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# 1 Brief scope of the paper

This document aims at collecting companies’ views regarding the Rel-17 NTN cell re-selection:

* [Post113bis-e] [101] [NTN] cell reselection (ZTE)

Scope: Discuss cell selection/reselection for NR NTN, also based on contributions for AI 8.10.3.2 at RAN2#113bis-e

Intended outcome: email discussion summary

Deadline: Long (May 10th)

The following sections elaborate on the topics listed in the scope above and the rapporteur would like to highlight:

* Only topics raised by at least two companies are covered in this email discussion considering the limited time before next meeting.
* Proposals on ephemeris format and provisioning are not covered in this email as there is not enough progress in RAN1 which would be helpful to RAN2 discussion.

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Timing info assisted cell reselection

The following agreements have been made in RAN2#113e with several FFS left:

*The information on when a cell is going to stop serving the area and/or the timing information (e.g. timer or absolute time) about new upcoming cell is supported at least in Earth-fixed NTN scenario. FFS if both types of information are needed. FFS if this is known from system information and/or the ephemeris.*

In various TDocs submitted to RAN2#113bis-e [1][2][4][6][7] [10] [11] [14] [16], understanding on the need and the usage of the information on when a cell is going to stop serving the area and/or the timing information has been shared to address the FFS left above.

### Need of the timing information

Firstly, companies are asked to express their views on what kind of timing information is needed to assist cell reselection in NTN:

1. The timing information on when a cell is going to stop serving the area (including serving cell and neighbor cells)
2. The timing information about new upcoming cell (i.e. the time when a new upcoming cell starts to be available)
3. Both a) and b)

Also the following options have been proposed on the applicable scenarios of the timing info to assist cell reselection:

a) Earth fixed scenario only

b) Both earth fixed and moving scenarios

Companies are encouraged to choose the preferable timing information, the applicable scenarios for the chosen one and justify their selection.

**Question 1: What kind of timing information is needed to assist cell reselection in NTN, a), b) or c)? And what is the applicable scenario for the chosen timing info, earth fixed only or both earth fixed and earth moving?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Applicable scenarios** | **Comments** |
| Samsung | a only | Quasi-Earth-fixed beam only (=Earth-fixed in the description above) | The timing information on when the serving cell will stop serving the area is not useful at all for Earth-fixed (GNSS) and Earth-moving non-GNSS satellites. As long as the information about neighbor cells (current and incoming) is broadcast, there is no need to waste precious SIB info to define “availability times” of upcoming neighbors. We observe that there are two types of neighbors: neighbors of the currently serving cell and upcoming cells (the cell that would have the same coverage as the currently serving cell and neighbors of such upcoming cell). |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

### Usage of the timing information

On the usage of the timing information when a cell is going to stop serving the area at UE side, the following options have been proposed:

1. Decide when to perform measurement on neighbor cells [1] [4] [6] [11] [16]
2. Decide the target cell for reselection [6] [16]
3. Decide when to perform cell reselection [10] [11]
4. Other

Companies are encouraged to choose one or more from the options above and justify their selection.

**Question 2: If companies understand that the timing info when a cell is going to stop serving the area is need (i.e. answer a/c to question 1), among all the options listed above, what is the preference on the usage of such info at UE side during cell reselection?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments**  (Companies answering d) are invited to provide more details in this column) |
| Samsung | Enhanced “a” | The gNB can broadcast a time threshold before which there is no need for the UE to search for neighbors for quasi-Earth-fixed cells if the UE is in the Inner Area of the cell (e.g., distance to the center is short OR RSRP is high) and after which the UEs can look for neighbors and perform cell reselection. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

On the usage of the timing information about new upcoming cell (i.e. the time when a new upcoming cell starts to be available), the following options have been proposed:

a) Decide when to perform measurement on neighbor cells [6]

b) Decide the target cell for reselection [6] [14]

c) Other

Companies are encouraged to choose one or more from the options above and justify their selection.

