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# 1 Overall description

RAN2 has discussed introduction of UE capability for length of switching periods. RAN2 has taken following RAN4 agreement in RAN4#104-e into account.

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreement:**On the length of switching period:* For UL switching period with Tx switching across 3 or 4 bands, RAN4 agreed to reuse the same set of values as in Rel-16/17, i.e., {35 us, 140 us, 210 us} for UL CA and SUL.
* The length of switching period is applied per band pair for each band combination.
* For each band pair, the switching period can be the same or different for 1Tx-2Tx switching and 2Tx-2Tx switching based on UE reporting, which is similar as in Rel-17.
	+ Note: For UE reporting different periods for 1Tx-2Tx switching and 2Tx-2Tx switching for a band pair, similar to Rel-17, it is RAN4 understanding that the 2Tx-2Tx switching period is applied when 2Tx-2Tx switching mode is configured.
* For the same band pair, RAN4 has not concluded on whether the same or a different value can be reported for the specific band pair supporting Tx switching across 3 or 4 bands in Rel-18 compared to Tx switching across 2 bands specified in Rel-16/17.
 |

RAN2 could not achieve conclusion, but has agreed an intention below in RAN2#121bis-e:

|  |
| --- |
| * In support of RAN4 agreement, RAN2 intend to introduce support for two per-band-pair UE capabilities, a length of a switching period, for 1Tx-2Tx switching (like Rel-16) and that for 2Tx-2Tx switching (like Rel-17).
 |

**Question 1. (To RAN1 and RAN4)**

**RAN2 respectfully asks RAN1 and RAN4 to take above agreement on RAN2 intention into account** **and asks for feedback if there is any issue.**

RAN2 could not conclude whether the UE needs to explicitly report if it supports 2Tx-2Tx switching for every band pair used for Rel-18 UL Tx switching.

RAN2 is not sure which is the correct understanding:

* The UE always supports 2Tx-2Tx switching on a pair of bands if the UE supports 2 layers UL MIMO on the two bands
* The UE may not support 2Tx-2Tx switching on a pair of bands even if the UE supports 2 layers UL MIMO on the two bands (i.e., per-band-pair UE capability to report whether to support 2Tx-2Tx switching is needed, e.g. based on the presence/absence of 2Tx-2Tx switching period).

**Question 2. (To RAN4)**

**RAN2 respectfully asks RAN4 to take below RAN2 assumptions into account and and asks for feedback if there is any issue:**

* **For the band pair supporting 2Tx-2Tx switching, the UE always support 1Tx-2Tx switching.**
* **The UE reports whether it supports 2Tx-2Tx switching via per-band-pair UE capability.**

RAN2 has discussed how the gNB knows which of the reported switching periods (for 1Tx-2Tx switching or for 2Tx-2Tx) should be applied for every switching but could not conclude.

**Question 3. (To RAN4)**

**RAN2 respectfully asks RAN4 which of the options below matches RAN4 understanding on the selection of applied switching periods when both switching periods of 2Tx-2Tx switching and 1Tx-2Tx switching can be reported for the same band pair.**

**Option 1: Based on implicit rules, e.g. 2Tx-2Tx switching period is only applicable when performing UL switching between two bands (e.g. 2P+0P<=>0P+2P) and 1Tx-2Tx period is applied for the other switching cases (e.g. UL Tx switching that involves 3 or 4 bands, such as band A + band B<=>band C, band A+ band B <=>band C + band D). FFS on the switching case of 2P+0P<=>1P+1P.**

**Option 2: Based on explicit RRC configuration, i.e., gNB configures which period is applied. FFS on the granularity of the configuration.**

**Question 4. (To RAN1)**

**RAN2 respectfully asks RAN1 to take above discussion on RAN2 and question to RAN4 into account and asks for feedback if there is any issue.**

# 2 Actions

**To RAN WG1**

**ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully requests RAN1 to take above discussion and questions into account and asks feedback if there is any issue.

**To RAN WG4**

**ACTION:** RAN2 respectfully requests RAN4 to provide feedback to the above questions.

# 3 Dates of next TSG RAN WG2 meetings

TSG RAN WG2 Meeting #122 22nd - 26th May 2023 Incheon, KR

TSG RAN WG2 Meeting #123 21st – 25th August 2023 Toulouse, FR