3GPP TSG-RAN WG2 #119-bis-e R2-22xxxxx

Electronic meeting, 10th – 19th October 2022

Agenda Item: x.x.x

Source: CATT

Title: Report of [Post119-e][610][eMBS] PTM configuration for INACTIVE (CATT)

Document for: Discussion, Decision

# 1 Introduction

This document is the report of the following email discussion,

* [Post119-e][610][eMBS] PTM configuration for INACTIVE (CATT)

Scope: Discuss the details of the identified PTM configuration solutions:

* + - Attempt to reach aligned understanding/descriptions (to the possible level of details) of the solutions including the aspect of UE state transitions, session state changes and related notifications
    - Identify main issues and pros and cons specific for each approach
    - Attempt to identify issues/solutions common for all approaches

Outcome: Report with proposals

Two phases are planned for the discussions, i.e.,

* Ph1: companies’ comments collected before Friday September 24th 10:00 UTC
* Ph2: proposals/summary checked before Thursday September 29th 12:00 UTC

The remainder of this document is organized as the following. Section 3 is to align on the general descriptions of the solutions. Section 4 is the common aspects for both option 1 and 2. Issues specific for option 1 and 2 are discussed in section 5. Section 6 include the conclusions.

# 2 Contact information

Participants are encouraged to leave their contact information in the following table.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Delegate name (email address) |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Limei Wei (limei.wei@td-tech.com) |
| Kyocera | Masato Fujishiro (masato.fujishiro.fj@kyocera.jp) |
| ZTE | QI Tao (qi.tao3@zte.com.cn) |
| Samsung | Vinay Kumar Shrivastava (shrivastava@samsung.com) |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 General descriptions of the solutions

For PTM configuration delivery, previously we agreed to further investigate the following solutions:

Option 1: Dedicated signalling

Option 2: Solution based on SIB+MCCH

We do not preclude some “mix” of the options

The reminder of this section is to align companies understandings of these solutions to possible extend, in order to facilitate future discussions.

Please note that the discussions do not cover the exact contents of the configurations (i.e., what is included in the configurations), which can be FFS.

## 3.1 General description for Option 1: Dedicated signalling

The solution is characterized by the following

(1-a) PTM configurations for at least one cell are provided via dedicated RRC signaling to a UE.

(1-b) The RRC message for this includes RRCReconfiguration or RRCRelease (details FFS)

(1-c) UE stores the received configurations when it is in RRC\_INACTIVE, and if there is a need to update some or all the configurations (e.g., including update of PTM configuration parameters or disabling INACTIVE PTM configuration for any of the configured cell(s)), the UE is notified of such changes and is required to resume RRC connection to obtain the updated configurations. In case of mobility in RRC\_INACTIVE, the UE triggers resume if the configuration of the session is not available for the new cell.

**Q1: Do you have any comments on the above descriptions of Option 1?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | 1. From our point of view, what we discuss is the PTM configuration for RRC\_INACTIVE per G-RNTI ( one-to-multiple mapping between G-RNTI and multicast session is supported in R17). The description “PTM configurations for at least one cell” is not clear. We suggest to modify (1-a) as below:   (1-a) The PTM configuration for RRC\_INACTIVE per G-RNTI can be provided to UE via dedicated RRC signaling.   1. For (1-c), the description “ UE stores the received configurations when it is in RRC\_INACTIVE” is not clear. How can UE receive the configuration information via RRC dedicated signalling in RRC\_INACTIVE? We suggest to delete this sentence. The description “the UE triggers resume if the configuration of the session is not available for the new cell” is not clear either. UE triggers RRC resume in the source cell or target cell? We suggest (1-c) is updated as below.   (1-c) If the PTM configuration for RRC\_INACTIVE per G-RNTI needs to be updated (e.g., the PTM configuration for RRC\_INACTIVE per G-RNTI is modified or disabled), the UE is notified of such update and is required to resume RRC connection to obtain the updated configuration. In case of mobility in RRC\_INACTIVE, UE triggers RRC resume in the source cell if UE finds the PTM configuration for RRC\_INACTTIVE is not available for the target cell. |
| Kyocera | We’re fine with the rapporteur’s description of Option 1 in general. Though, for (1-c), we think it’s still FFS whether the PTM configuration is valid only in a cell or within multiple cells. Also, we think the RRC connection is not needed to be resumed completely, if RRC Release provides the new PTM configuration in response to RRC Resume Request, i.e., the UE can stay in INACTIVE like the existing RNAU. |
| ZTE | Whether PTM configuration can be carried in RRCRelease needs to be further discussed. It is not a typical way to convey resource config in a release message. In current spec RRC release in only used for release or suspend RB configuration, and redirected Carrier or cell Reselection. We tend to think it is good to keep a minimized RRC release design. |
| Samsung | Agree in general.  For (1-a), we understand NW can provide PTM configuration for specific multicast session(s) to be received in RRC\_INACTIVE. This may be more clearly specified as  *PTM configurations for one or more multicast sessions for at least one cell are provided via dedicated RRC signaling to a UE.*  For (1-b), RRCRelease with SuspendConfig seems sufficient and efficient to handle  specific multicast sessions among both activated and deactivated ones at the time of RRC state transition  (1-c) seems fine as UE needs to store PTM configuration for use in RRC\_INACTIVE e.g. for multicast session which is presently in deactivated state and based on activation, starts receiving session utilizing stored configuration |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.2 General description for Option 2: Solution based on SIB+MCCH

