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# 1 Contact Points

Respondents to the email discussion are kindly asked to fill in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| Intel (Rapporteur) | Rafia Malik | rafia.malik@intel.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 2 Introduction

This document is the report of the following email discussion:

* [Post114-e][512][URLLC/IIoT] T-synch open issues (Intel)

**Scope:** Progress discussion on RAN2 related aspects to PDC (e.g. how PDC is triggered/activated, signaling, assistance information from UE, whether to support UE based compensation and/or gNB based compensation etc)?

**Intended outcome:** Report with agreeable proposals

**Deadline:** Long

# 3 Discussion

## 3.1 Network pre-compensation

In RAN2 #113e, the topic of propagation delay compensation was discussed and it was agreed that “*RAN2 to confirm which PDC option to choose is up-to RAN1 to decide.*”[1]. There has been discussion in RAN1 regarding methods of PDC which shall be supported in Release 17, however, final decision is yet to be made. On the topic of network pre-compensation, an LS was received from RAN3 on gNB-based propagation delay compensation, where RAN3 has requested *RAN1 and RAN2 to inform RAN3 if a decision is reached to support gNB-based PDC* [2]. In RAN1 meeting #104bis-e, it was concluded to “*Leave it to RAN2 to decide whether to support UE based compensation and/or gNB based compensation for any propagation delay compensation method RAN1 may adopt for Rel-17, if applicable.*” [3]. It is therefore up to RAN2 to decide whether pre-compensation at the gNB should be supported in Release 17.

### 3.1.1 Network Pre-compensation for TA-based PDC method

In Rel-16, UE-side PDC is up to UE implementation and gNB does not perform pre-compensation, as from RAN2#109-e agreement “*In Rel-16, propagation delay compensation may be done by UE implementation*”, as well as field description of *time*: “The indicated time is referenced at the network, i.e., without compensating for RF propagation delay”.

In Rel-17, several companies discuss TA-based PDC in the contributions submitted to the last RAN2 meeting #114e [4][5][6][7][8][9][10][11][12][13][14][15]. Some companies consider that PDC may be conducted by the gNB or the UE [4] [6][7][8][12][13]. Companies in support of network precompensation have the view that for TA-based PDC method, network compensation can avoid error components in the propagation delay compensation arising due to TA estimation at the UE side [8][12][4] and it may require less resources if gNB can perform PDC for the unicast scenario [8], while some companies think it is unclear if pre-compensation can outperform [10]. It may however not be feasible for broadcast scenario since different UEs may have different propagation delays, therefore gNB cannot perform pre-compensation in the broadcast signalling [4][7][10]. Companies not in favour of supporting network pre-compensation have the view that since pre-compensation method can only work if all UEs have the same path delay or the reference time must only be delivered via dedicated signalling for all such UEs, it is unnecessary to support in addition to the legacy UE-side TA PDC method [5][10][15].

**Question 1a: Please indicate your company view on whether network pre-compensation is supported for TA-based method, in addition to legacy UE-based propagation delay compensation?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Support/Don’t support | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The reference timing becomes inaccurate if both the network and the UE apply the propagation delay compensation, or in other words double compensate. In [4][6][7][8][13], it has been proposed to introduce some measure to indicate to the UE when pre-compensation has been applied by the gNB to avoid double compensation of the propagation delay at both the UE and the network side.

**Question 1b: If network pre-compensation is supported for TA based method, do companies agree that network indicates to the UE (e.g. via a unicast RRC signalling) when pre-compensation has been performed by the gNB?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### 3.1.2 Network Pre-compensation for RTT-based PDC method

The RTT based compensation method makes use of the propagation delay measurements to determine the distance between the UE and the gNB [3]. There are two flavors of RTT-based PDC method:

* UE side compensation. A UE measures UE Rx-Tx time difference and receives the gNB Rx-Tx time difference from the gNB. The UE then calculates the propagation delay and compensates the received reference timing.
* gNB side pre-compensation. A UE measures UE Rx-Tx time difference and reports it to gNB. gNB measures the gNB Rx-Tx time difference and pre-compensates the reference timing information before providing to UE.

While details of measurement framework are FFS in RAN1 [3], these measurements may likely be exchanged between the UE and the gNB e.g through unicast signalling [4] regardless of whether compensation is done at UE or at gNB. Therefore, for RTT based method, some companies think that pre-compensation at the gNB may be relatively easier to implement from perspective of the overall signaling exchange [4][14], where gNB can perform PDC if UE can report UE Rx-Tx time difference to NW [7][9][14], e.g as part of *MeasurementReport* [4][14]. Companies opposing pre-compensation for RTT based PDC suggest that if the design philosophy of Timing Delta MAC CE from IAB can be reused, then UE-side PDC seems simple in this case [9]. One company suggests that whether the Timing Delta MAC-CE should be a DL MAC-CE or re-designed as an UL MAC-CE on Uu needs to be discussed in RAN2 [14].

**Question 2: For RTT-based PDC method, which of the following option(s) do companies support?**

* Option 1: Support UE-side PDC only for RTT based method.
* Option 2: Support gNB-side pre-compensation only for RTT method
* Option 3: Support both UE-side PDC and gNB-side pre-compensation for RTT based method

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option supported | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.2 Propagation Delay Compensation Activation/Deactivation

Companies discuss in the contributions submitted to the last RAN2 meeting #114e whether the gNB can enable/disable UE side PDC [5][6][8][9][11][13]. The gNB may, for instance, disable UE-side PDC if network pre-compensation is applied or in the case of short path delay or stringent synchronicity requirements [5][6][9][11][14][19] to avoid double compensation [4][6][7][8][13] and avoid unnecessary path estimation errors, respectively [5][6].

### 3.2.1 UE-side PDC activation/deactivation for TA-based method

Some options are identified as below for companies to choose from, where the gNB explicitly signals to the UE whether to enable and/or disable PDC. Similar options were also identified in the email discussion [17], however, PDC activation/deactivation issue could not be discussed during the RAN2#112e meeting due to limited time. From signalling perspective Option 1 below and Question 1b earlier propose similar unicast RRC signalling, however, the context for network behaviour is different for both cases. There are companies who do not indicate support for network pre-compensation, however, still think that UE-side PDC may be activated/deactivated based on the scenario and synchronization requirement [5][9]. Therefore, we discuss the issue of PDC activation/deactivation separately here. Option 2 below is valid for the case when not all UEs in a cell need PDC e.g due to different distances from the gNB, or being in different scenarios (control to control, smart grid etc) with different synchronization requirements [8][9][17].

* **Option 1:** The gNB enables/disables UE-side PDC via unicast-RRC signalling for TA based method
* **Option 2:** The gNB enables/disables UE-side PDC via indication in SIB for TA-based method
* **Option 3** Other

**Question 3a: Please indicate which option(s) do companies support for UE-side PDC activation/deactivation for TA based method.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option supported | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 3b: For companies who support Option 1 in Question 3a and agree that network indicates to the UE when pre-compensation has been performed by the gNB in Question 1b, please indicate your company view on whether the signalling can be same for both cases.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Same/Different/Other | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### 3.2.2 UE-side PDC activation/deactivation for RTT based method

The signalling flow and framework is yet to be finalized in RAN1 for RTT based method. Activation/deactivation of UE-side PDC can be part of the signalling flow e.g. for UE-side PDC, if UE starts to measure UE Rx-Tx difference only after receiving gNB Rx-Tx time difference from the network, then lack of such information from the gNB could implicitly disable UE-side PDC. Therefore, it might be better to wait for RAN1 progress before discussing how UE-side PDC is activated/deactivated for RTT based method.

**Question 4: Do companies agree that RAN2 waits for RAN1 to decide the signalling framework/flow of RTT based method before discussing the issue of UE-side PDC activation/deactivation for RTT based method?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Agree/Disagree | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.3 Other methods for PDC Triggering and Assistance Information from UE

Companies also discussed other methods for UE-side PDC in RAN2 meeting #112e as summarized in email discussion summary [17]. Few companies think that in some cases, the UE may indicate to the gNB (in e.g. UEAssistanceInformation) when it believes that a PD update is needed [7][9][13], or an implicit activation based on pre-configured threshold may be used for activation of UE-side PDC [12]. On the other hand some companies think that UE assistance or threshold configuration may not be beneficial [11], since the threshold-based mechanism is only beneficial when the network is required to frequently change indication to the UE e.g when UE is sufficiently close to the gNB [13].

**Question 5: Do companies support assistance information from the UE which could for example be used by gNB to active PDC?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 6: Do companies support that UE-side PDC may be implicitly activated when a pre-configured threshold is met? FFS how such threshold is pre-configured.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

There are also other proposals whether a new trigger for TA update can be introduced e.g if TA estimation error exceeds several times TA granularity (not applicable to SCS > 60KHz) [8], or if UE can trigger random access or RACH procedure and proactively acquire PD estimation if it does not have valid TA e.g for UEs in RRC\_IDLE or RRC\_INACTIVE state [7][12][14][16]. RAN2 in the last meeting #114e indicated in LS to SA2 [18] that it is beneficial for NG-RAN to receive time synchronization error budget available for Uu interface. Having this information regarding time synchronization budget, it is rapporteur’s understanding that in RRC\_CONNECTED the gNB may send MAC CE for timing update whenever required and UE may not need to trigger a TA update.

**Question 7: Do companies support that a UE may trigger a TA update or RACH procedure for PDC?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 4 Conclusion

TBD.
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