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# Introduction

This document contains summary of email discussion to agree further details of the RRC-based solution for small data transmission in INACTIVE:

* [Post113-e][502][SDT] General/Other CP issues (ZTE)

Scope:

1) Non-SDT data handling (including three options),

2) Subsequent data transmission issues (e.g. BSR triggers, etc).

3) Other remaining issues

Intended outcome: Report to the next meeting.

Deadline: long

**Deadline for company comments:**

To allow sufficient time to summarise and submit the summary and proposals to the upcoming meeting, the following deadline for company comments is proposed:

Deadline Friday March 26 1100 UTC

**Discussion summary**

* TBD

# Discussion

## Resuming non-SDT DRBs

The following agreements was made regarding SDT DRBs:

|  |
| --- |
| RAN2#111-e  Small data transmission is configured by the network on a per DRB basis  RAN2#112-e  For both RACH and CG based solutions, upon initiating RESUME procedure for SDT initiation (i.e. for first SDT transmission), the UE shall re-establish at least the SDT PDCP entities and resume the SDT DRBs that are configured for small data transmission (along with the SRB1).  RAN2#113-e (WA)  Support configuring of SRB1 and SRB2 for small data transmission for carrying RRC and NAS messages. |

Thus, only some radio bearers may be configured for SDT whilst some other radio bearers will not be configured for SDT. When SDT is initiated, then the UE resumes the SDT radio bearers. During the subsequent data transmission phase (i.e., after the first UL message has been sent), if data arrives on the non-SDT RBs, then the question is how to inform the network about the data arrival on the non-SDT RBs.

Related to the above is the question whether the UE resumes

There are two options:

1. Non-SDT RBs are also resumed:

In this option, the non-SDT RBs are also resumed and thus if there is any data for the non-SDT RBs this will be visible to the MAC layer.

1. Non-SDT RBs are not resumed:

In this option, the non-SDT RBs remain suspended. Then one question is whether the MAC entity can have the visibility of data available from upper layers in this case. A few companies have mentioned that this is a modelling issue in the UE and some of the current procedures in the MAC spec require the UE to be aware of the data over suspended RBs (as noted below for LTE).

|  |
| --- |
| 5.4.5 Buffer Status Reporting [OMITTED PART]  For the Buffer Status reporting procedure, the MAC entity shall consider all radio bearers which are not suspended and may consider radio bearers which are suspended. |

Based on the above it seems that regardless of whether or not the non-SDT RBs are resumed, modelling within the MAC can assume that data arriving on the non-SDT RBs can be visible to the MAC layer.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Q1: Do companies agree that regardless of whether or not the non-SDT RBs are resumed, modelling within MAC can assume that the data arriving on the non-SDT RBs can be visible to the MAC layer?  Note that the question is not about whether the BSR can be triggered (since this will depend on having MCG path etc.), it is only whether MAC layer can be aware of data or not. | | |
| Company | Yes/No | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

If it is assumed that the MAC entity can be aware of the data arriving over non-SDT RB, then it seems the decision whether the non-SDT RBs are resumed or not becomes less critical from the perspective of generating an indication about data arrival over non-SDT RBs. Thus, we can discuss the following question.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Q2: Which option do companies prefer for the non-SDT RBs?  Option 1 (Resume): Resume also the non-SDT RBs upon initiating SDT  Note: with option 1 we still need to ensure that data mapped to non-SDT RBs is not transmitted during SDT phase (even if these RBs are resumed) – companies can comment on mechanisms needed to ensure this if the preference is for option 1.  Option 2 (Don’t resume): Don’t resume non-SDT RBs until RRCResume is received (i.e., same as today) | | |
| Company | Resume/  Don’t Resume | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Indication to the network about data over non-SDT RBs

Once data arrives on a non-SDT bearer, there are multiple options to indicate this to the network:

Option 1: Another CCCH message is sent

In this option, another CCCH message (i.e., ResumeReq) is sent. As already discussed at the last meeting, with this option the open issues are:

1. How to handle the security material: Specifically, ResumeMAC-I will be repeated in the same cell case (and unlike Rel-15, this happens without any DL message from network – e.g., RRCReject). Whether this is acceptable or not needs to be confirmed by SA3.
2. NAS/AS interaction: Regarding this there are three options:
   1. NAS provides a new resume cause and triggers new resume procedure (without any changes needed within NAS) – this needs to be confirmed by CT1
   2. NAS can provide a new resume cause and trigger new resume procedure (with potentially some updates to the NAS spec) – again needs to be confirmed by CT1
   3. AS directly can trigger a new RRCResume procedure and generate a AS based resume cause (in this case we need to discuss what resume cause will be used etc. within RAN2)

Note that options a. and b. above assume that the non-SDT RBs are not resumed (i.e., option 2 for Q2). The understanding is that if non-SDT RBs are resumed, then NAS will not be involved any further in the overall procedure.

Option 2: A new MAC level indication is triggered

In this option a new MAC level indication is triggered. This could be a new MAC CE to indicate the arrival of data over non-SDT RBs.

Note that this may not be same as a BSR. As noted by few companies, BSR may not be automatically triggered (e.g., in the case when the non-SDT RB has no MCG path). So, it seems we cannot rely only on a BSR being triggered (as this will not work for all cases). So, if we want to go via MAC based indication, then it seems we need a new MAC CE to be triggered to indicate arrival of data over non-SDT bearers.

Option 3: A DCCH message is triggered

Finally, in this option a DCCH message is triggered by the UE to indicate arrival of data over non-SDT RBs.

We could define a new message or we could reuse some existing message (e.g., releasePrerence indication or something similar as commented during the online discussion). The actual payload can be small (i.e., just to indicate arrival of data over non-SDT RB or something similar – i.e., no payload is needed).

Since DCCH message uses SRB1, there is no further discussion needed on the security context as this would have been resumed already for SRB1.

So, first we can try to develop the understanding further for each of the above options.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Q3: For option 1 do companies agree with the overall characterization of this solution and with the open issues listed above?  Please add any further comments or questions about option 1 in company comments section so that we know the overall picture for this option. Also indicate pros and cons for this option from your perspective in the comments. | | |
| Company | Overall description is okay? (Yes/No) | Comments (pros and cons of option 1 – CCCH message) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Q4: Similarly, for option 2 do companies agree with the overall characterization of this solution and with the open issues listed above?  Please add any further comments or questions about option 2 in company comments section so that we know the overall picture for this option. Also indicate pros and cons for this option from your perspective in the comments. | | |
| Company | Overall description is okay? (Yes/No) | Comments (pros and cons of option 2 – MAC level indication) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Q5: Finally, for option 3 do companies agree with the overall characterization of this solution and with the open issues listed above?  Please add any further comments or questions about option 3 in company comments section so that we know the overall picture for this option. Also indicate pros and cons for this option from your perspective in the comments. | | |
| Company | Overall description is okay? (Yes/No) | Comments (pros and cons of option 3 – DCCH message) |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Then, finally, we can try to converge on one solution for the non-SDT data arrival based on the above discussion.

So, based on the views expressed above, companies can express their preference on which option we can proceed with and why.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Q6: Which option do you prefer for indicating the arrival of data over non-SDT RB?  Note1: Please indicate your first preference in the preferred option section. However, in the comments please also provide some indication on any other option which may be acceptable from your perspective (e.g., second preference etc.).  Note 2: If option 1 is preferred, please indicate which sub-option is your preference for option 1.  Note 3: For other options if there are any specific preferences or comments, please feel free to indicate this in the comments section too. | | | | |
| Company | Preferred option | | | Comments |
| 1 | 2 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Bearer types for SDT

A few companies have raised the topic of bearer types that should be supported for SDT. It would be good to conclude on all the following types of bearers:

1. MN terminated MCG bearer
2. MN terminated SCG bearer
3. MN terminated split bearer
4. SN terminated MCG bearer
5. SN terminated SCG bearer
6. SN terminated split bearer

For SDT, most of companies seem to assume that only the MCG path will be resumed. If this is confirmed, then any bearer subject to SDT shall have the MCG path. Then, for SN terminated bearers, further discussion will be needed if SDT is to be supported for these bearer types and RAN3 impacts need to be clarified too. So, it is good to understand company views on whether or not to support the SN terminated bearers for SDT. Keeping these aspects in mind, companies are invited to comment on which bearer types should be supported for SDT.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Q7: Which bearer types can be configured for SDT?  Note: In the comments, please indicate any specific aspects that need to be considered if a given bearer type is to be supported. | | | | | | | |
| Company | Bearer type supported for SDT? Y/N | | | | | | Comments |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Conclusion and proposals
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