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### 1 Introduction

This is the email report of [Post115-e][897] Modeling of SN and SCG information reporting:

* [Post115-e][897][SON/MDT] Modeling aspects related to information required by SN/SCG (Huawei)

Scope:

Progress on the open issues in 8.13.2.3

Progress on ASN.1 modeling which will help us understand the overhead issue.

Intended outcome: Report

Deadline: October 21th, 0900 UTC

It is proposed to set the following deadlines for this email discussion:

* Phase 1: collect companies’ opinions. Deadline: October 18th, 0900 UTC
* Phase 2: finalize the summary. Deadline: October 21th, 0900 UTC

Please add company contact details into the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Name** | **Email Address** |
| Intel | Candy | Candy.yiu@intel.com |
| Qualcomm | Rajeev | rkum@qti.qualcomm.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### 2 Discussion

#### 2.1 Background of report of SCG Failure Information

RAN2#115-e agreements are:

***Report and Content of SCG Failure Information:***

6 RAN2 confirms that the 5 information requested by RAN3 LS ‎ R3-211332 ‎ are needed, and how to report them to the network could be further discussed.

The parameters are listed as below:

RAN3 discussed the solution for the optimization of PScell change failure for MRO in case of MR-DC. RAN3 agreed it is beneficial for the NG-RAN node to receive the list of information as shown below for the purpose of PSCell failure analysis:

1) CGI of the Source PSCell: the source PSCell of the last SN change. The source PSCell could be E-UTRA cell or NR cell.

2) CGI of the Failed PSCell: the PSCell in which SCG failure is detected or the target PSCell of the failed PScell change. The Failed PSCell could be E-UTRA cell or NR cell.

3) timeSCGFailure: the time elapsed since the last PSCell change initialization until SCG failure.

4) connectionFailureType: radio link failure or SN change failure.

5) random-access related information set by the PSCell

One company had strong concerns on re-using existing SCG failure messages because of overhead issues. Among all parameters, the overhead and the need of RA related information are controversial. While other parameters did not have large overhead.

* random-access related information set by the PSCell: 7 companies (7/11) support to report this information, and 4 companies (4/11) think it is open or not needed, and we should first decide if SgNB RACH report could include SCG failure scenario which can cover the RA failure case.

To be more detailed, here is a rough calculation about the relevant parameters:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Needed parameters (due to RAN3 LS R3-211332) | Rough calculations |
| CGI of the Source PSCell  (NR cell is assumed here) | Total: at most 84 bits  If following CGI-Info-Logging-r16:   * PLMN id (24 bits) * Cell id (36 bits) * TAC (24 bits) optional |
| CGI of the Failed PSCell  (NR cell is assumed here) | Total: at most 84 bits |
| timeSCGFailure | Total: 10 bits  If followingtimeConnFailure-r16: (actual value=field value\*100ms)   * 10 bits |
| connectionFailureType | Total: 1 bit |
| RA information | Total: depends  If following RA-InformationCommon-r16:   * Couple of fields, and PerRAInfoList-r16 may be large as it may include at most 200 entries |

In general, the first 4 parameters are around 179 bits, and the size of RA information may be very large.

In [3], regarding how to report the information, the rapporteur’s summary is as below:

|  |
| --- |
| **Rapporteur summary and suggested WF**  Almost all companies think P9/P10/P11/P12/P15 acceptable, and one company has strong concern on reusing existing SCG failure information messages to carry MRO related measurements, and suggests to use a separate message other than existing SCG failure messages. One company thinks P5 may not be needed. For P14, since it is for separate message, most companies do not support it.  As these have been discussed multiple times and these proposals got support by great majority, and it is unclear how further discussions would help to find a even better WF. Therefore Rapporteur suggests checking if the following could be agreeable. |

#### 2.2 Discussion how to report SCG Failure Information

It is understood that the strong concern is about the negative impacts of introducing more parameters for the existing SCG failure messages, especially about RA information. It seems that the first 4 parameters can be put to the existing SCG failure message, and for RA information, the overhead depends on the the actual RA behaviours. So there may be the following candidate options:

* Option 1: put RA information in the existing SCG failure message
* Option 2: put RA information in RA report. At RAN2#115-e, it was agreed to extend RA report for both successful and failure on-demand SI request, and option 2 can follow the same way as for on-demand SI reporting, i.e. extend RA report for Pscell change failure scenario

The examples of ASN.1 changes of option 1 and option 2 are provided in section 5 Annex.

