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# Introduction

This document captures the important open issues amongst the SON functions’ related reporting that were postponed during the RAN2#109e-bis meeting.

* [Post109bis-e][961][MDTSON] SON open issues (Ericsson)

 Scope: FFSs

 Intended outcome: Report

 Deadline: Next meeting

FFS:

1 For SSB based RA attempt based on contention free random access resources contentionDetected-r16 and dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 are not included in PerRAInfoList-r16.

2 RAN2 to further discuss the UE behavior related to the scenario when the UE has a new RA procedure related RA report to be added to the existing list but appending the new EPLMN list to the existing contents of plmn-IdentityList exceeds the maximum limit.

3 RAN2 to discuss how the UE sets the contents of rlfCause field in rel-16 RLF report when the UE declares RLF due to LBT failure.

4 RAN2 to discuss how the UE sets the contents of failureType field in SCGFailureInfomationNR message when the UE declares RLF due to LBT failure.

Cat-b-Proposal 10 RAN2 to discuss the inclusion of “Re-connection attempt cell CGI” of E-UTRAN cell to the NR RLF Report.

Cat-b-Proposal 11 Provided “Re-connection attempt cell CGI” of E-UTRAN cell in included in the NR RLF Report, RAN2 to discuss the inclusion of TAC of the re-connection attempt cell.

Cat-b-Proposal 12 RAN2 to discuss the inclusion of “Re-connection attempt cell CGI” of NR cell to the NR RLF Report.

Cat-b-Proposal 13 Provided “Re-connection attempt cell CGI” of E-UTRA/NR cell in included in the NR RLF Report, RAN2 to discuss the inclusion of “reconnectionTimeSinceFailure” besides E-UTRAN/NR attempt cell ID to the NR RLF Report.

 14 Upon entering NR while using E-UTRA, the UE includes the E-UTRA cell information and the time spent in the E-UTRA cells in variable VarMobilityHistoryReport.

 15 Upon entering NR while using previously out of service, the UE includes the time spent out of service in variable VarMobilityHistoryReport.

# Discussion

## RAReport

### SSB based CFRA related:

This section is related to the following FFS.

1 For SSB based RA attempt based on contention free random access resources contentionDetected-r16 and dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 are not included in PerRAInfoList-r16.

There are two fields included as part of the perRAInfoList, first being contentionDetected-r16 and the second being dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16.

#### Inclusion of contentionDetected-r16 flag for SSB based CFRA

When the UE uses the contention free random access, there will be no contention detection or contention resolution. Also, during the last meeting all the companies had agreed that the UE is not needed to include contentionDetected-r16 flag for SSB based CFRA.

1. For SSB based RA attempt based on contention free random-access resources contentionDetected-r16 is not included in PerRAInfoList-r16.

Companies are invited to provide their opinion on the above proposal.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Agree |  |
| CATT | Agree |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies agreeing:

Number of companies not agreeing:

#### Inclusion of dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 flag for SSB based CFRA

When the UE uses the CFRA resources as part of the reconfiguration-with-sync procedure or for the beam failure recovery procedure, then the UE is allowed to use the CFRA resources only if the corresponding DL SSB RSRP is above the configured threshold. However, if the UE is PDCCH ordered to perform CFRA, then the UE does not check the DL SSB quality before using the CFRA resources.

Based on this, there can be different possibilities for including the dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 flag for SSB based CFRA.

* Option-1:

The UE does not include the dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 flag for SSB based CFRA for all scenarios.

* Option-2:

The UE does not include the dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 flag for SSB based CFRA if the CFRA is not associated to PDCCH ordered RA and the UE includes the dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 flag for SSB based CFRA if the CFRA is associated to PDCCH ordered RA.

So the companies are requested to provide their views on the following proposal.

1. RAN2 to select one of the two options.
	1. Option-1: The UE does not include the dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 flag for SSB based CFRA for all scenarios.
	2. Option-2: The UE does not include the dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 flag for SSB based CFRA if the CFRA is not associated to PDCCH ordered RA and the UE includes the dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 flag for SSB based CFRA if the CFRA is associated to PDCCH ordered RA.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Preferred Option** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Option-2 |  |
| CATT | Option-2 | PDCCH ordered RA can be categorized into ‘ulUnSynchronized’ triggered RACH procedure and also belongs to CFRA, unlike other CFRA procedure, UE will not check DL SSB quality before using the CFRA resources, so it’s better to clarify that dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 flag is invalid if dlRSRPAboveThreshold-r16 flag is present but contentionDetected-r16 is not present in the field description. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting option-1:

Number of companies supporting option-2:

### Logging PLMN Info in RA Report

This section addressed the following FFS.

RAN2 to further discuss the UE behavior related to the scenario when the UE has a new RA procedure related RA report to be added to the existing list but appending the new EPLMN list to the existing contents of plmn-IdentityList exceeds the maximum limit.

It has been agreed that the UE shall append the new EPLMNs to the existing contents of the plmn-IndentityList.

Keep the current procedural text as is wherein the UE appends the new EPLMNs to the existing contents of plmn-IdentityList

However, there was one open issue related to how to handle the scenario when the addition of new EPLMNs to the PLMN entries in the plmn-IndentityList exceeds the maximum number of PLMNs that can be stored in the field plmn-IndentityList. There was a solution proposed by one of the companies during the online session that the UE shall append the new EPLMNs to the PLMN entries in the plmn-IndentityList until the maximum number is reached and after that the UE need not perform such appending operation. Based on this proposal, the following options can be discussed.

* Option-1:

The UE shall append the new EPLMNs to the PLMN entries in the plmn-IndentityList until the maximum number is reached and after this limit is reached the UE shall stop the recording of the RAReports until the existing contents of VarRAReport is fetched by the network or the 48 hour time window expires.

* Option-2:

The UE shall append the new EPLMNs to the PLMN entries in the plmn-IndentityList until the maximum number is reached and after this limit is reached the UE shall only record the contents of the RAReport without recording the additional EPLMNs as part of the plmn-IndentityList.

Companies are requested to provide their views on the following proposal.

1. RAN2 to select one of the two options.
	1. Option-1: The UE shall append the new EPLMNs to the PLMN entries in the plmn-IndentityList until the maximum number is reached and after this limit is reached the UE shall stop the recording of the RAReports until the existing contents of VarRAReport is fetched by the network or the 48 hour time window expires.
	2. Option-2: The UE shall append the new EPLMNs to the PLMN entries in the plmn-IndentityList until the maximum number is reached and after this limit is reached the UE shall only record the contents of the RAReport without recording the additional EPLMNs as part of the plmn-IndentityList.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Preferred Option** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Option-1 |  |
| CATT | Option-1 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting option-1:

Number of companies supporting option-2:

## RLF report and SCG failure report related

### LBT Failure related *rlf-Cause* in RLF report

This section is related to the following FFS.

RAN2 to discuss how the UE sets the contents of rlfCause field in rel-16 RLF report when the UE declares RLF due to LBT failure.

Based on the current procedural text, when the UE declares RLF due to consistent LBT failure, the UE includes the RLF report.

2> upon T310 expiry in PCell; or

2> upon T312 expiry in PCell; or

2> upon random access problem indication from MCG MAC while neither T300, T301, T304, T311 nor T319 are running; or

2> upon indication from MCG RLC that the maximum number of retransmissions has been reached; or

2> if connected as an IAB-node, upon BH RLF indication received on BAP entity from the MCG; or

2> upon indication of consistent uplink LBT failures from MCG MAC:

 3> if the indication is from MCG RLC and CA duplication is configured and activated, and for the corresponding logical channel *allowedServingCells* only includes SCell(s):

4> initiate the failure information procedure as specified in 5.7.5 to report RLC failure.

