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1. Introduction

This document captures the understanding among companies for the following we discuss a possible issue

on the interpretation of bandwidth combination set (BWCS) for a scenario where the band combination

comprises of inter-band EN-DC with intra-band EN-DC part:

* [Post112-e][052][NR15] BWCS for inter-ENDC BC with intra-ENDC band combination (Nokia)

 Scope: Based on R2-2011044, collect comments, determine agreeable clarifications.

 Intended outcome: Report, possibly draft CR, (unclear what ambition level can be possible).

 Deadline: short (not for RP).

2. Intra-band EN-DC with inter-band CA component

The concept of bandwidth combination sets was introduced in LTE CA and indicates the set of carrier bandwidths that a UE supports in CA/DC, allowing network to avoid configuring something UE wouldn't support.

The [R4-1910249](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_ran/wg4_radio/tsgr4_92/docs/R4-1910249.zip) was endorsed in RAN4#92 meeting that contained the following modifications:

A terminal which supports an EN-DC configuration shall support:

* If any subsets of the EN-DC configuration do not specify its own bandwidth combination sets in 5.3B, then the terminal shall support the same E-UTRA bandwidth combination sets it signals the support for in E-UTRA CA configuration part of E-UTRA – NR DC and shall support the same NR bandwidth combination sets it signals the support for in NR CA configuration part of E-UTRA – NR DC.
* Else if one of the subsets of the EN-DC configuration specify its own bandwidth combination sets in 5.3B, then the terminal shall support a product set of channel bandwidth for each band specified by E-UTRA bandwidth combination sets, NR bandwidth combination sets, and EN-DC bandwidth combination sets it signals the support.

Based on the RAN4 conclusion, for an inter-band EN-DC band combination with an intra-band EN-DC component, the UE needs to indicate the BWCS of LTE CA, BWCS of NR CA and BWCS of intra-band EN-DC. For example, consider a fictitious inter-band EN-DC combination as an example: 1A-2A-3A\_n3A\_n78A, the UE needs to report the BWCS of CA\_1A-2A-3A, CA\_ n3A-n78A and of the LTE-NR shared band component 3A\_n3A. For band 3 in LTE, the UE supports the intersection of channel bandwidth of CA\_1A-2A-3A and of the E-UTRA channel bandwidths of 3A n3A, and for band 3 in NR, the UE supports the intersection of channel bandwidth of CA\_n3A-n78A and of the NR channel bandwidths of 3A n3A.

To support reporting three BWCS for LTE CA, NR CA and intra-band EN-DC separately, the 3GPP RAN2 introduced the field *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* in Rel-15 and Rel-16 via CRs [R2-2002390](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2002390.zip) & [R2-2002127](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2002127.zip).

The changes are pasted here from the specification for easy reference from TS 38.306.

| ***supportedBandwidthCombinationSet***Defines the supported bandwidth combination for the band combination set as defined in the TS 38.101-1 [2], TS 38.101-2 [3] and TS 38.101-3 [4]. For NR CA, NR-DC, and intra-band EN-DC with additional inter-band NR CA component, the field defines the bandwidth combinations for the NR part of the band combination. For intra-band EN-DC without additional inter-band NR and LTE CA component, the field indicates the supported bandwidth combination set applicable to the NR and LTE band combinations. Field encoded as a bit map, where bit N is set to "1" if UE support Bandwidth Combination Set N for this band combination as defined in the TS 38.101-1 [2], TS 38.101-2 [3] and TS 38.101-3 [4]. The leading / leftmost bit (bit 0) corresponds to the Bandwidth Combination Set 0, the next bit corresponds to the Bandwidth Combination Set 1 and so on. It is mandatory if the band combination has more than one NR carrier (at least one SCell in an NR cell group) or is an intra-band EN-DC combination without additional inter-band NR and LTE CA component or both. | BC | CY | No | No |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC***Defines the supported bandwidth combination for the band combination set as defined in the TS 38.101-3 [4]. For intra-band EN-DC with additional inter-band NR/LTE CA component, the field defines the bandwidth combinations for the intra-band EN-DC component. Field encoded as a bit map, where bit N is set to "1" if UE support Bandwidth Combination Set N for this band combination as defined in the TS 38.101-3 [4]. The leading / leftmost bit (bit 0) corresponds to the Bandwidth Combination Set 0, the next bit corresponds to the Bandwidth Combination Set 1 and so on. It is mandatory if the band combination is an intra-band EN-DC combination with additional inter-band NR/LTE CA component. | BC | CY | No | No |

