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# Introduction

In RAN2#109bis-e meeting the following conclusion for UL TX Switching-NR\_FR1 was achieved via online discussion

* In configuration indicate the UL carrier pair (a carrier on one band and another carrier on the other band) for UL Tx switching.
* In configuration indicate switching period (i.e., UL interruption) in *UplinkConfig*.
* to use UE capability filter for UL Tx switching capability reporting.
* R2 assumes that in configuration, we’d have explicit indicating that which carrier is carrier1, which carrier is carrier2.
* New or existing band combination list, under which the UE capabilities associated with UL Tx switching are reported, decide next meeting

Then it was assigned an email discussion as following

* [Post109bis-e][045][R16 Other] UL TX Switching-NR\_FR1 (China Telecom)

Scope: Make progress, pave the way for desicions needed to close this issue, take into account R1 LS (and R4 LS). Proponents could provide CR variants for review.
Intended outcome: Report
Deadline: Next meeting.

There were some fundamental issues for UE capability report remained, which would be continued in this email discussion. Besides, the RAN1 LS [3] and RAN4 LS [4] would be taken into account.

Let’s split this email discussion into 2 phases:

**Phase 1**: companies to provide the comments on the discussion paper and the draft CRs; Deadline: 2020-05-18 23:59 PST;

**Phase 2**: companies to provide the comments on the summary of the discussion paper and the updated CRs; Deadline: 2020-05-20 23:59 PST;

# Discussion

There are some questions on UE capability reporting remained after the online discussion in RAN2#109bis-e:

- to use legacy BC list or introduce a new BC list for reporting UE capability

- reporting capability on single band pair or each UL band pairs per BC that supports UL Tx switching

- whether including UL MIMO aspect in the capability parameters description.

Considering the last bullet above is a correction to TS 38.306, which has no obvious impact on the overall signalling structure, we suggest discussing it after the questions impacting ASN.1 are resolved. Thus, the first two questions are list in this round discussion for now.

For UE capability reporting, the legacy BC list or a new BC list may be used. UE capability reporting signalling structure depends on this fundamental issue. Based on previous discussion, there are some clarifications proposed for introducing new BC list as below for better understanding:

* The introduced band combination list only includes the band combination(s) that support UL Tx switching, which means it is a subset of full supported BC list.
* The capability in the introduced band combination list is only supported while UL Tx switching is enabled.
* All capability parameters that could be reported per band combination are included in the introduced band combination list.
* For one particular BC supporting UL Tx switching, the UE will report capability without UL Tx switching operation (1Tx+1Tx) in the legacy BC list, while report capability with UL Tx switching operation (1Tx+2Tx) in the new BC list. The network enables UL Tx switching by sending the UL Tx switching period location configuration to UE, thus UE monitors the DCI indicating UL transmission (using 1Tx or 2Tx) for both of the UL carriers, and performs UL Tx switching following the network scheduling. The UE behaviour of monitoring scheduling DCI is the same way as we have now.

We discussed this question for two rounds and had a majority view. So the rapporteur would suggest following the majority option, i.e, introducing a new BC list, which is used in the uploaded draft CRs. But still, companies are welcome to provide CR variants for review. Drafts are expected to remove concerns on both sides.

Q1: to use legacy BC list or introduce a new BC list for reporting UE capability

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments/CR examples |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Q2: reporting capability on single band pair or each UL band pairs per BC that supports UL Tx switching.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments/CR examples |
|  |  |
|  |  |

In RAN1 #100b-e, for uplink Tx switching the following agreements on inter-band UL CA have been reached:

For inter-band UL CA, if UE reports via capability signalling to support uplink Tx switching, UE further reports via capability signalling which option (between Option 1 and Option 2) is supported.

­        Option 1: If uplink Tx switching is configured, UE is not expected to be scheduled or configured with UL transmission on carrier 2 for case 1.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|   | Number of **Tx chains** in WID (carrier 1 + carrier 2) | Number of **antenna ports** for UL transmission (carrier 1 + carrier 2) |
| Case 1 | 1T+1T | 1P+0P |
| Case 2 | 0T+2T | 0P+2P, 0P+1P  |

 ­        Option 2: If uplink Tx switching is configured, UE can be scheduled or configured with UL transmission on both carrier 1 and carrier 2 for case 1.

o    UE can be scheduled or configured with UL transmission on either carrier 1 or carrier 2.

o    UE can be scheduled or configured with UL transmission on both carrier 1 and carrier 2 simultaneously.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|   | Number of **Tx chains** in WID (carrier 1 + carrier 2) | Number of **antenna ports** for UL transmission (carrier 1 + carrier 2) |
| Case 1 | 1T+1T | 1P+0P, 1P+1P, 0P+1P |
| Case 2 | 0T+2T | 0P+2P, 0P+1P |

RAN1 respectfully asks RAN2 to take the above information into account.

We provide an example in the uploaded draft CR. A capability ***uplinkTxSwitching-SupportedULCAOption*** is introduced to indicate which option between option1 and option2 is supported for inter-band UL CA where UE supports uplink Tx switching. Option 1 and option 2 for inter-band UL CA case are specified in TS 38.314 [5]. This capability is defined as per UE and conditional mandatory.

Q3: whether to introduce a capability as defined per UE, which reports the supported option (between option 1 or option 2, as specified in TS 38.214) in UL CA case where UE supports UL Tx switching.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments/CR examples |
|  |  |
|  |  |

In RAN4#94e, the follow agreements on DL interruption have been reached.

* The following duplex mode combinations (carrier 1 + carrier 2) do not require DL interruption:
	+ SUL+TDD
	+ TDD+TDD CA with the same UL-DL pattern
	+ TDD+TDD EN-DC with the same UL-DL pattern

In RAN4#94e-bis, the follow agreements on DL interruption related UE capability have been reached.

* Introduce UE capability to indicate DL interruption is needed for duplex mode combinations except the above combinations agreed in RAN4#94e not requiring DL interruption
* UE capability is defined as per band per band combination for each band pair supporting UL Tx switching
* For the band where DL interruption is needed, the RRM interruption requirements defined in RAN4 shall be applied
* Whether to allow DL interruption for each band combination can be discussed later in RAN4 after the signaling for DL interruption is defined.

RAN4 asks RAN2 to take into consideration above RAN4 agreements on DL interruption related UE capability in future work to introduce UE capability signaling.

DL interruption is specified in TS 38.133 [6]. As UE capability on DL interruption is defined as per band per band combination for each band pair supporting UL Tx switching, the following example may be helpful to understand this capability:

* + - For example, if one UE supports a band combination with DL bands A+B+C+D and UL bands A+B+C, and supports Tx switching between UL band A and B as well as UL band A and C (not supports Tx switching between UL band B and C), the capability for DL interruption should be reported as follows:
			* For UL band pair A and B, the UE reports whether there is interruption on each of the DL bands, i.e., DL band A, B, C and D.
			* For UL band pair A and C, the UE reports whether there is interruption on each of the DL bands, i.e., DL band A, B, C and D.

If the capabilities for switching period and DL interruption are reported only for the band pair(s) with UL Tx switching capability, the rough example is as below (the size of “SEQUENCE OF TxSwitchingCarrierPair-r16” is FFS as Q2)

BandParameters-v16xy ::= SEQUENCE {

 uplinkTxSwitchingBandPairListNR-r16 SEQUENCE OF TxSwitchingCarrierPair-r16 OPTIONAL

}

TxSwitchingCarrierPair-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

 bandIndexUL1-r16 INTEGER(1..maxSimultaneousBands),

 bandIndexUL2-r16 INTEGER(1..maxSimultaneousBands),

uplinkTxSwitchingPeriod-r16 ENUMERATED {n35us, n140us, n210us},

uplinkTxSwitching-DLInterruption-r16 BIT STRING {SIZE(2..maxSimultaneousBands)} OPTIONAL

}

If the capabilities reporting for switching period and DL interruption is ergodic as similar as *srs-SwitchingTimesListNR*’s structure, the rough example is as below

BandParameters-v16xy ::= SEQUENCE {

uplinkTxSwitchingParameterList-r16 SEQUENCE {SIZE (1..maxSimultaneousBands)) OF UplinkTxSwitchingParameter-r16 OPTIONAL

}

UplinkTxSwitchingParameter-r16 ::= SEQUENCE {

uplinkTxSwitchingPeriod-r16 ENUMERATED {n35us, n140us, n210us} OPTIONAL

uplinkTxSwitching-DLInterruption-r16 BIT STRING {SIZE(2..maxSimultaneousBands)} OPTIONAL

}

The overhead difference of the two structures is depending on the comparison of the number of band pairs with UL Tx switching capability in the BC and the number of bands in the BC. We prefer the ergodic way for its stabilization, and its overhead is limited considering the realistic bands situation.

Q4: whether to introduce a capability reporting DL interruption, which is defined as per band per band combination for each band pair supporting UL Tx switching, as the draft CR.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments/CR examples |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Q5: Do companies have any other issues? If so, they can be provided below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Issues/Comments/Solutions |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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