3> else:

4> consider radio link failure to be detected for the MCG i.e. RLF;

4> discard any segments of segmented RRC messages received;

4> store the following radio link failure information in the *VarRLF-Report* by setting its fields as follows:

5> clear the information included in *VarRLF-Report*, if any;

5> set the *plmn-IdentityList* to include the list of EPLMNs stored by the UE (i.e. includes the RPLMN);

In such a scenario, while filling the contents of the RLF-Report, the UE needs to fill the field rlf-Cause which happens to be a choice amongst t310-Expiry, randomAccessProblem, rlc-MaxNumRetx and beamFailureRecoveryFailure. So, if the UE declares RLF due to LBT failure issues, then the UE cannot set the correct cause value. Therefore, to resolve this issue the rapporteur proposes to include lbtFailure as a rlf-Cause. Also, it can be stated that no additional LBT failure specific measurements will be added to RLF report in rel-16.

1. The UE includes *lbtFailure* as a rlf-Cause. No further LBT failure specific measurements are added to the RLF report in rel-16.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Yes | This inclusion is very simple and still gives the relevant information for the network to not use LBTFailure related RLFReports for other RLF related optimization. Therefore, we support this proposal.  |
| CATT | **May be** | No strong view |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting the proposal:

Number of companies not supporting the proposal:

### LBT Failure related *failureType* in SCGFailureInformation

This section is related to the following FFS.

RAN2 to discuss how the UE sets the contents of failureType field in SCGFailureInfomationNR message when the UE declares RLF due to LBT failure

Based on the current procedural text, when the UE declares SCG failure due to consistent LBT failure, the UE includes the SCG failure report to the MN.

The UE shall:

1> upon T310 expiry in PSCell; or

1> upon T312 expiry in PSCell; or

1> upon random access problem indication from SCG MAC; or

1> upon indication from SCG RLC that the maximum number of retransmissions has been reached; or

1> if connected as an IAB-node, upon BH RLF failure indication received on BAP entity from the SCG;

1> upon indication of consistent uplink LBT failures from SCG MAC:

2> if the indication is from SCG RLC and CA duplication is configured and activated; and for the corresponding logical channel *allowedServingCells* only includes SCell(s):

3> initiate the failure information procedure as specified in 5.7.5 to report RLC failure.

2> else if MCG transmission is not suspended:

3> consider radio link failure to be detected for the SCG, i.e. SCG RLF;

3> initiate the SCG failure information procedure as specified in 5.7.3 to report SCG radio link failure.

2> else:

3> if the UE is in NR-DC:

4> initiate the connection re-establishment procedure as specified in 5.3.7;

3> else (the UE is in (NG)EN-DC):

4> initiate the connection re-establishment procedure as specified in TS 36.331 [10], clause 5.3.7;

As part of the procedure to fill the contents of the SCGFailureInformationNR message (EN-DC scenario), the UE has to fill the failureType field for which as per the procedural text, it is already possible to include the failureType as *scg-lbtFailure*.

1> else if the UE initiates transmission of the *SCGFailureInformationNR* message due to consistent uplink LBT failures:

2> set the *failureType* as *scg-lbtFailure*.

However, the TS 36.331 ASN.1 does not allow this.

 failureType-r15 ENUMERATED {

 t310-Expiry, randomAccessProblem,

 rlc-MaxNumRetx,

 synchReconfigFailureSCG, scg-reconfigFailure,

 srb3-IntegrityFailure, t312-Expiry-r16},

The rapporteur believes this should be fixed in the LTE RRC specification.

1. Add the option of *scg-lbtFailure* as an option for *failureType* in *SCGFailureInformationNR* message of TS 36.331.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Yes | This is a correction in the specification and not a new addition. Therefore, we support this.  |
| CATT | **May be** | No strong view |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting the proposal:

Number of companies not supporting the proposal:

### Inter-RAT RLF report related

This section addresses the following FFSs.

Cat-b-Proposal 10 RAN2 to discuss the inclusion of “Re-connection attempt cell CGI” of E-UTRAN cell to the NR RLF Report.