The solution is characterized by the following

2-a) PTM configurations are provided via an MCCH-like channel (same or different as used for MBS broadcast), and information regarding MCCH scheduling is provided via SIB

2-b) UE can receive such configurations when it is in RRC\_INACTIVE, FFS whether it is allowed/needed to also receive when UE is in RRC\_CONNECTED

2-c) If there is a need to update some or all the received configurations, UE does not need to resume RRC connection but is notified of such changes (e.g. via MCCH DCI) and obtains the updated configurations via MCCH.

**Q2: Do you have any comments on the above descriptions of Option 2?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | We think “ PTM configurations” can be modified as “ PTM configuration for RRC\_INACTIVE per G-RNTI”. The corresponding description for option 2 is updated as below.  2-a) The PTM configuration for RRC\_INACTIVE per G-RNTI is provided via a multicast MCCH (same or different as the MCCH used for broadcast sessions), and the semi-static scheduling information for the multicast MCCH is provided via a SIB (same or different as SIB20)  2-b) UE can receive the corresponding PTM configuration when it is in RRC\_INACTIVE, FFS whether UE needs to receive the corresponding PTM configuration in RRC\_CONNECTED  2-c) If the PTM configuration for RRC\_INACTIVE is updated for a G-RNTI, UE in RRC\_INACTIVE does not need to resume RRC connection but is notified of such change (e.g. via MCCH change notification sent on the DCI scheduling the multicast MCCH) and obtains the updated configuration via the multicast MCCH. |
| Kyocera | We’re fine with the rapporteur’s description of Option 2, except for the “MCCH-like channel” since we’re not sure what benefit is expected by defining such a new channel. |
| ZTE | 1/ shall we keep it "MCCH-like" in all cases to be consistent before we made a decision to reuse the MCCH or not?  2/ since for an Rel-18 UE option 1 will anyway be supported as in Rel-17 for UE in RRC\_CONNECTED, for option 2 an indication might be needed to inform UE to start work in option 2. |
| Samsung | For 2-a), it is not clear if UE in RRC\_INACTIVE can receive PTM configuration for a new multicast session. UE needs to “join” and also be informed by network to receive multicast in RRC\_INACTIVE. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 4 Common aspects for both option 1 and 2

Previously we agreed the following

In Rel-18, multicast reception for UEs in INACTIVE supports at least the following scenarios, with the assumption that the UE already has a valid PTM configuration:

- Scenario 1: a UE has been receiving multicast in CONNECTED, and it enters INACTIVE and continues the multicast reception.

- Scenario 2: a UE has joined a multicast session and has been directed to INACTIVE, the UE starts to receive the multicast session

FFS for state changes, e.g. due to service being not provided in INACTIVE anymore etc.

It is up to gNB to decide whether a multicast session may be received by UE(s) in INACTIVE. FFS what information gNB may be provided to form such decision (related to SA2 discussion).

It is assumed the network can choose which UEs receive in RRC INACTIVE and which in RRC Connected and can move UEs between the states for Multicast service reception.

So in the next two issues we discuss how this is done.

## Common issue 1 How does network switch multicast receiving UE(s) from RRC\_CONNECTED to RRC\_INACTIVE?

This issue assumes UE staying in the same cell (i.e., without mobility).

As per the previous agreement, network may move a multicast receiving UE from RRC\_CONNECTED to RRC\_INACTIVE. The most straightforward way seems to be using dedicated RRC signaling (i.e. RRC release message with suspendConfig) for such indication.

**Q3: Do you agree that dedicated RRC signalling (i.e. RRC release message with suspendConfig) is used for switching a multicast receiving UE from RRC\_CONNECTED to RRC\_INACTIVE (details FFS)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes |  |
| Kyocera | Yes |  |
| ZTE | Yes | the RRC state transitioning framework defined in Rel-15 shall be followed. |
| Samsung | Yes | RRCRelease with suspendConfig seems sufficient and efficient to handle  specific multicast sessions among both activated and deactivated ones at time of RRC state transition |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Common issue 2 How does network switch multicast receiving UE(s) from RRC\_INACTIVE to RRC\_CONNECTED?

This issue assumes UE staying in the same cell (i.e., without mobility).

As per the previous agreement, network may move multicast receiving UEs from RRC\_INACTIVE to RRC\_CONNECTED, and then UE continues the multicast reception in CONNECTED. In Rel-17, group paging is used to indicate multicast session activation to the UEs, it seems useful to discuss whether the group paging can be used for such cases, and whether it needs to be enhanced.

**Q4: Do you agree that group paging is used to switch UEs receiving multicast from RRC\_INACTIVE to RRC\_CONNECTED, and UEs continue the multicast reception in CONNECTED?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes |  |
| Kyocera | Yes |  |
| ZTE | See comments | The scenario of Q4 needs to be clarified.  - In the description of Q4, it is saying “UEs continue the multicast reception in CONNECTED”. One might assume UEs have already started receiving the multicast in RRC\_INACTIVE. Thus, the scenario of Q4 is different from the session activation case.  - If the above understanding is correct, if needed (e.g., air interface becomes less congested), group paging or unicast paging can be used to initiate UE RRC state transitioning. depending on network implementation. |
| Samsung | Yes | Group paging for Rel-18 UE should provide an indication about   * RRC state change required or not for non-activated multicast session reception when paged in RRC\_INACTIVE   Group paging for Rel-18 UE is same as legacy Rel-17 Group paging for activation when the multicast session is already activated in RRC\_INACTIVE i.e. UE goes to RRC\_CONNECTED by default.  Just to add to the Q4, Group paging is multicast session specific and is applicable to all UEs that pertain to that specific multicast session. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q5: Whether group paging mechanism needs to be enhanced, if your answer to the previous question is YES?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes | The enhancement is used to differentiate the group paging for a multicast activation from the group paging for the RRC state switching. |
| Kyocera | Yes | We think a set of UEs (i.e., not all UEs) needs to be paged, according to the RAN2 agreements, e.g., “*the network can choose which UEs receive in RRC INACTIVE and which in RRC Connected and can move UEs between the states for Multicast service reception*”. The Rel-17 group paging pages all UEs which are interested in a TMGI, so we assume the Rel-18 group paging needs to have a selectivity of UEs. |
| ZTE | See comments | Maybe not.  For the assumed scenario in our answer to Q4, UE receives the multicast before and after state transitioning, there might be no need to enhance group paging. |
| Samsung | Yes | For the case of non-activated multicast session in RRC\_INACTIVE, whether RRC state  change required or not along with group notification (for activation), needs to be indicated |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Common issue 3 Applicable area of the PTM configurations

Previously we agreed that

Multicast service continuity after cell reselection in RRC\_INACTIVE state (i.e. without resuming RRC connection) will be supported (if the configuration of the new cell is available for the UE).

Based on this, even though the exact ways of informing the UE may be different, it can be generally assumed possible that the PTM configurations, once acquired by a UE, may apply to a certain area (i.e., a set of cells instead of a single cell). For the sake of easy discussion, we call it the applicable area of the PTM configurations for UE in RRC\_INACTIVE.

Furthermore, Rapporteur understands that for solution 2, having such kind of applicable area may not be an essential requirement but it is more of an enhancement.

**Q6: Do you agree it is possible that for the PTM configurations, once acquired by a UE, may apply to a certain area (i.e., a set of cells instead of a single cell)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes | It’s better to support the same PTM configuration is applied in a certain area to simplify the UE mobility and the MBS session interruption time. |
| Kyocera | Yes | We think such an area-specific PTM configuration is beneficial for UE power saving and NW congestion avoidance. |
| ZTE | Probably no. | For the same multicast, it is difficult to coordinate between cells to achieve the same PTM configuration, such as search space, CFR, and other dynamic radio resource as each cell very likely experience distinct traffic and radio resource configuration. |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q7: If your answer to Q6 is YES, do you agree network configures such applicable area of the PTM configurations for UE in RRC\_INACTIVE, so that UE knows whether its previously obtained configurations are still applicable?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes |  |
| Kyocera | Yes |  |
| Samsung | See comment | We think PTM configurations and applicable area can be configured together i.e. Applicable  area can also be configured along with multicast configuration in RRCRelease with suspendConfig message |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Common issue 4 Whether and how to notify the session state change to UEs in INACTIVE?

For multicast reception in INACTIVE, session state transition may be further discussed.

Session activation

Previously RAN2 agreed

 In Rel-18, multicast reception for UEs in INACTIVE supports at least the following scenarios, with the assumption that the UE already has a valid PTM configuration:

- Scenario 1: a UE has been receiving multicast in CONNECTED, and it enters INACTIVE and continues the multicast reception.

- Scenario 2: a UE has joined a multicast session and has been directed to INACTIVE, the UE starts to receive the multicast session

FFS for state changes, e.g. due to service being not provided in INACTIVE anymore etc.

Here scenario 2 can be further discussed in the following.

Rapporteur understands that since Rel-17 already supports that network uses group paging to indicate session activation to UEs, it is natural that Rel-18 supports similar indication.

**Q8: Do you agree Rel-18 UE in INACTIVE should be informed when the session is activated (Details FFS)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes |  |
| Kyocera | Yes |  |
| ZTE | Yes | In Rel-17, UE will be informed when the session state transition from inactive to active, we don't see a reason to change so. |
| Samsung | Yes | Group paging is straightforward solution for Rel-18 UE as well |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Then next question is what is the difference between the Rel-17 and Rel-18 UE behaviour when such session activation notification is received. As per Scenario #2 in the above agreement, it is possible that Rel-18 UEs stay in RRC\_INACTIVE and continues with multicast reception after the session is activated.

**Q9: Do you agree Rel-18 UE in INACTIVE should be informed whether the multicast session can be received in INACTIVE when the session is activated (Details FFS)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes |  |
| Kyocera | Yes | In Option 1, we don’t think any new indication in the group paging, but we assume RRC Release in response to RRC Resume Request can work for this purpose, i.e., it’s same as indicating the UE to receive the multicast session in INACTIVE.  In Option 2, we wonder if some new indication is needed in either group paging or MCCH. |
| ZTE | See comments | We are a bit confused by the description to Q9 and Q9 itself.  1/ For scenario #2, UE starts multicast reception in RRC\_INACTIVE instead of "continues" with multicast reception. Maybe this part shall be updated to avoid any ambiguity.  2/ before answering Q9 , we think it is better to achieve a common understanding first on why does the UE have to be informed in RRC\_INACTIVE. In some cases, "Whether the session can be received in RRC\_INACTIVE" might be known to the UE before transitioning to inactive state, or even during RRC resume. If network decides to delivery the PTM config through MCCH, using group paging to indicate that might be a good idea to avoid UE's RACH. |
| Samsung | Yes | UE may have multicast session in deactivated state in RRC\_INACTIVE and upon activation, it needs to be informed as was the case with Rel17 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q10: Do you agree group paging is used for the above indications (i.e., session activation indications, and/or whether multicast can be received in INACTIVE), with details FFS, if your answer to Q9 is Yes?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes |  |
| Kyocera | Not sure | As we commented in Q9 above, we assume it depends on which Option to be applied. |
| ZTE | See comments | Group paging for session activation shall always be supported as in Rel-17.  As for "whether multicast can be received in INACTIVE", please refer to our answer to Q10, i.e., we are not sure network has to inform UE when UE is in RRC\_INACTIVE, by group paging. We need to discuss this first in Q9. |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

In Rel-18, it may be possible that UEs in INACTIVE are informed on the session deactivation, session release. This is discussed in the following.

Session deactivation

**Q11: Do you agree UEs in INACTIVE should be informed when the multicast session is deactivated?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes |  |
| Kyocera | Yes | We think it’s beneficial for UE to stop receiving MTCH as soon as possible, when the multicast session is deactivated. We assume it’s something like the SC-PTM Stop Indication MAC CE in LTE. |
| ZTE | See  comments | UE is not aware of the session status at access layer. UE is only aware whether radio resources e.g., MRB, are configured or not. that being said, UE shall be informed to release the PTM config (maybe we can agree on this guideline first):  - In Rel-17, UE will be informed when the multicast session state transition from active to inactive through RRCReconfiguration (e.g., release all MRBs).  - In Rel-18, following the same principle, RRC\_INAVTIVE UEs also need to be informed to release the PTM config.  What matters is how UE is informed on the PTM config release due to session deactivation. It depends on how the PTM configuration is delivered, or which option to take, which can be our next step. |
| Samsung | Yes | UE should not be moved to RRC\_CONNECTED just to be informed that session is deactivated |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q12: Do you agree group paging is used for the above session deactivation indication to the UEs (details FFS), if your answer to Q11 is Yes?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes |  |
| Kyocera | Not sure | As we commented in Q11, it’s possible to use MAC CE as in legacy. So, we think RAN2 needs more discussion on this. |
| ZTE | See comments | There are various options for session deactivation indication:  Option 1: To avoid a large number of UEs transition state from RRC\_INACTIVE to RRC\_CONNECTED at the same time, UE paging can be considered to indicate multicast session deactivation.  Option 2: Group paging can be considered to indicate multicast session deactivation, but different delivery method of PTM configuration may cause different enhancements on group paging.  Option 3: MCCH can do the job implicitly or explicitly, if option 2 is to be taken. |
| Samsung | Yes | Group paging is efficient for indicating deactivation as well |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Session release

**Q13: Do you agree UEs in INACTIVE should be informed by RAN when the multicast session is released?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes |  |
| Kyocera | Yes | We have the same comment as Q11 above. |
| ZTE | Yes | In Rel-17, if the multicast session is released, RRC\_INACTIVE UE will be informed to resume RRC connection and then receive a NAS message about session release (i.e., PDU session modification).  In Rel-18, the same principle applies. |
| Samsung | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q14: Do you agree group paging is used for the above session release indication to the UEs (details FFS), if your answer to Q13 is Yes?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes |  |
| Kyocera | Not sure | We have the same comment as Q12 above. |
| ZTE | See comments | UE needs to resume RRC connection to receive the NAS message anyway. Group paging or unicast paging, it is up to network choice. |
| Samsung | Yes | It is in line with SA2 progress |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Other common issues

**Q15: Do you see any other common issues for both options?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | For option 2, only one MCCH is configured for multicast sessions in RRC\_INACTIVE in a cell. This multicast MCCH can be same or different as the MCCH for broadcast sessions. Correspondingly the SIB for the multicast MCCH can be same or different as SIB20.  If option 2 is supported, the PTM configuration for RRC\_INACTIVE per G-RNTI is transmitted periodically.  In order to improve the spectrum efficiency, option 3 can be used as an improved option 2.  Option 3: the solution is based on MCCH per G-RNTI, where G-RNTI is used to identify multicast sessions which are provided in RRC\_INACTIVE.  For option 3, if the multicast sessions associated with a G-RNTI are provided to UE in RRC\_INACTIVE, an MCCH can be configured to send the PTM configuration information of these multicast sessions. Compared with option 2, each time the PTM configuration information associated with this G-RNTI is updated. Option 3 can send the updated PTM configuration information via the MCCH once or several times. The updated PTM configuration information doesn’t need to be send periodically as option 2.  We hope option 3 can be discussed with option 1 and option 2. The description of option 3 can be given as below.  3-a) For a G-RNTI associated with the multicast session reception in RRC\_INACTIVE, only one MCCH is configured to send the PTM configuration associated with this G-RNTI. The different G-RNTIs have different MCCHs.  3-b) UE can receive the PTM configuration associated with this G-RNTI no matter which RRC state it is in.  3-c) If the PTM configuration associated this G-RNTI is updated, UE in RRC\_INACTIVE does not need to resume RRC connection but directly receives the updated configuration via the MCCH.  3-d) The MCCH associated with this G-RNTI is sent with PTM mode. |
| Kyocera | RAN2 agreed “*HARQ feedback and PTP are not supported for multicast reception in RRC\_INACTIVE*”, which implies the reliability of multicast session is not ensured in INACTIVE. On the other hand, QoS management of multicast session is still important as some contributions pointed out in the last meeting. So, we wonder if some enhancements are needed for the UE to transition to Connected when it experiences a poor reception quality. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 5 Issues specific for Option 1 and 2

In this section, we further discuss the specific issues of Option 1 and 2, respectively.

## 5.1 Further analysis of Option 1

**Issue 1-1 How to inform the UE when network changes the PTM configurations**

There are some scenarios where configuration update might be needed for UE in inactive state configured with multicast reception, such as PTM configuration parameters updates due to for example session update triggered by CN or PTM transmission switch on/off toward RRC inactive UE in preconfigured area/cells due to UE mobility in such area.

When UE is in RRC\_INACTIVE, it is not possible to reach it via dedicated RRC signaling. The current specification supports that network uses individual paging to move the UE from RRC\_INACTIVE to RRC\_CONNECTED for such configuration updates. Then the next question is whether group paging can be used to inform that the PTM configurations will be changed by the network (Details FFS).

**Q16: Do you agree that with Option 1, group paging may be used to inform the UE when network changes the PTM configurations, and UE upon reception triggers RRC connection resume procedure to obtain the updated configurations (details of group paging can be FFS)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | No | Such method is time consuming and has heavy signaling load. |
| Kyocera | Yes | We think it’s a natural way in Option 1. We assume such a PTM configuration update does not happen often, so we’re wondering if it’s really a critical issue in practice at the end. |
| ZTE | Yes but | The reason we think option 1 might be flawed in case of large number of UEs. |
| Samsung | Yes | PTM configuration change is expected to happen less likely given only common multicast parameters from PTM configuration are utilized in RRC\_INACTIVE. Given this, we do not think there may be frequent state transitions. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Issue 1-2 How to handle the cases when a large number of UEs in the cell needs PTM configurations update?**

Based on issue 1-1, we need to further discuss the cases with a large number of UEs in the cell. After group paging is received by these UEs, they may need to trigger RRC resume in order to obtain the updated configurations. The following procedure may cause very high system load.

**Q17: Do you agree that for Option 1, enhancements are needed for cases when a large number of UEs in the cell needs PTM configurations update?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Yes | If Yes is decided for Q16, a possible enhancement is listed as below.  The PTM configuration for RRC\_INACTIVE is carried by the group paging. When UE finds the group paging, it can obtain the PTM configuration information at the same time. UE has no need to enter into RRC\_CONNECTED through random access procedure. |
| Kyocera | Yes | We think the issue is the PRACH collision due to many transmissions from multiple UEs at the same time. We assume some sort of staggered PRACH attempts would be one of enhancements. Though, we’re wondering if the PTM configuration update is really happens often in practice, as commented in Q16 above. |
| ZTE | No for now | Not sure what we can do to avoid RACH and signaling overhead for an already congested cell. |
| Samsung | Yes | Configuration update should be less frequent including the case of termination of multicast support in RRC\_INACTIVE. However, RAN2 should consider UAC enhancements if the concern is that a large number of UEs may need to transit to RRC\_CONNECTED at the same time (this is equally applicable to any case of group paging for activation, configuration update or RRC state change required for a multicast session) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Other issues specific for option 1**

**Q18: Do you see any other issues specific for option 1?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Option 1 is not suitable for UE in RRC\_INACTIVE. Re-enter into RRC\_CONNECTED is time consuming and generates heavy signaling load. An alternative solution is to send the PTM configuration information with group paging. |
| ZTE | How about the mobility? |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 5.2 Further analysis of Option 2

**Issue 2-1 Is there security concern when UE can obtain all the PTM configurations for a multicast service via Option 2?**

Some companies raised concern on security when UE can obtain all the PTM configurations for a multicast service. The main reason is that for R17 multicast, UE needs to join the session and obtain all the related PTM configurations when it is in RRC\_CONNECTED. While with Option 2, there is not restriction, so that practically any UE could decode the SIB and then obtain the related PTM configurations from the corresponding MCCH. On the other hand, there is also view that the security can be ensured based on service layer mechanism so no need to enhance in RAN. It seems useful to discuss this aspect.

**Q19: Do you think there is an issue that a UE can obtain all the PTM configurations for a multicast service via Option 2? And if yes, please describe what is the security issue on the condition that security is enabled by service layer.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | No | The service layer security is protected |
| Kyocera | Maybe No | We think LTE eMBMS can handle the multicast sessions with upper layer security protection, whereby LTE eMBMS (SC-PTM) is quite similar to Option 2. So, we think there was no security concern at least in the past. But the up-to-date risks may be consulted with SA3, if needed. |
| ZTE | No | No issue found. Also, if the exposed TMGI is a concern, we can always use other temporary identity. |
| Samsung | Yes | There may be increased vulnerability for multicast session with Man-in-Middle attack when unprotected SIB/MCCH messages carrying PTM configurations for multicast (this configuration is also shared by dedicated signalling for other UEs in Connected mode). Any scheme which educates an attacker more about the system configuration is problematic from security perspective.  Unlike broadcast, multicast may cater to critical and public safety services. Note that MCCH signalling is not protected by application/service layer security either. Here we are only concerned with RAN signalling security aspect and not application traffic security. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Then companies are encouraged to share their views regarding the considered solution if they see an issue here.

**Q20: If your answer to Q19 is YES, please share your comments regarding how to solve the issue (e.g., using some form of mixed solution as mentioned by the previous agreement, or consult SA3, or other possible ways, etc.).**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | How to solve the issue, if your answer to the previous question is Yes. |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | Not needed for option 2 |
| Samsung | We prefer option 1 (i.e. dedicated signalling based PTM configuration) which is simple and secure.  As we understand SA3 is also concerned with the broadcast signalling security and potential False-Base-Station / Man-in-Middle attacks. However, solution progress for this in SA3 may be quite long term (presently it is Rel-18 study item in SA3). Given this, RAN2 should adopt option 1 based approach. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Issue 2-2 Design for MCCH and change notification for option 2**

If option 2 is adopted, the most straightforward way is to reuse Rel-17 MCCH message design and change notification mechanism as baseline, with necessary extensions.

**Q21: Do you see any issue if option 2 reuses the same Rel-17 MCCH channel?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | No |  |
| Kyocera | Yes |  |
| ZTE | No | If SIB+MCCH can also be supported in RRC\_CONNECTED,  - monitoring MCCH constantly can be an overhead for UE. This might not be needed since UE is already in RRC\_CONNECTED state, and no need for all multicast service utilizing the same MCCH modification period. |
| Samsung | No | Assuming if option 2 is agreed. However, additional DCI bits may be needed for change notification |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Q22: Do you see any issue if option 2 reuses the Rel-17 MCCH message (with necessary extensions)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes or no | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | No | But we think several modification periods can be configured for the associated MCCH due to the fact that different multicast sessions have different delay requirements and so on. |
| Kyocera | No, but… | We think the motivations of multicast reception in INACTIVE are NW congestion avoidance and UE power saving, according to the contributions submitted in the last meeting. We think MCCH causes additional signalling overhead for NW and additional DRX activity for UEs, which are both not aligned with the motivations. |
| ZTE | Not now | reusing the existing MCCH (even there is no broadcast service at current cell) could work.  The necessary extensions need to be clarified but could be done later. |
| Samsung | Yes | We understand option 2 (MCCH message) carries multiple MRB configurations commonly for all UEs and a specific UE needs to know which multicast configurations are for this UE. That is, with option 2 it is not clear how a specific UE can be configured for a new multicast session. Though, UE may know applicable multicast session for reception in RRC\_INACTIVE with dedicated signalling. This implies option 2 is not self-sufficient and works only as additional approach to option 1 e.g. MCCH can provide updated configuration for multicast session(s) indicated by dedicated signalling earlier. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Rapporteur understands the change notification mechanism or its enhancements could be discussed in a later stage when the above issues are clearer.

**Other issues specific for option 2**

**Q23: Do you see any other issues specific for option 2?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments if any |
| TD Tech, Chengdu TD Tech | If the PTM configuration information for RRC\_INACTIVE per G-RNTI is sent on a MCCH, several modification/repetition periods can be used for different service types. |
| Samsung | BWP usage for SIB/MCCH may not coincide with BWP used for multicast session transmission. There may be some latency in availing configuration based on SIB/MCCH periodicity and/or increased signalling traffic |
|  |  |

# 6 Conclusions

TBD
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