**Question 1: Which of options is preferred? If you have another option, please add it in the table below and also add ASN.1 changes in section 5 Annex if needed.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Preferred option** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Option 2 | It seems reasonable to put the RA information (due to large size) in the RA report. |
| Qualcomm | Option 2 | Agree with Intel |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

#### 2.3 Discussion on details for new parameters

There are some FFSes captured in the minutes, and P10 has been covered by Q1. For P11 and P12, both proposals are about the parameter details, so it is proposed to collect companies’ opinions.

**FFS:**

**Proposal 10 Reuse existing SCG failure messages to transfer the SCG failure information for PSCell ‎failure analysis requested by RAN3.‎**

**Proposal 11 If reuse existing SCG failure messages, add new fields for the first 3 information (i.e., ‎CGI of the Source PSCell, CGI of the Failed PSCell, and timeSCGFailure) requested in RAN3 LS R3-211332.**

**Proposal 12 If reuse existing SCG failure messages, reuse existing field of failureType for the 4th information (i.e., ‎connectionFailureType‎) requested in RAN3 LS R3-211332 ‎.**

**Proposal 15 Check with RAN3 first about whether EN-DC and NG-EN-DC scenarios are in the consideration of RAN3 LS R3-211332 for the SCG failure recording for the purpose of PSCell failure analysis.**

For the first 4 parameters, possible ASN.1 changes are listed is in the following table:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Needed parameters (due to RAN3 LS R3-211332) | Possible ASN.1 changes (based on TS 38.331 v16.5.0) |
| 1) CGI of the Source PSCell | New parameter and optional. The filed could refer to CGI-Info-Logging-r16.  CGI-Info-Logging-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {  plmn-Identity-r16 PLMN-Identity,  cellIdentity-r16 CellIdentity,  trackingAreaCode-r16 TrackingAreaCode OPTIONAL  }  In Rel-16, RAN3 sent a LS R2-2000028/R3-197668 to ask about adding TAI for MRO, and then RAN2 agreed to add TAC into CGI info. Whether TAC is needed for parameter 1) may need to be checked by RAN3. |
| 2) CGI of the Failed PSCell | New parameter and optional. The filed could refer to CGI-Info-Logging-r16. TAC part may need to be checked by RAN3.  CGI-Info-Logging-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {  plmn-Identity-r16 PLMN-Identity,  cellIdentity-r16 CellIdentity,  trackingAreaCode-r16 TrackingAreaCode OPTIONAL  } |
| 3) timeSCGFailure | New parameter and optional. The definition can reference timeConnFailure-r16  timeConnFailure-r16 INTEGER (0..1023)  Field description: Actual value = field value \* 100ms. The maximum value 1023 means 102.3s or longer. |
| 4) connectionFailureType | New parameter and optional. The defintion can reference connectionFailureType-r16.  connectionFailureType-r16 ENUMERATED {rlf, hof}, |

**Question 2: Do you agree with the above ASN.1 analysis on the first 4 parameters?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Yes |  |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

For the 5th parameter, possible ASN.1 changes are listed is in the following table.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Needed parameter (due to RAN3 LS R3-211332) | Possible ASN.1 changes (based on TS 38.331 v16.5.0) |
| 5) random-access related information set by the PSCell | New parameter and optional. The parameter could refer to RA-InformationCommon-r16  RA-InformationCommon-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {  absoluteFrequencyPointA-r16 ARFCN-ValueNR,  locationAndBandwidth-r16 INTEGER (0..37949),  subcarrierSpacing-r16 SubcarrierSpacing,  msg1-FrequencyStart-r16 INTEGER (0..maxNrofPhysicalResourceBlocks-1) OPTIONAL,  msg1-FrequencyStartCFRA-r16 INTEGER (0..maxNrofPhysicalResourceBlocks-1) OPTIONAL,  msg1-SubcarrierSpacing-r16 SubcarrierSpacing OPTIONAL,  msg1-SubcarrierSpacingCFRA-r16 SubcarrierSpacing OPTIONAL,  msg1-FDM-r16 ENUMERATED {one, two, four, eight} OPTIONAL,  msg1-FDMCFRA-r16 ENUMERATED {one, two, four, eight} OPTIONAL,  perRAInfoList-r16 PerRAInfoList-r16,  ...  } |

**Question 3: Do you agree with the above ASN.1 analysis on the 5th parameter?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Intel | Yes |  |
| Qualcomm | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The intention of this email is to progress on the open issues in 8.13.2.3 (RAN2#115-e minutes), and if something is missing, please provide your comments in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### 3 Conclusion

[To be added]
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[3] R2-2108964 Report of [AT115e][871][SON/MDT] Modeling aspects related to information required by SN/SCG (CATT)

[4] R2-2109208 Reply LS on RACH report for SgNB and information needed for MRO in SCG Failure Report

[5] R2-2102639/R3-211332 LS on information needed for MRO in SCG Failure Report
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### 5 Annex

**ASN.1 changes for option 1: (based on TS 38.331 v16.5.0)**

New changes are marked in red.

*– SCGFailureInformation*

The *SCGFailureInformation* message is used to provide information regarding NR SCG failures detected by the UE.

Signalling radio bearer: SRB1

RLC-SAP: AM

Logical channel: DCCH

Direction: UE to Network

***SCGFailureInformation* message**

-- ASN1START

-- TAG-SCGFAILUREINFORMATION-START

SCGFailureInformation ::= SEQUENCE {

criticalExtensions CHOICE {

scgFailureInformation SCGFailureInformation-IEs,

criticalExtensionsFuture SEQUENCE {}

}

}

SCGFailureInformation-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

failureReportSCG FailureReportSCG OPTIONAL,

nonCriticalExtension SCGFailureInformation-v1590-IEs OPTIONAL

}

SCGFailureInformation-v1590-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

lateNonCriticalExtension OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

nonCriticalExtension SEQUENCE {} OPTIONAL

}

FailureReportSCG ::= SEQUENCE {

failureType ENUMERATED {

t310-Expiry, randomAccessProblem,

rlc-MaxNumRetx,

synchReconfigFailureSCG, scg-ReconfigFailure,

srb3-IntegrityFailure, other-r16, spare1},

measResultFreqList MeasResultFreqList OPTIONAL,

measResultSCG-Failure OCTET STRING (CONTAINING MeasResultSCG-Failure) OPTIONAL,

...,

[[

locationInfo-r16 LocationInfo-r16 OPTIONAL,

failureType-v1610 ENUMERATED {scg-lbtFailure-r16, beamFailureRecoveryFailure-r16,

t312-Expiry-r16, bh-RLF-r16, spare4, spare3, spare2, spare1} OPTIONAL

]],

[[

field1 CGIOfTheSourcePScell OPTIONAL,

field2 CGIOfTheFailedPScell OPTIONAL,

field3 TimeSCGFailure OPTIONAL,

field4 ConnectionFailureType OPTIONAL,

field5 RA-InformationCommon-r16 OPTIONAL

]]

}

MeasResultFreqList ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxFreq)) OF MeasResult2NR

-- TAG-SCGFAILUREINFORMATION-STOP

-- ASN1STOP

**ASN.1 changes for option 2: (based on TS 38.331 v16.5.0)**

New changes are marked in red.

*– SCGFailureInformation*

The *SCGFailureInformation* message is used to provide information regarding NR SCG failures detected by the UE.

Signalling radio bearer: SRB1

RLC-SAP: AM

Logical channel: DCCH

Direction: UE to Network

***SCGFailureInformation* message**

-- ASN1START

-- TAG-SCGFAILUREINFORMATION-START

SCGFailureInformation ::= SEQUENCE {

criticalExtensions CHOICE {

scgFailureInformation SCGFailureInformation-IEs,

criticalExtensionsFuture SEQUENCE {}

}

}

SCGFailureInformation-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

failureReportSCG FailureReportSCG OPTIONAL,

nonCriticalExtension SCGFailureInformation-v1590-IEs OPTIONAL

}

SCGFailureInformation-v1590-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

lateNonCriticalExtension OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

nonCriticalExtension SEQUENCE {} OPTIONAL

}

FailureReportSCG ::= SEQUENCE {

failureType ENUMERATED {

t310-Expiry, randomAccessProblem,

rlc-MaxNumRetx,

synchReconfigFailureSCG, scg-ReconfigFailure,

srb3-IntegrityFailure, other-r16, spare1},

measResultFreqList MeasResultFreqList OPTIONAL,

measResultSCG-Failure OCTET STRING (CONTAINING MeasResultSCG-Failure) OPTIONAL,

...,

[[

locationInfo-r16 LocationInfo-r16 OPTIONAL,

failureType-v1610 ENUMERATED {scg-lbtFailure-r16, beamFailureRecoveryFailure-r16,

t312-Expiry-r16, bh-RLF-r16, spare4, spare3, spare2, spare1} OPTIONAL

]],

[[

field1 CGIOfTheSourcePScell OPTIONAL,

field2 CGIOfTheFailedPScell OPTIONAL,

field3 TimeSCGFailure OPTIONAL,

field4 ConnectionFailureType OPTIONAL

]]

}

MeasResultFreqList ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxFreq)) OF MeasResult2NR

-- TAG-SCGFAILUREINFORMATION-STOP

-- ASN1STOP

RA report is extended to support Pscell change failure scenario, and it may have some impacts:

* For ASN.1, it may need to differentiate among different scenarios, e.g. on-demand SI, Pscell failure
* For the procedural text, it may need some changes, e.g. when the UE occurs PSCell failure, the UE should set RA information and relevant fields

UEInformationResponse-r16-IEs ::= SEQUENCE {

measResultIdleEUTRA-r16 MeasResultIdleEUTRA-r16 OPTIONAL,

measResultIdleNR-r16 MeasResultIdleNR-r16 OPTIONAL,

logMeasReport-r16 LogMeasReport-r16 OPTIONAL,

connEstFailReport-r16 ConnEstFailReport-r16 OPTIONAL,

ra-ReportList-r16 RA-ReportList-r16 OPTIONAL,

rlf-Report-r16 RLF-Report-r16 OPTIONAL,

mobilityHistoryReport-r16 MobilityHistoryReport-r16 OPTIONAL,

lateNonCriticalExtension OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,

nonCriticalExtension SEQUENCE {} OPTIONAL

}

RA-ReportList-r16 ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxRAReport-r16)) OF RA-Report-r16

RA-Report-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

cellId-r16 CHOICE {

cellGlobalId-r16 CGI-Info-Logging-r16,

pci-arfcn-r16 SEQUENCE {

physCellId-r16 PhysCellId,

carrierFreq-r16 ARFCN-ValueNR

}

},

ra-InformationCommon-r16 RA-InformationCommon-r16 OPTIONAL,

raPurpose-r16 ENUMERATED {accessRelated, beamFailureRecovery, reconfigurationWithSync, ulUnSynchronized,

schedulingRequestFailure, noPUCCHResourceAvailable, requestForOtherSI,

spare9, spare8, spare7, spare6, spare5, spare4, spare3, spare2, spare1},

...

}