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# Introduction

This document aims at collecting company views on pre-configured assistance data as per the following email discussion:

* **[Post115-e][605][POS] Pre-configured assistance data (Intel)**

Scope: Discuss signalling and validity criteria for pre-configured assistance data:

* Options for validity conditions:
	+ - Option A: Based on a validity area (e.g. a list of cells)
		- Option B: Based on a (configured) validity timer or a numerical limit on number of times it is utilized
		- Option C: Based on explicit modification or release from the LMF/NG-RAN
		- Option D: Based on the UE’s current location and/or the time
* Validity in relation to the duration of the positioning session
* Need for enhancements for signalling and use of pre-configured assistance data:
	+ Add/mod/release mechanism for PRS configurations
	+ Dynamic triggering of a preconfigured PRS at UE by LMF or gNB for making measurements on DL-PRS
	+ Dynamic triggering of a preconfigured SRS at UE by gNB for transmitting SRS based on measurement report provided by UE
	+ Priority indications for multiple (pre-)configured assistance data sets corresponding to multiple position fixes
* Stage 2 impact of pre-configured assistance data

Intended outcome: Report to next meeting

Deadline: Long

# Contact Information

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Phase 1 discussion

## Validity in relation to the duration of the positioning session

Based on the FFS from RAN2#114 meeting, there were proposals from several companies discussing the need for defining some validity criteria associated with the pre-configured assistance data in order to enable usage of the positioning assistance data for more than one consecutive positioning sessions. [6] proposes to optionally configure validity conditions for enabling usage of the positioning assistance data for more than one consecutive positioning sessions and lists several possible options. [8] and [13] proposes that the pre-configuration of assistance data is valid within a specific area and period. It is proposed in [10] that the pre-configured positioning assistance data is considered valid unless explicitly modified or released by the LMF/NG-RAN. [27] also proposes that the pre-configured assistance data can be activated based on the condition which can be specified by the UE’s current location and/or the time.

Based on the above, it would be good to get a baseline understanding of company views on when it would be useful to consider and associate validity condition for usage of pre-configured assistance data to the UE for a single positioning session. In other words, if pre-configured assistance data is configured during a positioning session and is only considered valid during that positioning session, whether we still need to consider additional validity conditions. So, companies are invited to comment on whether the validity condition(s) should be considered for usage of pre-configured assistance data across a single positioning session.

**Question1-1: Do companies think validity condition(s) need to be defined for pre-configured assistance data configured during a single positioning session?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Question 1-2: If the answer to the above question is Yes, what should be UE behavior when the validity condition(s) for usage of pre-configured assistance data are no longer met?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary:**

Before discussing the applicability of the pre-configured assistance data to multiple positioning sessions, it should be discussed what the relationship between pre-configured assistance data and a given positioning session is, i.e. whether the former can be configured independently of a positioning session. Companies are invited to provide input to the following questions:

**Question 2-1: Do companies think pre-configured assistance data can be configured independent of any positioning session, i.e. not necessarily configured for a specific positioning session?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

Depending on whether pre-configured assistance data is configured independent of any positioning session, the next question is whether pre-configured assistance data should be considered valid for more than one positioning session. In other words, whether the pre-configured assistance data can be utilized for positioning procedures across multiple positioning sessions should be discussed.

**Question 2-2: Do companies think whether pre-configured assistance data should be considered valid for usage across multiple (consecutive) positioning sessions?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

If the answer to the above question is no, then we may not need to consider definition of validity conditions for pre-configured assistance data across multiple sessions since it can be implicitly assumed that previously pre-configured assistance data is no longer valid for a new positioning session. However, if the answer to the above question is yes, the same principle as in Question 1-1 needs to be established for multiple positioning sessions as well, so company views are invited for that case.

**Question 2-3: If the answer to question 2-2 is yes, do companies agree that validity condition(s) need to be defined for usage of pre-configured assistance data across multiple (consecutive) positioning sessions?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Question 2-4: If the answer to the above question is Yes, what should be UE behavior when the validity condition(s) for usage of pre-configured assistance data are no longer met?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary:**

## Validity conditions for pre-configured assistance data

Regarding the specific validity conditions to be defined, it was captured in the last meeting minutes to consider at least the following options:

 Option A: Based on a validity area (e.g. a list of cells)

 Option B: Based on a (configured) validity timer or a numerical limit on number of times it is utilized

 Option C: Based on explicit modification or release from the LMF/NG-RAN

 Option D: Based on the UE’s current location and/or the time

Companies are invited to comment on whether they support one or more of the validity conditions individually captured above.

 **Question 3-1: Regarding the validity conditions/criteria associated with pre-configured assistance data, do companies think validity condition based on a specified area (e.g. a list of cells where the pre-configured assistance data is considered valid) should be supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Question 3-2: Regarding the validity conditions/criteria associated with pre-configured assistance data, do companies think validity condition based on a configured validity timer should be supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Question 3-3: Regarding the validity conditions/criteria associated with pre-configured assistance data, do companies think validity condition based on an upper limit on the number of times the UE utilizes the assistance data for positioning should be supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Question 3-4: Regarding the validity conditions/criteria associated with pre-configured assistance data, do companies think whether the UE continuing to use assistance data for positioning until explicit modification/release by LMF/NG-RAN should be supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

**Question 3-5: Regarding the validity conditions/criteria associated with pre-configured assistance data, do companies think validity condition based on UE’s current position/location should be supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

In addition to the above options captured in the meeting minutes, companies are invited to propose if they have some other validity condition in mind to consider. Companies are suggested to add helpful details for the proposed options to make sure the proposed solution is clearly understood.

**Question 3-5: Do companies think some other validity condition(s) than those discussed in Questions 3-1 to 3-5 should be supported? If so, please provide details of how it would work.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary:**

## Need for enhancements for signalling and use of pre-configured assistance data

Several enhancements related to signaling and use of pre-configured assistance data were proposed by companies during the last meeting. As per the meeting minutes, the following enhancements were identified for further discussion:

|  |
| --- |
| * Add/mod/release mechanism for PRS configurations and complete definition of priority of PRS configuration for measurement, including the PRS configuration received by dedicated LPP signalling and posSIB [7]
* Dynamic triggering of a preconfigured PRS at UE by LMF or gNB for making measurements on DL-PRS [13]
* Dynamic triggering of a preconfigured SRS at UE by gNB for transmitting SRS based on measurement report provided by UE [13]
* Priority indications for multiple (pre-)configured assistance data sets corresponding to multiple position fixes [15]
 |

Given the fact that the above options are based on individual company proposals and there has not been an opportunity to collect views form other companies on the need and feasibility of supporting these enhancements, rapporteur thinks it would be good to collect company views and comments in Phase 1 discussion. Based on the outcome, we can then discuss further details as needed in Phase 2.

**Question 4-1: Which of the following proposed enhancements need to be pursued in Rel-17 NR positioning discussion? (Select all that apply)**

1. **The introduction of an Add/mod/release mechanism for PRS configurations**  **and a complete definition of priority of PRS configuration for measurement**
2. **Dynamic triggering of a preconfigured PRS at UE by LMF or gNB for making measurements on DL-PRS**
3. **Dynamic triggering of a preconfigured SRS at UE by gNB for transmitting SRS based on measurement report provided by UE**
4. **Priority indications for multiple (pre-)configured assistance data sets corresponding to multiple position fixes**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Options** | **Comments/Reason** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:**

## Other issues

Companies are invited to comment whether there are any other open issues with respect to pre-configuration of assistance data that need to be discussed.

**Question 5-1: Do companies think there are any other critical issues to be addressed regarding pre-configured assistance data?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary:**

# Phase 2 discussion

# References

1. R2-2107090 Discussion on positioning latency reduction ZTE discussion
2. R2-2107091 Discussion on scheduled location time ZTE discussion
3. R2-2107132 Discussion on Response LS on Scheduling Location in Advance to reduce Latency from SA2 CATT discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh-Core
4. R2-2107134 Discussion on Enhancements for Latency Reduction CATT discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh-Core
5. R2-2107135 Discussion on storage of UE Positioning Capabilities LS from SA2 and the granularity of response time LS from RAN1 CATT discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh-Core
6. R2-2107399 Further consideration of positioning latency enhancements OPPO discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh-Core
7. R2-2107500 Discussion on positioning latency Huawei, HiSilicon discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh-Core
8. R2-2107641 Discussion on latency enhancement vivo discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh-Core
9. R2-2107642 Discussion on Scheduling Location in Advance to reduce Latency vivo discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh-Core
10. R2-2107670 Scheduled location time based latency reduction Intel Corporation discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh
11. R2-2107673 Storing UE positioning capability in AMF Intel Corporation discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh
12. R2-2107680 Summary of agenda 8.11.2 Latency enhancements Intel Corporation discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh Late
13. R2-2107681 Discussion on Enhancements for Latency Reduction InterDigital, Inc. discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh
14. R2-2107962 Discussion on the response time Samsung discussion Rel-17
15. R2-2108127 Positioning Latency Reduction Enhancements Lenovo, Motorola Mobility discussion Rel-17
16. R2-2108175 Positioning enhancements on latency reduction Xiaomi discussion
17. R2-2108367 Scheduling Location in Advance to Reduce Latency Qualcomm Incorporated discussion
18. R2-2108376 [draft] Response LS on Scheduling Location in Advance to reduce Latency Qualcomm Incorporated LS out Rel-17 FS\_NR\_pos\_enh To:SA2 Cc:RAN1, RAN3
19. R2-2108377 LPP impacts for UE positioning capability storage Qualcomm Incorporated discussion
20. R2-2108378 [draft] Response LS on storage of UE Positioning Capabilities Qualcomm Incorporated LS out Rel-17 To:SA2 Cc:RAN3
21. R2-2108393 Utilizing Time T and other associated parameters Ericsson discussion
22. R2-2108397 On UE Positioning Capabilities Ericsson discussion
23. R2-2108536 Discussion on latency reduction for positioning CMCC discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh-Core
24. R2-2108704 Enhancement to reduce latency for high volume positioning Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh-Core
25. R2-2108769 Handling of multiple QoS for latency reduction Samsung Electronics discussion NR\_pos\_enh-Core
26. R2-2108771 Latency reduction via configured grant for positioning Samsung Electronics discussion NR\_pos\_enh-Core
27. R2-2108773 Discussion on the scheduled location time Samsung Electronics discussion NR\_pos\_enh-Core
28. R2-2106918 Reply LS to SA2 on Scheduling Location in Advance (R1-2106312; contact: Qualcomm) RAN1 LS in Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh To:SA2 Cc:RAN2, RAN3
29. R2-2107133 Draft Response LS to SA2 on the scheduled location time CATT LS out Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh-Core To:SA2 Cc:RAN1, RAN3
30. R2-2106919 LS on granularity of response time (R1-2106316; contact: Huawei) RAN1 LS in Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh To:RAN2
31. R2-2106971 LS on storage of UE Positioning Capabilities (S2-2105153; contact: Qualcomm) SA2 LS in Rel-17 5G\_eLCS\_ph2 To:RAN2 Cc:RAN3
32. R2-2107680 Summary of agenda 8.11.2 Latency enhancements Intel Corporation discussion Rel-17 NR\_pos\_enh