**Observation 1: The reporting of the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* is per EN-DC BC.**

**Observation 2: The reporting of the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* is mandatory for UEs if the band combination is an "intra-band EN-DC combination with additional inter-band NR/LTE CA component", independent from whether the intra-band EN-DC part supports one or more BWCS.**

As such band combinations are being deployed currently, when determining the UE support for such bands, it was noticed that an ambiguity may still exist in the description of these capabilities. Thus, to avoid having issues with UEs in the field, a clarification may still be needed.

# 3. Description of the issue

Figure 3-1 shows an example of the four-band EN-DC band combinations with **DL as DC\_1A-2A-3A\_n3A/ DC\_1A-2A-3A\_n3A** and corresponding **UL as DC\_1A\_n3A/DC\_2A\_n3A/DC\_3A\_n3A.**



Figure 3-1: An example four-band band combination of inter-band EN-DC with intra-band EN-DC part

**Observation 3: UE supporting DC\_1A-2A-3A\_n3A is allowed to indicate that it does not support e.g. uplink DC\_3A\_n3A but only supports uplink DC\_1A\_n3A and uplink DC\_2A\_n3A.**

It is understood from the RAN2 specification that even if the UE supports **only** the band combinations **DC\_1A-2A-3A\_n3A and DC\_1A-2A-3A\_n3A (**where **RED** portion indicates the supported UL configuration as per Figure 3-1**)**, the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* IE still needs to be reported due to the RAN2 condition for the band combination. If the UE does not signal this, the BWCS for band combination part 3A\_n3A cannot be known by the network (i.e. network doesn't know whether BWCS 0 or 1 is supported). However, even though the UL cannot be placed in the band 3 in the above examples (since the UE doesn't support it), this still means that the band combination is an "intra-band EN-DC with inter-band CA component" despite the UL carriers not being "intra-band" since the DL carriers on (LTE) band 3 and (NR) n3 are still considered as "intra-band". Therefore, the presence of the intra-band BWCS capability *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* is still mandatory according to TS38.306.

|  |
| --- |
| E-UTRA – NR configuration / Bandwidth combination set |
| DownlinkEN-DC configuration | Uplink EN-DC configurations | Component carriers in order of increasing carrier frequency | Maximum aggregated bandwidth (MHz) | Bandwidth combination set |
| Channel bandwidths for E-UTRA carrier (MHz) | Channel bandwidths for NR carrier (MHz) | Channel bandwidths for E-UTRA carrier (MHz) |
| DC\_3A\_n3A  | DC\_3A\_n3A(1) |  | 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 | 5, 10, 15, 20 | 50 | 0 |
|  | 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 | 5, 10, 15, 20 | 50 | 1 |
| 5, 10, 15, 20 | 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 |  |

**Table 3-1: 38.101-3 (Table 5.3B.1.3-1)**

**Observation 4: Any UEs in the field reporting a band combination comprising of an intra-band EN-DC combination with additional inter-band NR/LTE CA component before the introduction of RAN2 CRs R2-2002390 & R2-2002127 would be impacted in a non-backward compatible manner.**

**Question 1: Do companies have a common understanding on the background and Observations 1 to 4? If something is not aligned could you please describe in detail?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (please be descriptive and even refer to the example above if necessary to explain your points) |
| Apple | Yes, the observations 1-4 are valid. For observation 4, from our recollection, RAN2 agreed to go with the NBC change, as all the options at the table then were NBC ☺…! |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Based on the above discussion and to ensure this is clear to all UE implementations, we propose the following:

