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# 1 Introduction

This document is the report of the following email discussion:

* [Post113bis-e][222][R16 DCCA] Cell grouping for NR-DC (Nokia)

Scope: Discuss the signalling solutions for R16 NR-DC cell grouping based on the corresponding RAN4 LS.

      Intended outcome: Discussion report and CRs (if possible)

      Deadline:  Long

=> Long deadline equals to, May 10 23.59 PDT (same as submission deadline)

# 2 Contact Points

Respondents to the email discussion are kindly asked to fill in the following table.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email Address |
| Nokia (Rapporteur) | Jarkko Koskela | Jarkko.t.koskela@nokia.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Discussion

In RAN2#113e we technically endorsed CRs regarding UE capability signaling for NR DC cell grouping. In RAN#91e concerns were raised regarding limiting the signaling to 5 bands and this resulted in following conclusion (RP-210880):

### *3.6 Summary from Final Round*

*Only further input was from Nokia indicating that they are fine with the moderators proposal but would also be ok to go with "The signalling should* ***aim*** *to support more than 5 bands". Given this the conclusion from the discussion is unchanged as follows:*

***Conclusion****:*

1. *The RAN2 endorsed CRs (*[*R2-2102210*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2102210.zip) *and* [*R2-2102211*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2102211.zip) *) are not approved at RAN#91e.*
2. *RAN4 are tasked to consider this topic (as described in RAN2 LS* [*R2-2102212*](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113-e/Docs/R2-2102212.zip) *) and provide input to RAN2 from their April meeting.*
3. *RAN2 are tasked to complete these CRs for RAN#92e, considering the input received from RAN4*

As a result of this RAN4 discussed the topic and provided a LS in [R2-2104652](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/LSin/R2-2104652.zip). In the LS RAN4 indicates:

*RAN4 would like to thank RAN2 for the LS on introducing Cell Grouping UE capability for NR-DC. According to the LS, the change in signaling uses a bit in the bitmap to represent a single frequency band within the band combination. The consequence of this bitmap is that a limit of up to 5 frequency bands for NR-DC band combinations can be signaled. RAN4 also identified issues with the PUCCH grouping alternative approach.*

*It is RAN4’s view that the limit of 5 frequency bands is not fully future-proof. While combinations defined in the current RAN4 specifications are able to be represented by the per-frequency-band RAN2 bitmap, RAN4 envisions in the near future, NR-DC combinations with greater than 5 frequency bands may be specified. Moreover, granularity of per band in current RAN2 signalling can indicate cell grouping between different frequency bands, however it is not clear how to indicate cell grouping within one frequency band, e.g. NR-DC using two/more non-contiguous CCs within one frequency band. In this intra-band non-contiguous NR-DC case, the UE can support any cell grouping options from RAN4 point of view. This can be a default UE capability which means no need to indicate the cell grouping capability explicitly.**RAN4 thinks the support of these cases may be needed in the future depending on the operator’s demands, and the UE capability signaling needs to be extended at that time.*

And RAN4 requests RAN2 to consider that future NR-DC combinations are expected to be specified with greater than 5 frequency bands.

**Question 1**: RAN4 indicated that they will NR-DC band combinations with > 5 frequency bands may be specified in near future and requests RAN2 to consider this in their work. Do you think that > 5 frequency bands need to be supported in NR DC cell grouping signaling?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 1 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
| Nokia | Yes | It seems very likely that band combinations with more than 5 bands will be specified soon as we generally aim to have band specific UE capabilities defined as release independent manner we think it is important to support > 5 bands in capability signaling for NR DC cell grouping. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 1**: TBD.

**Proposal 1**: TBD.

Regarding granularity of signaling RAN4 indicated:

*Moreover, granularity of per band in current RAN2 signalling can indicate cell grouping between different frequency bands, however it is not clear how to indicate cell grouping within one frequency band, e.g. NR-DC using two/more non-contiguous CCs within one frequency band. In this intra-band non-contiguous NR-DC case, the UE can support any cell grouping options from RAN4 point of view. This can be a default UE capability which means no need to indicate the cell grouping capability explicitly.**RAN4 thinks the support of these cases may be needed in the future depending on the operator’s demands, and the UE capability signaling needs to be extended at that time*

So basically RAN4 indicates a concern regarding use case of supporting cell grouping between MCG on a band and SCG on same band but bit apart in frequency from MCG i.e. groups would be non-contiguous based on RAN4 input. RAN4 considers that this kind of case may need to be supported in future. Current technically endorsed RAN2 CRs do not support such a case as UE only indicates a band once in the NR DC cell grouping signaling. It should be noted that in LTE from which the basics of cell grouping was taken each entry of band combination is signalled in the cell grouping signaling and such a non-contiguous cell grouping as indicated by RAN4 would be supported.

**Question 2**: Do you think RAN2 needs to support above non-contiguous intra-band cell group signalling as indicated by RAN4?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 2 | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Technical Arguments |
| Nokia | Maybe | It is not 100% clear if this intra-band DC support is critical to have. Based on RAN4 input this case is not yet actually to be done in near future unlike > 5 bands NR DC cases.  But of course if signaling can support this in feasible manner then it would be good to avoid impacts in near future. We wonder also regarding PUCCH group signaling agreed in release 16 that it does not support such intra-band PUCCH grouping. Probably as that does not support this it is not critical to support this in this release as PUCCH group signaling would need also revision.. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary 2**: TBD.

**Proposal 2**: TBD.

If you answered Yes to question 1 and/or question 2 please provide possible solution how to solve those aspects

**Question 3**:Please provide high level description how would you solve RAN4 input – especially if you answered yes to questions 1 and/or 2?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Answers to Question 3 | |
| Company | High level description of proposed solution(s) |
| Nokia | Based on RAN4 input they seem to want maximum freedom for capability signaling at least at some point of time. In order to support that kind of flexibility having any sort of optimizations seems quite difficult to do.  Basically, it seems that based on RAN4 input we would even need to remove NR optimization from current CRs referring to band but one should refer to band combination entry instead in order to support intra-band NR DC case.  If intra-band NR-DC signaling is not needed to be supported then though it seems that PUCCH grouping signaling based approach would seem to be feasible alternative supporting basically almost unlimited amount of bands. Thus we would think we have basic two approaches possible depending whether intra-band DC cell grouping is required:   1. Revise existing CRs to allow NR DC intra-band cell grouping – Then one needs to consider how to enable (if enabled) > 5 bands support with this signaling. It seems bit challenging to do >5 bands support with this signaling as the bitmap size increases exponentially. 2. Use PUCCH Cell grouping principle (e.g. as described in [R2-2103273](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_113bis-e/Docs/R2-2103273.zip)) which would allow indefinite amount of bands to be supported but may not support intra-band DC. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Summary 3**: TBD.

**Proposal 3**: TBD.

# 4 Conclusion

TBD.