|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Clause | Comment | Rapp Response |
| Lenovo | 6.3.1 | For the field description for frequency information, “In this release” has ambiguity after introducing new IE ***sl-FreqInfoListSizeExt*** and suggest to remove the wording  ***sl-FreqInfoList, sl-FreqInfoListSizeExt***  This field indicates the NR sidelink communication/discovery configuration on some carrier frequency (ies). ~~In this release,~~ Only one entry can be configured in the *sl-FreqInfoList*. | But I thought it is also true that even in Rel-18, the legacy IE (*sl-FreqInfoList*), would still have one entry?  By removing the “in this release”, it seems to say it is applicable to all releases (even in the future), which seems not rigorous either?  Lenovo: True. agree with Rapp not removing “in this release” |
| Lenovo | 5.2.2.4.13 | New added IE *sl-FreqInfoListSizeExt* and *sl-RLC-BearerConfigListSizeExt* are defined in SIB12 but not in *sl-ConfigCommonNR*. Needs to be aligned with 6.3.1  2> if *sl-FreqInfoList*/*sl-FreqInfoListSizeExt* is included in *sl-ConfigCommonNR*:  …  2> if *sl-RadioBearerConfigList* or *sl-RLC-BearerConfigList*/*sl-RLC-BearerConfigListSizeExt* is included in *sl-ConfigCommonNR*: | SL-ConfigCommonNR-r16 Is not extendable, so cannot be used.  But true there is mis-match with procedural text, will correct the procedural text in the next iteration. |
| Lenovo | 6.3.5 and 5.8.9.1a.5.1 | Additional RLC configuration for SRB/SCCH is directly specified, so we understand there not need RLC configuration index for SRB RLC configuration. I guess the purpose to include this index for SRB is for unified release condition/operation of additional RLC bearer for both DRB and SRB?  **6.3.5**  SL-RLC-BearerConfig-r18 ::= CHOICE {  srb SEQUENCE {  sl-SRB-IdentityWithDuplication INTEGER (1..3),  sL-RLC-BearerConfigIndex-r16 SL-RLC-BearerConfigIndex-r18,  ...  },  drb SEQUENCE {  slrb-PC5-ConfigIndex-r18 SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16,  sL-RLC-BearerConfigIndex-r16 SL-RLC-BearerConfigIndex-r18,  sl-RLC-ConfigPC5-r18 SL-RLC-ConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M  sl-MAC-LogicalChannelConfigPC5-r18 SL-LogicalChannelConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M  ...  }  }    **5.8.9.1a.5.1**  1> for unicast, if *SL-RLC-BearerConfigIndex* (if any) of the sidelink DRB or SRB isincluded in *sl-RLC-BearerToReleaseList*/*sl-RLC-BearerToAddModListSizeExt* in *RRCReconfigurationSidelink*; | Exactly, the RLC bearer index for SRB is only used for a unified release operation.  Lenovo: Thanks and no further comments. |
| Lenovo | 5.8.9.1.1 | Since following two sentences are basically same, seems they can be combined for concise text. No strong view though  - the addition of sidelink carrier associated with the peer UE, as specified in clause 5.8.9.1b.2;  - the modification of sidelink carrier associated with the peer UE, as specified in clause 5.8.9.1b.2;  =>  - the addition or modification of sidelink carrier associated with the peer UE, as specified in clause 5.8.9.1b.2; | True, but also I notice that in the legacy text, they always split the addition case and modification case, so I also split the two. No strong view, let’s see if any other view from companies |
| Lenovo | 5.8.9.1a.6 | A typo  3> configure the MAC entity with a logical channel associated with the sidelink RLC entity, as specified in clause 9.1.1.4. | Thx for catching it, will correct it in the next iteration |
| Lenovo | 5.8.9.1b.2 | Typo and rewording  1> for unicast, after receiving the *RRCReconfigurationCompleteSidelink* message,  2> for each *sl-Carrier-Id* value included in the *~~sl-CarrierToReleaseList~~ sl-CarrierToAddModList* that is not part of the current UE configuration (sidelink carrier addition):  …  1> for unicast, if the ~~sidelink carrier addition~~ added sidelink carrier was modified due to the reception of the *RRCReconfigurationSidelink* message; or  1> for unicast, after receiving the *RRCReconfigurationCompleteSidelink* message,  2> for each *sl-Carrier-Id* value included in the *~~sl-CarrierToReleaseList~~ sl-CarrierToAddModList* that is part of the current UE configuration (sidelink carrier modification):  3> modify the sidelink carrier configuration in accordance with *sl-AbsoluteFrequencyPointA* and *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB*; | Thanks for catching it, will update it in the next release |
| Lenovo | 6.3.5 | The new added timer is for C-LBT cancellation, so suggest following update for the description of IE and also the name of timer:  ***sl-LBT-FailureRecoveryConfig***  Configures parameters used for detection and cancellation of consistent sidelink LBT failures for operation with shared spectrum channel access, as specified in TS 38.321 [3]. – *SL-LBT-FailureRecoveryConfig* The IE *SL-LBT-FailureRecoveryConfig-r18* is used to configure the parameters used for detection and cancellation of sidelink consistent LBT failures for operation with shared spectrum channel access, as specified in TS 38.321 [3].  ***SL-LBT-FailureRecoveryConfig* information element**  -- ASN1START  -- TAG-SL-LBT-FAILURERECOVERYCONFIG-START  SL-LBT-FailureRecoveryConfig-r18 ::= SEQUENCE {  sl-lbt-FailureInstanceMaxCount-r18 ENUMERATED {n4, n8, n16, n32, n64, n128} OPTIONAL, -- Need M  sl-lbt-FailureDetectionTimer-r18 ENUMERATED {ms10, ms20, ms40, ms80, ms160, ms320} OPTIONAL, -- Need M  sl-LBT-~~Recovery~~CancellationTimer-r18 ENUMERATED {ms10, ms20, ms40, ms80, ms160, ms320} OPTIONAL, -- Need M...  }  -- TAG-SL-LBT-FAILURERECOVERYCONFIG-STOP  -- ASN1STOP | For the naming of the timer, it is to align with 321 running-CR. For the other changes, they are fine for me, thanks!  Lenovo: Thanks for the explanation. Still think the name is not so precise but understand the alignment. |