**Question 3: If companies understand that the timing information about new upcoming cell (i.e. the time when a new upcoming cell starts to be available is need (i.e. answer b/c to question 1), among all the options listed above, what is the preference on the usage of such info at UE side during cell reselection?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments**  (Companies answering c) are invited to provide more details in this column) |
| Samsung | c- Other. Not b. | We should perhaps discuss this along with SMTC configuration and measurement gap configuration. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Provisioning the timing information

The following options have been proposed on how to provide the timing information to UE:

1. System information [7]
   * 1. Only for earth fixed scenario [6] [16]
2. RRCRelease message [6]

c) Other

**Question 4: How the timing information is provided to UE?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments**  (Companies answering c) are invited to provide more details in this column) |
| Samsung | a and c | Yes- “a” is useful for quasi-Earth-fixed beams only.  The UE can use “time since last cell reselection” along with RSRP (and possibly distance/propagation delay) for quasi-Earth-fixed beams and Earth-moving beams when the UE is outside the “Inner Area” of the serving cell. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Ephemeris/Location assisted cell reselection

The following agreements have been made in RAN2#111e with several FFS left for ephemeris based cell reselection:

*Satellite/HAPS ephemeris based cell selection and reselection should be defined for NTN (FFS what the term satellite/HAPS ephemeris actually means). FFS when this ephemeris based cell selection / reselection can be used. FFS whether UE location (and/or other information) based cell selection and reselection should be introduced for NTN.*

In various TDocs submitted to RAN2#113bis-e [1] [4] [6] [11] [16], UE location assisted cell reselection have been proposed. Companies are invited to share their preference on whether to support location assisted cell reselection in NTN.

**Question 5: Do companies support to introduce location assisted cell reselection in NTN?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Samsung | Yes | Earth-fixed beams: distance between UE and serving cell center (or, equivalently RSRP or UE-serving cell one-way propagation delay) exceeds a threshold (indicating that the UE is away from the serving cell) and the neighbor RSRP exceeds a threshold (indicating that the neighbor cell can provide adequate signal strength), the UE performs cell reselection.  Quasi-Earth-fixed beams and Earth-moving beams: time since last cell reselection exceeds a threshold (indicating that the UE is away from the serving cell) and the neighbor RSRP exceeds a threshold (indicating that the neighbor cell can provide adequate signal strength), the UE performs cell reselection. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Similar to the discussion happened for location based CHO, we need to understand what the term “location” actually implies and what kind of quantity needs to be considered during cell reselection. It can be at least one of the following:

1. Distance between the UE and the satellite [11] [16]
2. Distance between the UE and the cell centre (of either the serving cell or the neighbor cell) [1] [11] [14] [16]
3. other

Companies are encouraged to choose one or more from the options above and justify their selection.

**Question 6: If companies support to introduce location assisted cell reselection in NTN (i.e. answer “Yes” to Question 5), what kind of quantity needs to be considered, a), b) or c)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments**  (Companies answering c) are invited to provide more details in this column) |
| Samsung | b | The combination of RSRP (especially of the neighbor cell) and distance between the UE and the cell center would be very helpful. The distance by itself (i.e., as a standalone trigger) should not be used, because the neighbor cell may not be able to provide adequate signal strength to the UE, resulting in failed cell reselection toward cell and delaying cell reselection to a suitable neighbor cell. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Further details on how to use the location information to assist cell reselection have also been shared by the proponents and we have the following options to consider:

a) UE use the location information to decide when to perform measurement on neighbor cells [4] [11]

b) UE use the location information to decide the target for cell reselection

* + 1. Among the N best cells ranked based on R-criterion, UE re-select to the cell with shortest distance [1] [11]
    2. Only cells with distance shorter than a threshold will be considered as candidates for re-selection. [11]
    3. Cells with shorter distance are biased in cell ranking based on R criterion. [11] [14]

c)other

Companies are encouraged to choose one or more from the options above and justify their selection.

**Question 7: On usage of the location information to assist cell reselection, which option(s) are preferred, a), b) or c)?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments**  (Companies answering c) are invited to provide more details in this column) |
| Samsung | a and c. Not b. | Instead of distance to a neighbor cell, we prefer a more direct quantity- neighbor RSRP. Similar to the combination trigger that RAN2 has discussed for CHO, we prefer the use of a combination trigger for cell reselection.  RAN2 should discuss what combination triggers would be most useful for cell selection/reselection. Examples of useful combination triggers include (i) serving cell propagation delay (or UE-cell center distance) larger than a threshold AND neighbor cell RSRP larger than a threshold (suitable for all beams), (ii) time since last cell reselection greater than a threshold AND neighbor cell RSRP larger than a threshold (suitable for quasi-Earth-fixed beams and Earth-moving beams). At least these two combination triggers are quite helpful in our view. Furthermore, multiple triggers can be simultaneously evaluated by the UE and the UE can perform cell reselection when any of the trigger conditions is satisfied. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 Network type and/or scenario indication for neighbor cells

The following agreement has been made on the network type indication at RAN2#113e:

*RAN2 thinks that a UE needs to know whether the network is a TN or NTN no later than SIB1 reception*

In various TDocs submitted to RAN2#113bis-e [1] [5] [10], there has been interest in an explicit indication for network type (e.g. TN vs NTN) and /or network scenario (e.g. earth moving vs earth fixed, GEO/ LEO /HAPS).

