

The following post meeting email discussion has been planned during RAN2#123bis:

**[Post123bis][409][POS] Rel-18 positioning MAC CRs (Huawei)**

 Scope: Review the running CRs and develop open issue lists.

 Intended outcome: Draft CRs and open issue list for next meeting

 Deadline: Medium (2 weeks)

NOTE that we have the following guidelines from the chair on the running CR email discussions

|  |
| --- |
| Guidance for all post-meeting discussions on running CRs/open issues (also applicable to AI 7.9.1):* Update the running CR with agreements from the meeting
* Rapporteur to propose resolutions for straightforward open issues which can already be included in the running CR
* Get input on stage-3 issues that require further input from companies to make a decision:
* Focus on stage-3 issues which are better handled via offline, e.g. signaling details, parameter values/ranges, NOT functionality discussion
* For these issues, the discussion rapporteur submits a report with proposals to the next meeting, and input via company Tdocs should be avoided
* Identify the remaining open issues that need to be solved for WI completion in the next meeting
* Company Tdocs for the next meeting should focus on these issues
 |

This contribution intends to list the remaining functional open issues for the completion of the WI. Companies are invited to take this open issue list as a refence for their submission of tdocs for the next RAN2 meeting. Companies are also invited to list the open issues that they think are not included within the current list

# 2 Sidelink positioning open issue list

The open issues related to the functional aspects of the MAC spec for sidelink positioning are listed as follows. Rapp would like to understand if there are other functional open issues that companies think need to address.

***Question: Do companies think that there are other open issues to be addressed for sidelink positioning in MAC spec?***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies  | Comments |
|  |  |

5.4.2.2 HARQ process

Editor’s NOTE: FFS conditions for uplink transmission prioritizing over sidelink transmission.

5.4.4 Scheduling Request

Editor’s NOTE: FFS the prioritization between SR triggeded by UL-SCH and SL-SCH. FFS the prioritization between SR triggered by SL-SCH and SL-SCH

Editor’s NOTE: FFS additional conditions for SR cancellation.

## 5.8 Transmission and reception without dynamic scheduling

Editor’s NOTE: FFS whether mulitple CGs can be configued for SL-PRS transmission; whether the number of maximum SL-PRS transmissions on SL-PRS dedicated resource pool with CG is needed

Editor’s NOTE: Whether the above formula for determining the CG occasion for CG type 1 for SL-SCH can be reused for SL-PRS

#### 5.22.1.1 SL Grant reception and SCI transmission

Editor’s NOTE: FFS whether the MAC layer can determine to select multiple SL-PRS transmission when SL-PRS is triggered either by the peer UE or the UE's own upper layer.

Editor’s NOTE: FFS whether the MAC layer can determine to select single SL-PRS transmission when SL-PRS transmission is triggered by its own upper layer or by peer UE.

Editor’s NOTE: FFS SL-PRS transmission on SL-PRS shared resource pool when the MAC PDU has been positively acked for resource allocation scheme 1 and scheme 2.

Editor’s NOTE: FFS the resource selection on SL-PRS shared resource pool when both data corresponding to logical channel with PDB and SL-PRS with delay budget are transmitted; or when there is no data corresponding to logical channel and there is only SL-PRS delay budget.

Editor’s NOTE: FFS how the MAC entity determines the SL-PRS delay budget.

Editor’s NOTE: FFS minimum time gap requirement on SL-PRS shared resource pool.

Editor's NOTE: FFS how the SL-PRS resource is determined based on the list of RRC configued SL-PRS configurations, priority, PHY sensing and MAC layer random resource selection for resource allocation scheme 2.

Editor’s NOTE: FFS whether SL-PRS occasion on SL-PRS shared resource pool can be cleared when the MAC PDU has been positively acked for resource allocation scheme 2.

Editor’s NOTE: FFS whether SL-PRS occasion on SL-PRS shared resource pool can be cleared when the MAC PDU has been positively acked for resource allocation scheme 1.

Editor’s NOTE: FFS whether SL-PRS priority is determined by priority in the peer UE's UCI or the UE's own higher layer when the trigger comes from the peer UE's SCI.

