|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Clause | Comment | Rapp Response |
| Lenovo | 6.3.1 | For the field description for frequency information, “In this release” has ambiguity after introducing new IE ***sl-FreqInfoListSizeExt*** and suggest to remove the wording***sl-FreqInfoList, sl-FreqInfoListSizeExt***This field indicates the NR sidelink communication/discovery configuration on some carrier frequency (ies). ~~In this release,~~ Only one entry can be configured in the *sl-FreqInfoList*. | But I thought it is also true that even in Rel-18, the legacy IE (*sl-FreqInfoList*), would still have one entry?By removing the “in this release”, it seems to say it is applicable to all releases (even in the future), which seems not rigorous either?Lenovo: True. agree with Rapp not removing “in this release” |
| Lenovo | 5.2.2.4.13 | New added IE *sl-FreqInfoListSizeExt* and *sl-RLC-BearerConfigListSizeExt* are defined in SIB12 but not in *sl-ConfigCommonNR*. Needs to be aligned with 6.3.12> if *sl-FreqInfoList*/*sl-FreqInfoListSizeExt* is included in *sl-ConfigCommonNR*:…2> if *sl-RadioBearerConfigList* or *sl-RLC-BearerConfigList*/*sl-RLC-BearerConfigListSizeExt* is included in *sl-ConfigCommonNR*: | SL-ConfigCommonNR-r16 Is not extendable, so cannot be used.But true there is mis-match with procedural text, will correct the procedural text in the next iteration. |
| Lenovo | 6.3.5 and 5.8.9.1a.5.1 | Additional RLC configuration for SRB/SCCH is directly specified, so we understand there not need RLC configuration index for SRB RLC configuration. I guess the purpose to include this index for SRB is for unified release condition/operation of additional RLC bearer for both DRB and SRB? **6.3.5**SL-RLC-BearerConfig-r18 ::= CHOICE { srb SEQUENCE { sl-SRB-IdentityWithDuplication INTEGER (1..3), sL-RLC-BearerConfigIndex-r16 SL-RLC-BearerConfigIndex-r18, ... }, drb SEQUENCE { slrb-PC5-ConfigIndex-r18 SLRB-PC5-ConfigIndex-r16, sL-RLC-BearerConfigIndex-r16 SL-RLC-BearerConfigIndex-r18, sl-RLC-ConfigPC5-r18 SL-RLC-ConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M sl-MAC-LogicalChannelConfigPC5-r18 SL-LogicalChannelConfigPC5-r16 OPTIONAL, -- Need M ... }} **5.8.9.1a.5.1**1> for unicast, if *SL-RLC-BearerConfigIndex* (if any) of the sidelink DRB or SRB isincluded in *sl-RLC-BearerToReleaseList*/*sl-RLC-BearerToAddModListSizeExt* in *RRCReconfigurationSidelink*; | Exactly, the RLC bearer index for SRB is only used for a unified release operation.Lenovo: Thanks and no further comments. |
| Lenovo | 5.8.9.1.1 | Since following two sentences are basically same, seems they can be combined for concise text. No strong view though- the addition of sidelink carrier associated with the peer UE, as specified in clause 5.8.9.1b.2;- the modification of sidelink carrier associated with the peer UE, as specified in clause 5.8.9.1b.2;=> - the addition or modification of sidelink carrier associated with the peer UE, as specified in clause 5.8.9.1b.2; | True, but also I notice that in the legacy text, they always split the addition case and modification case, so I also split the two. No strong view, let’s see if any other view from companies |
| Lenovo | 5.8.9.1a.6 | A typo3> configure the MAC entity with a logical channel associated with the sidelink RLC entity, as specified in clause 9.1.1.4. | Thx for catching it, will correct it in the next iteration |
| Lenovo | 5.8.9.1b.2 | Typo and rewording1> for unicast, after receiving the *RRCReconfigurationCompleteSidelink* message,2> for each *sl-Carrier-Id* value included in the *~~sl-CarrierToReleaseList~~ sl-CarrierToAddModList* that is not part of the current UE configuration (sidelink carrier addition):…1> for unicast, if the ~~sidelink carrier addition~~ added sidelink carrier was modified due to the reception of the *RRCReconfigurationSidelink* message; or1> for unicast, after receiving the *RRCReconfigurationCompleteSidelink* message,2> for each *sl-Carrier-Id* value included in the *~~sl-CarrierToReleaseList~~ sl-CarrierToAddModList* that is part of the current UE configuration (sidelink carrier modification):3> modify the sidelink carrier configuration in accordance with *sl-AbsoluteFrequencyPointA* and *sl-AbsoluteFrequencySSB*; | Thanks for catching it, will update it in the next release |
| Lenovo | 6.3.5 | The new added timer is for C-LBT cancellation, so suggest following update for the description of IE and also the name of timer:***sl-LBT-FailureRecoveryConfig***Configures parameters used for detection and cancellation of consistent sidelink LBT failures for operation with shared spectrum channel access, as specified in TS 38.321 [3].– *SL-LBT-FailureRecoveryConfig*The IE *SL-LBT-FailureRecoveryConfig-r18* is used to configure the parameters used for detection and cancellation of sidelink consistent LBT failures for operation with shared spectrum channel access, as specified in TS 38.321 [3].***SL-LBT-FailureRecoveryConfig* information element**-- ASN1START-- TAG-SL-LBT-FAILURERECOVERYCONFIG-STARTSL-LBT-FailureRecoveryConfig-r18 ::= SEQUENCE { sl-lbt-FailureInstanceMaxCount-r18 ENUMERATED {n4, n8, n16, n32, n64, n128} OPTIONAL, -- Need Msl-lbt-FailureDetectionTimer-r18 ENUMERATED {ms10, ms20, ms40, ms80, ms160, ms320} OPTIONAL, -- Need Msl-LBT-~~Recovery~~CancellationTimer-r18 ENUMERATED {ms10, ms20, ms40, ms80, ms160, ms320} OPTIONAL, -- Need M...}-- TAG-SL-LBT-FAILURERECOVERYCONFIG-STOP-- ASN1STOP | For the naming of the timer, it is to align with 321 running-CR. For the other changes, they are fine for me, thanks!Lenovo: Thanks for the explanation. Still think the name is not so precise but understand the alignment. |