Since this email discussion focus on cell reselection in NTN, companies are invited to show their preference on whether there is need to indicate network type and/or scenarios explicitly for neighbor cells to assist cell reselection.

**Question 8: Is there a need to introduce explicit network type (e.g. NTN vs TN) indication for neighbor cells to assist cell reselection?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Samsung | Yes | We recommend the explicit network type to be defined and broadcast for the serving cell as well as neighbor cells. In our view, a comprehensive “network type” that distinguishes among a TN, GEO, MEO Quasi-Earth Fixed Beams, MEO Earth-moving Beams, LEO Quasi-Earth Fixed Beams, and LEO Earth-moving beams should be defined in RAN and broadcast in a SIB and conveyed to the AMF by the gNB on the N2 interface. Our understanding based on one of the LSs from SA2 is that SA2 has already defined GEO, MEO, and LEO as RAT Type (which should perhaps be NTN Type and not RAT Type!).  While we prefer an explicit NTN Type indication to simplify the UE operations, we are willing to compromise if an efficient method to convey the NTN Type implicitly is suggested.  We suggest that RAN2 discuss if the NTN Type should also convey the NTN platform type (e.g., GEO vs. MEO vs. LEO) and the beam type (e.g., quasi-Earth-fixed vs. Earth-moving) for non-GNSS satellites. Several companies had expressed a view to broadcast the beam type. We can combine beam type with the NTN type (including TN/NTN separation) to efficiently convey the NTN Type along with the beam type. We are also willing to compromise if a separate beam type is defined.  We are also open to a compromise if the physical layer indicating the NTN type explicitly or implicitly if RAN1 is open to such solution. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Question 9: Is there a need to introduce explicit network scenario (e.g. earth moving vs earth fixed, GEO/ LEO /HAPS) indication for neighbor cells to assist cell reselection?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Samsung | Yes | For both cell selection and reselection, the conveying of the NTN Type would help the UE prioritize selection of a specific type of the network (TN vs NTN as well as preference of one type of NTN platform to another NTN platform type). |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.4 Other issues

If companies understand there are also other issues need to be discussed but have not covered by the above questions, please list them below. Note that the issues listed in this chapter might not necessarily result in proposals to be discussed as part of the report of this email discussion.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Issues or comments** |
| Samsung | We suggest that RAN2 discuss the following topics.  1. Efficient neighbor search. There are huge opportunities for the UE to save power by not searching for neighbors at all when the UE is in the Inner Area (IA) of a cell. The UE should look for neighbors only when it is outside the IA for Earth-fixed and Earth-moving beams. For quasi-Earth-fixed beams, timer can be used as part of a combined criterion for neighbor search. It is possible to define the IA of a cell using quantities such as RSRP, the UE’s relative location in the cell, and time (e.g., time since last cell reselection and remaining serving time of the current cell for quasi-Earth-fixed beams).  2. Satellite-movement based offset for cell selection/reselection. Some companies had suggested the use of the knowledge of the satellite movement to prioritize/de-prioritize selection of a given neighbor cell. We suggest that RAN2 consider adding a satellite movement-based offset so that an outgoing cell is not preferred and an incoming cell is preferred. Indeed, for an Earth-moving beam case, if the satellite is moving from East to West, even the highest-speed UE cannot move into a cell that is West of the currently serving cell. The neighbor cell rank can be calculated by considering the satellite movement-based offset.  3. s-IntraSearchP. A UE may not search for a neighbor when the serving cell RSRP meets the s-IntraSearchP criterion. This can pose a challenge in quasi-Earth-fixed cells where many UEs around the cell center and in the middle of the cell would have strong RSRPs and hence such UEs may not search for incoming neighbor cells. Hence, we suggest that RAN2 consider disabling s-IntraSearchP for an NTN, especially for quasi-Earth-fixed beams.  4. SIB-based cell change. The serving cell can send a SIB-based cell change order to an incoming cell in case of quasi-Earth-fixed beams. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 3 Conclusions

Based on the views expressed in the previous sections, we propose the following:

*To be added*
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