Editor’s NOTE: FFS how SL-PRS priority is determined when SL-PRS is triggered by the UE's own higher layer.

#### 5.22.1.2a Re-evaluation and Pre-emption

#### 5.22.1.2b Re-selection for using a received resource conflict indication

Editor’s NOTE: The same issue as section 5.22.1.1 for the relationship between remaining PDB and SL-PRS delay budget for resource selection on SL-PRS shared resource pool.

##### 5.22.1.3.1 Sidelink HARQ Entity

Editor’s NOTE: The cast type indicator is determined as a result of the logical channel prioritization as in section 5.22.1.4 and should not be indicated by upper layer. There might be an issue with the legacy sidelink communication spec and FFS how this can be resolved.

Editor’s NOTE: FFS how the SL-PRS resource ID is determined and its impacts to MAC.

5.22.1.5 Scheduling Request

Editor’s NOTE: FFS the other conditions for the cancellation of the MAC CE.

#### 5.22.1.xx SL-PRS transmission on SL-PRS dedicated resource pool

Editor’s NOTE: FFS how to maintain the resource reselection counter for resource selection in SL-PRS dedicated resource pool.

Editor's NOTE: FFS whether the condition that it is prioritized by higher layer is still needed for SL-PRS prioritized over uplink transmission is.

#### 5.22.2.2 Sidelink HARQ operation and SL-PRS reception on SL-PRS shared resource pool

Editor’s NOTE: FFS how the PFSCH is generated when SL-PRS is transmitted on shared resource pool.

#### 6.1.3.xx SL-PRS resource request MAC CE

Editor’s NOTE: FFS whether the tuple of destination ID and priority can be sent by a list of multiple items within the MAC CE.

Editor’s NOTE: FFS the list of destination IDs the UE request for resource in RRC message.

# 3 LPHAP open issue list

The open issues related to the functional aspects of the MAC spec for LPHAP are listed as follows. Rapp would like to understand if there are other functional open issues that companies think need to address.

***Question: Do companies think that there are other open issues to be addressed for LPHAP in MAC spec?***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies  | Comments |
|  |  |

5.26.2 TA validation for SRS transmission in RRC\_INACTIVE

Editor’s NOTE: FFS the pathloss reference threshold condition for positioning SRS transmission when validity area is configured.

# 4 CA positioning open issue list

The open issues related to the functional aspects of the MAC spec for CA positioning are listed as follows. Rapp would like to understand if there are other functional open issues that companies think need to address.

***Question: Do companies think that there are other open issues to be addressed for CA positioning in MAC spec?***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies  | Comments |
|  |  |

5.26.2 TA validation for SRS transmission in RRC\_INACTIVE

Editor's NOTE: FFS TA validation for positioning SRS transmission in RRC\_INACTIVE with positioning SRS bandwidth aggregation

# 5 REDCAP positioning open issue list

The open issues related to the functional aspects of the MAC spec for REDCAP positioning are listed as follows. Rapp would like to understand if there are other functional open issues that companies think need to address.

***Question: Do companies think that there are other open issues to be addressed for REDCAP positioning in MAC spec?***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies  | Comments |
|  |  |

3.1 Definitions

Editor's NOTE: FFS whether this feature of SRS for positioning Tx frequency hopping is only limited to RedCap UE or applicable for the other UE types.

5.15.1 Downlink and Uplink

Editor's NOTE: Whether the separate BWP configuration is inside each existing data BWP or outside any data BWP and its impacts to BWP operation in MAC spec, with the following R1 agreement: For RedCap UEs, support SRS for positioning frequency hopping by Using a configuration separate from the existing BWP configuration

# 6 Carrier phase positioning open issue list

The open issues related to the functional aspects of the MAC spec for carrier phase positioning are listed as follows. Rapp would like to understand if there are other functional open issues that companies think need to address.

***Question: Do companies think that there are other open issues to be addressed for carrier phase positioning in MAC spec?***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Companies  | Comments |
|  |  |

Editor's NOTE: FFS For simultaneous transmission of UL SRS from a target UE and a PRU, is there a need for gNB to indicate the time window(s) directly to UE

# 7 Summary

TBD