Cat-b-Proposal 11 Provided “Re-connection attempt cell CGI” of E-UTRAN cell in included in the NR RLF Report, RAN2 to discuss the inclusion of TAC of the re-connection attempt cell.

Cat-b-Proposal 12 RAN2 to discuss the inclusion of “Re-connection attempt cell CGI” of NR cell to the NR RLF Report.

Cat-b-Proposal 13 Provided “Re-connection attempt cell CGI” of E-UTRA/NR cell in included in the NR RLF Report, RAN2 to discuss the inclusion of “reconnectionTimeSinceFailure” besides E-UTRAN/NR attempt cell ID to the NR RLF Report.

In addition, there was an agreement on the inter-RAT RLF report.

RAN2 agrees to postpone the discussion of details of inter-RAT related NR RLF report contents until the LS on the same topic is received from RAN3.

RAN2 agrees to postpone the discussion of details of inter-RAT related LTE RLF report contents until the LS on the same topic is received from RAN3.

RAN3 has sent a LS to RAN2 [1] regarding inter-RAT RLF report contents. As part of this LS, the following contents need to be added (all the 7 contents of the LS is classified under different sub-categories).

1. NR RLF report additions
	1. CGI of the E-UTRA or NR cell that served the UE at the last handover initialization in NR RLF Report. Previous PCell Id is either NR CGI or E-UTRA CGI. E-UTRA CGI of previous PCell should be added to the NR RLF Report.
	2. CGI of the target E-UTRA or NR cell of the handover (in case of handover failure) in NR RLF Report. Failed PCell Id is either NR CGI or E-UTRA CGI. E-UTRA CGI of failed PCell should be added to the NR RLF Report.
	3. CGI of successful re-connected NR cell or E-UTRA cell: For inter-RAT and inter-system MRO, inclusion of successful re-connected cell CGI will help the network to detect the root cause of the failure. For E-UTRA cell, the TAC of the successful re-connected cell is also needed. RAN3 already agreed the inter-RAT MRO and inter-system MRO in Rel-16 BL CRs.
	4. Time interval between HOF/RLF and successful RRC re-connection: This information helps the network to understand whether the re-connection cell could be used to detect the root cause of failure event.
2. LTE RLF report additions
	1. CGI of the NR or E-UTRA cell that served the UE at the last handover initialization in LTE RLF Report. Previous PCell Id is either NR CGI or E-UTRA CGI. NR CGI should be added to the LTE RLF Report.
	2. CGI of the target NR or E-UTRA cell of the handover (in case of handover failure) in LTE RLF Report. Failed PCell Id is either NR CGI or E-UTRA CGI. NR CGI should be added to the LTE RLF Report.
	3. CGI of successful re-connected NR cell or E-UTRA cell: For inter-RAT and inter-system MRO, inclusion of successful re-connected cell CGI will help the network to detect the root cause of the failure. For E-UTRA cell, the TAC of the successful re-connected cell is also needed. RAN3 already agreed the inter-RAT MRO and inter-system MRO in Rel-16 BL CRs.
	4. Time interval between HOF/RLF and successful RRC re-connection: This information helps the network to understand whether the re-connection cell could be used to detect the root cause of failure event.
3. LTE RLF reporting to NR cell related additions
	1. Source PCell of the failed handover using the NR RRC format in UEInformationResponse message: For handover failure, the UE RLF Report should be forwarded to the source node which triggered the handover. The source PCellId in NR RRC format is needed. failedPCellId-EUTRA should be PCell in which RLF is detected or the source PCell of the failed handover.

#### NR RRC specification related changes

Associated to the issue 1a in section 2.2.3, there is a need to add the possibility to include EUTRA CGI as the previous PCell in NR RLF report.

1. Add the possibility to include EUTRA CGI as the *previousPCellID* in NR RLF report.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting the proposal:

Number of companies not supporting the proposal:

Associated to the issue 1b in section 2.2.3, there is a need to add the possibility to include EUTRA CGI as the failed PCell in NR RLF report.

