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# Introduction

This is to check and update the Rel-18 positioning CRs to 37.355, and provide an open issue list for next meeting.

* [Post123bis][408][POS] Rel-18 LPP running CRs (CATT)

 Scope: Review the running CRs and develop open issue lists.

 Intended outcome: Draft CRs and open issue list for next meeting

 Deadline: Medium (2 weeks)

# Discussion on LPP running CR for RAT-dependent integrity

Based on the comments raised in the [AT123bis][403][POS] LPP CRs, the data structure of RAT-dependent integrity should be clarified and agreed at first. Hence, in this offline the data structure will be discussed firstly to achieve consistent generally.

|  |
| --- |
| **Proposal 1: The data structure of NR integrity can be grouped as below, following the GNSS integrity:*** NR-PositionCalculationAssistance
	+ nr-IntegrityServiceParameters-r18
		- irMinimum-r18
		- irMaximum-r18
	+ nr-IntegrityServiceAlertInfo [256]
		- rtd-ErrorDoNotUse-r18
		- trp-LocationErrorDoNotUse-r18
* NR-RTD-Info
	+ rtd-IntegrityParameters
		- probOnsetRTDFault-r18
		- meanRTDFaultDuration-r18
		- rtdErrorCorrelationTime-r18
	+ integrityReferenceRTD-InfoBounds
	+ RTD-InfoList[256]
		- dl-PRS-ID
		- IntegrityRTD-InfoBounds
			* RTDInfoError-r18
			* stdDevRTDInfoError-r18
				+ value-r18
				+ resolution-r18

 * NR-TRP-LocationInfo
	+ location-IntegrityParameters
		- trpErrorCorrelationTime-r18
		- probOnsetTRPFault-r18
		- [FFS]meanTRPFaultDuration-r18
	+ integrityReferencePointLocationBounds
		- EllipsoidPointWithAltitudeBounds
		- HighAccuracyEllipsoidPointWithAltitudeBounds
	+ trp-LocationInfoList[256]
		- dl-PRS-ID
		- integrityTRP-LocationBounds
		- trp-DL-PRS-ResourceSets[2]
			* integrityDL-PRS-ResourceSet-ARP-LocationBounds
			* dl-PRS-Resource-ARP-List[64]
				+ integrityDL-PRS-Resource-ARP-LocationBounds-r18
 |

**Question 1: Companies are invited to provide their comments on the above data structure of RAT-dependent integrity.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

**Question 2: Companies are invited to provide their comments on the LPP running CR for RAT-dependent integrity in the following table.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Excerpted spec with issues** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary**

In view of LPP, the following is the analyzation on the leftover issues of RAT-dependent integrity.

1. FFS on whether and how to capture the bound, alerts, residual risks, correlation time of beam related error sources.
2. In *NR-TRP-LocationInfo( on TRP Location Error)*:
3. *meanTRPFaultDuration* is FFS because it may not be needed for TRP locationinfo.
4. *trpErrorCorrelationTime* is FFS. For a stationary TRP, the correlation time of TRP positioning errors can be seen as Infinity by default.
5. Mean values of *ReferencePointBounds* and *RelativeLocationBounds* are FFS. They can be considered to be zeros by default. According to RAN1 LS: From RAN1’s perspective, zero is a valid possible option for the mean value for the overbound Gaussian distribution for the error sources listed in Table 6.1.1-2 in TR 38.859.
6. Value rangs of stdDev of ReferencePointBounds and RelativeLocationBounds are FFS. They may be determined by the value ranges of existing fields corresponding to quality information (e.g., nr-TimingQuality, rtd-Quality-r16) and uncertainty information (e.g., LocationUncertainty-r16) can be reused as a reference to derive the value ranges for the parameters (e.g., standard deviation) for the overbound Gaussian distribution for the error sources listed in Table 6.1.1-2 in TR 38.859.

**Question 3: Companies are invited to provide their comments on the open issue for LPP spec for RAT-dependent integrity in the following table.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

# Discussion on LPP running CR for Carrier Phase Positioning

**Question 1: Companies are invited to provide their comments on the LPP running CR for Carrier Phase Positioning in the following table.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Excerpted spec with issues** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary**

TBD

In view of LPP, the following is the analyzation on the leftover issues of Carrier Phase Positioning.

1. PRU related issues:
2. FFS all PRU measurements are required, or just the carrier phase. Wait for RAN1 reply LS.
3. The nr-pru-relativelocation is FFS, considering the movement of PRU.
4. The maxinum number TRP for measurement list from PRU is FFS.
5. Indicated DL-PRS time window aspect:
6. FFS all measurements are performed in the window or just carrier phase based on the reply LS from RAN1.
7. FFS there are multiple time windows associated with one resourceSetID or only one time window assocaited with resourceSetID. Wait for RAN1 reply LS.
8. The measurement report aspect:
9. the value of PhaseQuality is FFS, waiting for the further input from RAN1 and RAN4.

The number of report CarrierPhaseMeasurementElement is no more FFS, according to RAN1 further agreement.

Agreement

Subject to UE’s capability, if a UE Rx-Tx time difference/DL RSTD measurement is obtained with Nsample (=2, 4) samples, as defined in TS 38.133, the UE Rx-Tx time difference/DL RSTD measurement can be associated with (i.e., reported together with) up to Nsample RSCP/RSCPD measurements.

**Question 2: Companies are invited to provide their comments on the open issue for LPP spec for Carrier Phase Positioning in the following table.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

# Discussion on LPP running CR for bandwidth aggregation

**Question 1: Companies are invited to provide their comments on the LPP running CR for bandwidth aggregation in the following table.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Excerpted spec with issues** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary**

In view of LPP, the following is the analyzation on the leftover issues of bandwidth aggregation.

1. FFS if multiple combinations of bandwidth aggregation configurations can be provided to UE by LMF?
2. FFS the maximum number of PRS bandwidth aggregation configurations that LMF can provide to UE.
3. FFS whether UE needs to indicate the PRS resource index uses for joint measurements.
4. FFS whether the indication that whether the measurements are joint measurements is needed, since anyway UE need to report the aggregated resource set/resource information to LMF for joint measurements.

**Question 2: Companies are invited to provide their comments on the open issue for LPP spec for bandwidth aggregation in the following table.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary**

# Discussion on LPP running CR for LPHAP and Redcap Positioning

**Question 1: Companies are invited to provide their comments on the LPP running CR for LPHAP in the following table.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Excerpted spec with issues** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary**

**Question 2: Companies are invited to provide their comments on the LPP running CR for Redcap Positioning in the following table.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Excerpted spec with issues** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary**

In view of LPP, the following is the analyzation on the leftover issues of LPHAP and Redcap positioning.

1. LPHAP
2. Extended PRS periodicity: In RAN2#123bis, an LS on the extended PRS/SRS periodicity was sent to RAN1. We will enhance the signalling based on the parameter list from RAN1. The possible impacts on LPP spec may include the value range of the extended PRS periodicity, the impact on the search window.
3. Alignment of the PRS configuration to the fixed (e)DRX configuration: The possible impacts need more progress in RAN2.
4. Redcap Positioning
5. Need further agreement from RAN1. FFS: indication of how many received hops / which received hops where used in the measurement report.

**Question 3: Companies are invited to provide their comments on the open issue for LPP spec for LPHAP and Redcap Positioning in the following table.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **LPHAP** | **Redcap Positioning** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary**

# Summary

After the email discussion, we propose that:

TBD

# Participants

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company Name** | **Participant name/contact** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |