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# Introduction

This document is to capture companies’ comments on the following discussion

* [Post113bis-e][108][RedCap] LS on eDRX cycles (Ericsson)

Scope: Discuss the content of an LS to SA2/CT1 based on meeting agreements. Check if additional questions/RAN2 preferences can be included in the LS (based on the discussion in the meeting).

Intended outcome: Approved LS

Deadline (for companies' feedback): Monday 2021-04-26 16.00 UTC

Deadline (for final LS in R2-2104374): Tuesday 2021-04-27 16.00 UTC

The discussion is based on the provided draft LS based on the Appendix in R2-2102965 [3]. Discussion on the potential contents of the LS was carried out in Offline 101 [1][2].

Companies are asked to provide their comments on the draft LS in this document with the following suggested schedule:

* Comments related to questions and content to be included in the LS, in this document, by Friday 2021-04-23 by 10.00 UTC
* Fine-tuning the wording and the content of the LS until Monday 2021-04-26 16.00 UTC

The draft LS will be updated based on the discussion and final version to be agreed on Tuesday 2021-04-27.

# Discussion

The draft LS includes the following agreements from RAN2#113bis-e on eDRX for RedCap

|  |
| --- |
| 1. RAN decides and configures eDRX via RRC for RRC\_INACTIVE (FFS on the need and details of coordination with the CN)
2. At least for eDRX cycle, the configurations of the eDRX for RRC\_IDLE and RRC\_INACTIVE can be different (FFS for PTW, e.g. length and starting point, when eDRX cycles are longer than 10.24s)
3. RAN2 assumes that CN provides necessary assistance information on eDRX config. for RRC\_IDLE to RAN (e.g. reusing eDRX config. defined in “CN Assistance Information for RRC INACTIVE IE” for E-UTRA/5GC).
4. eDRX feature, including the related parameters (i.e. PH, PTW. H-SFN) and corresponding paging operation defined for E-UTRA/5GC is used as baseline to enable eDRX >10.24sec for both RRC\_IDLE and RRC\_INACTIVE in NR/5GC
 |

**Discussion point 1:** Please provide feedback, if any, on the listed agreements, e.g. if you think an agreement is missing or if some of the agreement are not relevant for this LS in the table below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **DP1. Comments on the included agreements** |
| Nokia | We agree that these are the relevant agreements for SA2/CT1. |
| OPPO | We agree to provide these relevant agreements to SA2/CT1. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Discussion point 2:** The following text is included in the draft LS to ask about the feasibility of the extension:

*RAN2 would like to ask SA2 and CT1 whether it is feasible from SA2 and CT1 perspective to introduce extended DRX up to 10485.76 s in RRC\_IDLE and RRC\_INACTIVE and if feasible, to specify the necessary support.*

Please provide comments or suggestions, if any, on this in the table below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **DP2. Comments on question about feasibility of the extension** |
| OPPO | We agree to include the text as above. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Possible information from RAN to CN related to configuration extended RAN paging cycles was discussed during the offline [2] and in the comeback session. In brief, proponents think that such information would be needed for the CN to understand when UE is reachable and that RAN2 could mention this is preferable from RAN2 point of view. Opponents think this should be discussed in other WGs or have other concerns.

Rapporteur thinks this is not an unreasonable assumption from the network perspective. The original wording has been updated for the draft LS, to highlight that RAN2 understands there would be some mechanism and RAN providing the information is an example – it could be something else as well.

**Discussion point 3:** The following text is included in the draft LS related to information from RAN to CN:

*RAN2 assumes there will be a mechanism for the CN to estimate when the UE is unreachable while it is in RRC\_INACTIVE, e.g. by RAN providing necessary information to CN.*

Please provide comments or suggestions, if any, on this in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **DP3. Comments related to text related to information from RAN to CN** |
| Nokia | In general, we agree. Suggested modification:*RAN2 assumes there will be a mechanism for the CN to estimate when the UE is ~~un~~reachable while it is in RRC\_INACTIVE, e.g. by RAN providing necessary information to CN.*  |
| OPPO | No need to include the above text in the LS.In our understanding, whether this is a valid issue may depend on whether SA2/CTI1 confirms to support eDRX cycle value above 10.24s in RRC INACTIVE. As we have asked SA2/CTI1about the feasibility of the extension in the LS, if they have any concern, they would discuss whether RAN provides necessary information for RRC\_INACTIVE to CN. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Buffering of mobile terminated data when UE is sleeping due to eDRX is discussed in R2-2102965 [3] and was discussed briefly during the offline [1]. The intention trigger this discussion in SA2 as there are implications in RAN2 depending on which solution would be adopted. Based on R2-2102965 CN buffering may result in better mobility support and less impact in RAN2.

**Discussion point 4:** The following text is included in the draft LS related to data buffering:

*RAN2 has discussed data buffering during eDRX in RRC\_INACTIVE and concluded that it would be reasonable if CN buffers the data during the time the UE is unreachable and provides an indication to RAN in case there is DL traffic pending towards the UE. RAN2 would like to ask SA2 whether this is feasible.*

Please provide comments or suggestions, if any, on this in the table below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **DP4. Comments related to text related to buffering** |
| Nokia | In general, we are fine with the intention, but it is not clear why such indication from CN to RAN would be needed. Suggested modifications:*RAN2 has discussed data buffering during eDRX in RRC\_INACTIVE and concluded that it would be desired if CN buffers the data during the time the UE is unreachable ~~and provides an indication to RAN in case there is DL traffic pending towards the UE.~~ RAN2 would like to ask SA2 whether this is feasible.*  |
| OPPO | We think this is a legacy issue, not specific to RedCap.We don’t understand why to include the above text in the LS. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Please provide any other comments related to the contents of the LS in the table below:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Any other comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Summary / conclusion

TBD
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