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# Introduction

This is the report of following offline discussion:

**\* [Post116bis-e][105][RedCap] 38.306 running CR and list of open issues (Intel)**

Scope: Update the 38.306 running CR and define the list of open issues regarding UE capabilities

Intended outcome: Endorsed 38.306 running CR and list of open issues regarding UE capabilities

Deadline (for companies' feedback): Friday 2022-01-28 0800 UTC

Deadline (for updated running CR and list of open issues): Friday 2022-01-28 1600 UTC

Rapporteur would suggest to split the discussion into two phases:

**Phase 1: Deadline Wednesday 2022-01-26 08:00 UTC**

**CR review**: Companies provide comments/suggestions in this documents; Please do not add your comments/suggestions in the running CRs directly;

**Open issue list**: Companies check Rapporteur’s list and provide comments/suggestion if any;

Note: The open issue list may be updated based on the comments on running CRs;

**Phase 2: Deadline Friday 2022-01-28 08:00 UTC**

**CR review**: Rapporteur will update CRs, and provide feedback in this documents; Please do not add your comments/suggestions in the running CRs directly; Companies are invited to provide comments/suggestions on updated CRs in this documents.

**Open issue list**: Rapporteur will provide the updated open issues; Companies are invited to check and provide comments/suggestion if any;

# Annex: companies’ point of contact

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Point of contact** | **Email address** |
| Intel Corporation | Yi Guo | Yi.guo@intel.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion

## 3.1 Phase 1 discussion

### 3.1.1 Review of capability TS38.331 running CR

**Discussion point 3.1.1-1: Companies are invited to provide view on capability TS38.331 running CR ?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company’s name** | **Section/Field/IE** | **Identified issues** | **Change suggestion** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

### 3.1.2 Review of capability TS38.306 running CR

**Discussion point 3.1.2-1: Companies are invited to provide view on capability TS38.306 running CR ?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company’s name** | **Section/Field/IE** | **Identified issues** | **Change suggestion** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

### 3.1.3 Open issue lists for capability discussion

As indicated by Johan: "Open Issues should be defined for aspects that need to be closed, important to make already agreed functionality work in a reasonable way. Not yet agreed optimizations that may not be needed shall not be listed as Open Issues." Rapporteur considered following issues need to be closed for capability discussion:

**Table: open issue lists for capability discussion**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Topic** | **Open issues**  **Note:** Open Issues should be defined for aspects that need to be closed, important to make already agreed functionality work in a reasonable way. Not yet agreed optimizations that may not be needed shall not be listed as Open Issues. | **Remark** |
| RAN1 led feature | To capture “introduce capability bit on Half-duplex FDD operation type A for RedCap UEs; ” | To be captured in Mega CR. (need to check latest RAN1 feature list after Jan meeting) |
| To capture “introduce explicit bit to indicate the support of RedCap; ;” | RAN2 WA is per UE capability. (need to check latest RAN1 feature list after Jan meeting) |
| Support of NCD-SSB, it is unclear what capabilities are needed, e.g.  [R2-2201753]  *Proposal 15 Discuss whether a RedCap UE, which does not support CSI-RS, should be able to report “Not need NCD-SSB” as an optional UE capability.*  *Proposal 17 Discuss whether a non-RedCap UE should be able to use NCD-SSB instead of CD-SSB with an optional capability in this meeting.* | Wait for RAN1 and RAN4.  P15/P17 may still be discussed in RAN2 |
| Handover UE to non-RedCap cell | For the LTE to NR handover, in case the target NR cell is a legacy cell, the RedCap UE should trigger RRC re-establishment procedure. FFS any specification impact or purely leave to implementation | Need to be resolved in RAN2;  Note: Companies’ view and potential solutions can be found in R2-2201750. |
| RRM relaxation | Is it applied for non-RedCap UE or not? | Need to be resolved in RAN2;  Note: Companies’ view can be found in R2-2201752.  *Proposal 5. [Discussion] (16/20) Rel-17 RRM relaxation can apply to any Rel-17 UE.* |
| For IDLE/INACTIVE:   * whether to capture it as optional without capability feature? * To add additional descriptions in section 5.6 *Relaxed measurement or new section?* | Need to be resolved in RAN2; |
|  | For RRC\_CONNECTED,   * Is single bit sufficient? * Granularity of RRM capability, e.g. per UE? * FDD/TDD diff? * FR1/FR2 diff? * Any others? | Need to be resolved in RAN2; |
| eDRX | For RRC\_INACTIVE,   * What additional eDRX capability for RRC\_INACTIVE? E.g. long DRX cycle? * Granularity of eDRX capability, .e.g.per UE? (legacy is per UE) * FDD/TDD diff? (legacy yes) * FR1/FR2 diff? (Legacy no) * Any others? | Need to be resolved in RAN2;  Note: RAN2 agreements:  1. eDRX feature can be supported by non RedCap UEs.  2. A UE in idle mode requests eDRX configuration via NAS signalling. FFS if capability signalling in RAN, as part of the UE capability message, is also needed.  3. eDRX support is optional for the RedCap UE. |
| For RRC\_IDLE:   * A UE in idle mode requests eDRX configuration via NAS signalling. FFS if capability signalling in RAN, as part of the UE capability message, is also needed. | Need to be resolved in RAN2;  Whether to capture it as optional features without UE capability under section 5 or capability signalling in RAN or nothing? |

**Discussion point 3.1.3-1: Companies are invited to provide view on open issue lists summarized in table 1 ?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company’s name** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Discussion point 3.1.3-2: Companies are invited to provide view on whether any open issue is missing in table 1 ? Note: only essential issues need to be listed;**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company’s name** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## 3.2 Phase 2 discussion

### 3.2.1 Review of capability TS38.331 running CR

### 3.2.2 Review of capability TS38.306 running CR

### 3.2.3 Open issue lists for capability discussion

# Summary report and proposals

# References

[1] R2-2201737 [offline-105] RedCap capabilities Intel

[2] R2-2201750 [offline-105] RedCap capabilities - second round Intel

[3] R2-2201732 [Pre116bis-e][103][RedCap] Summary of NCD-SSB / Initial BWP aspects Ericsson

[4] R2-2201738 [offline-106] NCD-SSB and Initial BWP aspects Ericsson

[5] R2-2201753 [offline-106] NCD-SSB and Initial BWP aspects - second round Ericsson

[6] R2-2201734 [offline-103] identification and access restriction aspects Huawei

[7] R2-2201751 [offline-103] identification and access restriction aspects - second round Huawei

[8] R2-2201735 [offline-104] RRM relaxations Samsung

[9] R2-2201752 [offline-104] RRM relaxations - second round Samsung