1. Add the possibility to include EUTRA CGI as the *failedPCellID* in NR RLF report.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be added later

Number of companies supporting the proposal:

Number of companies not supporting the proposal:

Associated to the issue 1c in section 2.2.3, there is a need to add the possibility to include NR or EUTRA CGI as the successful reconnection attempt cell in NR RLF report.

1. Include *selectedCellID* in NR RLF report and add the possibility to include EUTRA CGI or NR CGI and the associated TAC as part of the *selectedCellID*.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting the proposal:

Number of companies not supporting the proposal:

Associated to the issue 1d in section 2.2.3, there is a need to add the possibility to include time interval between HOF/RLF and successful RRC re-connection in NR RLF report.

1. Include *timeUntilReconnection* in NR RLF report which signifies the time interval between HOF/RLF and successful RRC re-connection.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |   |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting the proposal:

Number of companies not supporting the proposal:

Associated to the issue 3a in section 2.2.3, there is a need to add the possibility to include previous source cell related information using NR RRC format when reporting an LTE RLF report to a NR cell. Currently, the field failedPCell-EUTRA is used to encode the PCell in which RLF is detected or the target PCell of the failed handover. Based on the request from RAN3, we can change the field description of failedPCell-EUTRA to indicate that this field is used to encode the PCell in which RLF is detected or the source PCell of the failed handover.

1. Change the field description of failedPCell-EUTRA to indicate that this field is used to encode the PCell in which RLF is detected or the source PCell of the failed handover.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting the proposal:

Number of companies not supporting the proposal:

#### LTE RRC specification related changes

Associated to the issue 2a in section 2.2.3, there is a need to add the possibility to include NR CGI as the previous PCell in LTE RLF report.

1. Add the possibility to include NR CGI as the *previousPCellID* in LTE RLF report.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting the proposal:

Number of companies not supporting the proposal:

Associated to the issue 2b in section 2.2.3, there is a need to add the possibility to include NR CGI as the failed PCell in LTE RLF report.

1. Add the possibility to include NR CGI as the *failedPCellID* in LTE RLF report.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting the proposal:

Number of companies not supporting the proposal:

Associated to the issue 2c in section 2.2.3, there is a need to add the possibility to include NR or EUTRA CGI as the successful reconnection attempt cell in LTE RLF report.

1. Add the possibility to include EUTRA CGI (*selectedEUTRA-CellId*) or NR CGI (*selectedNR-CellId*) and the associated TAC of the cell in which the UE successfully performs reconnection after declaring RLF or HOF.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting the proposal:

Number of companies not supporting the proposal:

Associated to the issue 1d in section 2.2.3, there is a need to add the possibility to include time interval between HOF/RLF and successful RRC re-connection in NR RLF report.

1. Include *timeUntilReconnection* in KTE RLF report which signifies the time interval between HOF/RLF and successful RRC re-connection.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Yes/No/May be** | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting the proposal:

Number of companies not supporting the proposal:

## Mobility history information related

This section addresses the following FFSs.

 14 Upon entering NR while using E-UTRA, the UE includes the E-UTRA cell information and the time spent in the E-UTRA cells in variable VarMobilityHistoryReport.

 15 Upon entering NR while using previously out of service, the UE includes the time spent out of service in variable VarMobilityHistoryReport.

It has already been agreed to include LTE cell related mobility history information in the MHI report to be sent to the NR cell.

Agreements from RAN2#108 meeting:

1-3: Enhance Visited Cell Information List in NR to record the information of both LTE cells and NR cells.

The ASN.1 code for the MHI report in TS38.331 also includes this information.

***VisitedCellInfoList* information element**

-- ASN1START

-- TAG-VISITEDCELLINFOLIST-START

VisitedCellInfoList-r16 ::= SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxCellHistory-r16)) OF VisitedCellInfo-r16

VisitedCellInfo-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

 visitedCellId-r16 CHOICE {

 nr-CellId-r16 CHOICE {

 cgi-Info CGI-InfoNR,

 pci-arfcn-r16 SEQUENCE {

 physCellId-r16 PhysCellId,

 carrierFreq-r16 ARFCN-ValueNR

 }

 },

 eutra-CellId-r16 CHOICE {

 cellGlobalId-r16 CGI-InfoEUTRA,

 pci-arfcn-r16 SEQUENCE {

 physCellId-r16 PhysCellId,

 carrierFreq-r16 ARFCN-ValueEUTRA

 }

 }

 } OPTIONAL,

 timeSpent-r16 INTEGER (0..4095),

 ...

}

-- TAG-VISITEDCELLINFOLIST-STOP

-- ASN1STOP

There is also an agreement to use LTE as the baseline for MHI. As per the LTE baseline, the out-of-service related recording is also already supported.

1-1: Reuse LTE solution as the baseline for NR mobility history information.

The procedural text from TS 36.331 already captures this.

5.6.11.2 Initiation

If the UE supports storage of mobility history information, the UE shall:

1> Upon change of cell, consisting of PCell in RRC\_CONNECTED or serving cell in RRC\_IDLE, to another E-UTRA or inter-RAT cell or when entering out of service:

2> include an entry in variable *VarMobilityHistoryReport* possibly after removing the oldest entry, if necessary, according to following*:*

3> if the global cell identity of the previous PCell/ serving cell is available:

4> include the global cell identity of that cell in the field *visitedCellId* of the entry;

3> else:

4> include the physical cell identity and carrier frequency of that cell in the field *visitedCellId* of the entry;

3> set the field *timeSpent* of the entry as the time spent in the previous PCell/ serving cell;

1> upon entering E-UTRA (in RRC\_CONNECTED or RRC\_IDLE) while previously out of service and/ or using another RAT:

2> include an entry in variable *VarMobilityHistoryReport* possibly after removing the oldest entry, if necessary, according to following:

3> set the field *timeSpent* of the entry as the time spent outside E-UTRA;

However, the corresponding procedural text related to the inclusion of EUTRA cells’ MHI is not captured. As per the current procedural text, the UE records the time spent in LTE cells as time spent in out-of-service. This needs to be corrected.

As this is related to the exact procedural text to be added to the TS 38.331, the rapporteur proposes the text proposal below which can be discussed via companies’ comments.

**Text proposal for TS 38.331 to resolve the above issue (changes are highlighted in red text)**

5.7.9.2 Initiation

If the UE supports storage of mobility history information, the UE shall:

1> Upon change of cell, consisting of PCell in RRC\_CONNECTED or serving cell in RRC\_IDLE or RRC\_INACTIVE (for NR cell or an E-UTRA cell), to another NR or E-UTRA cell, or when entering out of service:

2> include an entry in variable *VarMobilityHistoryReport* possibly after removing the oldest entry, if necessary, according to following*:*

3> if the global cell identity of the previous PCell/serving cell is available:

4> include the global cell identity of that cell in the field *visitedCellId* of the entry;

3> else:

4> include the physical cell identity and carrier frequency of that cell in the field *visitedCellId* of the entry;

3> set the field *timeSpent* of the entry as the time spent in the previous PCell/serving cell;

1> upon entering NR (in RRC\_IDLE, RRC\_INACTIVE or RRC\_CONNECTED) while previously out of service:

2> include an entry in variable *VarMobilityHistoryReport* possibly after removing the oldest entry, if necessary, according to following:

3> set the field *timeSpent* of the entry as the time spent in out of service ~~outside NR~~.

Companies are requested to provide input on the above text proposal.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company name** | **Agree/Disagree with the TP**  | **Additional comments on consequences** |
| Ericsson | Agree |  |
| CATT | Agree |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Summary:** To be updated later

Number of companies supporting the TP:

Number of companies not supporting the TP:

# Conclusion

Based on the discussion in previous section, the following are captured as Cat-A proposals:

Based on the discussion in previous section, the following are captured as Cat-B proposals:
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