**Proposal 1: RAN2 to confirm that reporting of the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* is mandatory for UEs if the band combination is an intra-band EN-DC combination with additional inter-band NR/LTE CA component independent from whether the intra-band EN-DC part supports one or more BWCS.**

**Question 2: Do companies confirm Proposal 1?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Confim/Deny) – also supplement with examples if needed |
| Apple | We confirm proposal-1. But it might be better to clarify the definition of intra-band EN-DC that the uplink should be supported by the UE for both the LTE and NR “intra-bands” to make the corresponding intra-band EN-DC to work. Otherwise it becomes just an inter-band EN-DC.Then only the UEs which support the intra-band EN-DC would need to mandatorily report ***supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC.***We would like to get more views from other companies as well. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Proposal 2: RAN2 to confirm that the reporting of the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* is mandatory even when the intra-band EN-DC component of the mixed intra/inter-band EN-DC band combination UE is not a fallback band combination (e.g. 3A\_n3A is not a fallback of DC\_1A-2A-3A\_n3A and DC\_1A-2A-3A\_n3A but still UE shall report the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* IE).**

**Question 3: Do companies confirm Proposal 2?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Confim/Deny) – also supplement with examples if needed |
| Apple | “Deny”?? Pls see our comments for Q2. From that perspective, the UE does not actually support the intra-band EN-DC, and this is also not considered as a fallback as the parent “large” EN\_DC combination does not have support of UL for the LTE and NR intra-band parts anyway.In the above example, **DC\_1A-2A-3A\_n3A and DC\_1A-2A-3A\_n3A, there was no uplink on 3A at all.** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

However, this still leads to a question: If someone has interpreted this differently, i.e. a UE on the field does not support the RAN2 CRs [R2-2002390](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2002390.zip) & [R2-2002127](http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_109_e/Docs/R2-2002127.zip) but still reports a band combination comprising of an intra-band EN-DC combination with additional inter-band NR/LTE CA component, the network does not know which BWCS the UE supports. To solve such issue, it could be assumed that the UE supports (at least) BWCS 0 if the above capability is not reported. To make such a case clear, the existing capability may be updated as shown in the below text proposal.

| ***supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC***Defines the supported bandwidth combination for the band combination set as defined in the TS 38.101-3 [4]. For intra-band EN-DC with additional inter-band NR/LTE CA component, the field defines the bandwidth combinations for the intra-band EN-DC component. Field encoded as a bit map, where bit N is set to "1" if UE support Bandwidth Combination Set N for this band combination as defined in the TS 38.101-3 [4]. The leading / leftmost bit (bit 0) corresponds to the Bandwidth Combination Set 0, the next bit corresponds to the Bandwidth Combination Set 1 and so on. It is mandatory if the band combination is an intra-band EN-DC combination with additional inter-band NR/LTE CA component. If the band combination comprises of an intra-band EN-DC combination with additional inter-band NR/LTE CA component and this field is not reported, then the network may assume the UE only supports BCS 0. | BC | CY | No | No |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |

However, this needs to be confirmed by RAN2 so we propose that RAN2 discusses whether this interpretation is acceptable to all vendors:

**Proposal 3: RAN2 to confirm if the network may assume the UE only supports BCS 0 if the UE does not report the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* field for a band combination comprising of an intra-band EN-DC combination with additional inter-band NR/LTE CA component.**

**Question 4: Would companies support network making a default assumption (one example is in the text proposal) if UE did not report the *supportedBandwidthCombinationSetIntraENDC* for relevant BC*?***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Confim/Deny) – also supplement with examples if needed |
| Apple | Deny. But, if we first agree the definition of intra-band EN-DC in a larger DC combination needs that the UL of the LTE and NR “intra-bands” is needed, then BWCS absence to imply BWCS set -0 can be discussed. We are interested in other company views as well. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

**Question 5: Would companies support any other solution to resolve the issue*?***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Views (Confim/Deny) – also supplement with examples if needed |
| Apple | Pls see our comments to Q2 and Q4. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 4. Conclusion

**[To be added at the end of the discussion]**

# 5. Contact Information

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Email |
| Apple | naveen\_palle@apple.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |