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1. Introduction

This contribution is for the following email discussion.

* [Post118-e][081][TEI17] Early Measurements for EPS fallback (vivo)

 Scope: Resolve the FFS of the meaning of the bit (if possible) Update and agree CR

 Intended outcome: Report if needed, Agreed CR

 Deadline: Phase1 Until 18:00(UTC) Thursday

 Collect comments from companies and give the summary

 Phase2 Until 12:00(UTC) Friday

 Discuss the CR and give the final CR

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Email |
| vivo | Yangxiaodong5g@vivo.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

1. Discussion
	1. **Background**

During RAN2 118 meeting online session, EPS fallback enhancement was discussion.

R2-2206594 [AT118-e][081][TEI17] Early Measurements for EPS fallback (vivo) vivo

DISCUSSION

P1 P2

* BT cannot agree on the compromise. It says it is up to UE impl.
* Apple think we need to compromise, are ok with the proposals. For P1 alone cannot accept that.
* KDDI support these proposal, but think more detailed discussion is needed.
* ZTE think key point of this is up to UE impl, not clear what UE will report.
* Nokia think the most important proposal is P2, which bring change. Think we use it also for blind redirection.
* VDF think we add this note into the section for EMR, so at least the EMR reporting fwk is used, and this is about Idle mode measurements, less accurate etc. and think it is up to UE impl to what ext to measure. VDF think SIB info may not be needed, think the UE can know anyway the frequencies. VDF would like to use the FWK for this, if the network doesn’t trust a UE the network can ignore.
* QC think the measurement for early reporting the performance scales dep on number of freq etc. Need to ensure that this will not degrade perf for other existing cases. LGE wonder how to do this, shall the UE measure more often QC thik yes.
* CMCC support both. Think this will help, will speed up.
* HW are ok with P1, not sure about P2. HW think the UE can know anyway.
* Ericsson think that is we agree P2 we need to work more on the details.
* Nokia are ok with P1 alone.
* MTK think P1 and P2 are ok now.
* BT think for P2, the UE will be choosing the frequencies etc. but for HO we have less. BT think that if we don’t have the SIB the UE doesn’t know that it should measure.
* BT think the UE will anyway fail the handover with this mechanism.

P2

* Apple think the UE doesn’t know the freq. IF the UE may store info, the we don’t need any standards impact.
* CU wonder if UE can attempt EPS fallback by its own. .Chair think that SA2 replied NO.
* VDF doesn’t have so big fear as BT, want to use the EMR fwk to report LTE freqs. Would be used for redirection for volte call.
* KDDI think not all TLE freq support voice call so P2 is useful.

Chair wonder if we can agree to P1 and P2

* BT voices sustained objection for P2.

Chair wonder of we can agree only P1.

* Apple think the UE need to know.
* Chair wonder if we can replace P2 by a single bit (which would tell the UE that he could try, i.e that network support EMR for this purpose.), and then the UE would need to use stored freq info, or freq for IRAT cell reselection.
* Apple think such a single bit is not useful, but are ok if the only objecting company
* P1 : It is up to UE implementation whether reuse EMR reporting framework for early EPS fallback measurement reporting. Capture it as the note in TS 38.331. The wording can be “NOTE: It is up to UE implementation whether to measure and report idle/inactive measurements for EUTRA carrier frequencies even if it does not support NE-DC between the serving carrier and the EUTRA carrier frequencies or if T331 is not running.” Precise wording can be further discussed.
* P2: Assistant information in SIB5 is introduced to help the UE to decide if “early EPS fallback measurement” may be used, a single bit. FFS if the bit means that the UE shall use the indicated frequencies for cell reselection or stored freq info.

* 1. **Precise wording for note**

The note from agreement is “**It is up to UE implementation whether to measure and report idle/inactive measurements for EUTRA carrier frequencies even if it does not support NE-DC between the serving carrier and the EUTRA carrier frequencies or if T331 is not running.**”

I would like to invite you to share your views.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company**  | **What is the precise wording for note? And Why?** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

* 1. **One bit in SIB5**

We need to discuss the meaning of one bit in SIB5 as the agreement.

**FFS if the bit means that the UE shall use the indicated frequencies for cell reselection or stored freq info**

From email rapporteur point of view, the network may enable Case1-3 operations as shown in[1].

* Case 1 If the network wants to have measurement report. It can configure normal connected mode measurement on the target frequency.
* Case 2 If the network wants to use blind redirection. It can just redirect the UE to the target frequency.
* Case 3 it is up to UE implementation whether reuse EMR reporting framework for early EPS fallback measurement reporting,

We can categorize them as measurement reporting and cell reselection, the wording may be like the below highlighted in Yellow. However I would like to invite you to share your views.

***earlyMeasVoiceFallback***

indicate UE shall store the latest idle measurement results on EUTRA carrier frequencies for potential measurement reporting and cell reselection.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company**  | **Indicated frequencies for cell reselection or stored freq info? What is the wording you suggest?** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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