IoT NTN Comments file

Template:

# Xnnn

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RIL Id | WI | Class | Title | Tdoc | Delegate | Misc | File version | Status |
| Xnnn | IoTNTN |  |  |  |  |  | vnnn | ToDo |

 **[Description]**:

**[Proposed Change]**:

**[Comments]**:

Instructions:

1. Copy the template RIL comments fields above (including the Heading Xnnn)
2. Paste the RIL comments fields at its position while **respecting the order of the RILs in the Review file (i.e. keep the order of the spec).**
3. Fill in the fields, see R19 ASN.1 Guideline.
4. Companies may comment whether they agree or disagree.
5. Can copy spec text and use Word “Track changes”, etc.
6. Do not delete text added by other companies.

# C001

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RIL Id | WI | Class | Title | Tdoc | Delegate | Misc | File version | Status |
| C001 | IoTNTN | 2 | sf-OperationModeNeigh |  | Da Wang |  | V002 | ToDo |

 **[Description]**: As we comment during the email discussion, we think the intention of agreement is to add S&F mode and nomal mode indication in SIB33, which doesn’t have the intention to include “barred” and “notBarred” in SIB33. Because currently the barred/notBarred information is not provide from neighbour cell to serving cell.

**[Proposed Change]**:

NeighSatelliteInfo-v19xy ::= SEQUENCE {

 sf-OperationModeNeigh-r19 ENUMERATED {s&f, normal} OPTIONAL, -- Need OP

 t-ModeSwitchingNeigh-r19 TimeOffsetUTC-r17 OPTIONAL -- Need OR

}

**[Comments]**:

# V210

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RIL Id | WI | Class | Title | Tdoc | Delegate | Misc | File version | Status |
| V210 | IoTNTN | 1 | Clarify that PWS is not applicable for NB-IoT TN | N | vivo (Stephen) |  | v003 | ToDo |

 **[Description]**: With the removal of the phrase “not applicable for NB-IoT”, this may lead to the understanding that PWS reception is applicable to both NB-IoT NTN and NB-IoT TN. It is not aligned with the current SA1 progress.

**[Proposed Change]**: We suggest using “not applicable for NB-IoT in a TN cell” across sub-clauses 4.4, 5.2.1, and 5.3.2. E.g.,

4.4 Functions

- Including ETWS notification, CMAS notification (not applicable for NB-IoT in a TN cell);

5.2.1.3 System information validity and notification of changes

In RRC\_CONNECTED, BL UEs or UEs inCEor NB-IoT UEs are not required to acquire system information except when T311 is running, or upon handover where the UE is only required to acquire the *MasterInformationBlock* in the target PCell, or for UEs in CE to receive ETWS/CMAS information, or upon expiry of T317 where the UE is required to acquire the *SystemInformationBlockType31* (*SystemInformationBlockType31-NB* in NB-IoT) and may acquire the *SystemInformationBlockType33* (*SystemInformationBlockType33-NB* in NB-IoT). In RRC\_IDLE, E-UTRAN may notify BL UEs or UEs inCEorNB-IoT UEs about SI update, and except for NB-IoT UEs in a TN cell, ETWS and CMAS notification, and may notify BL UEs or UEs inCE about EAB modification and UAC modification, using Direct Indication information, as specified in 6.6 (or 6.7.5 in NB-IoT) and TS 36.212 [22].

5.3.2.1 General

- to inform UEs in RRC\_IDLE other than NB-IoT UEs in a TN cell, UEs in RRC\_INACTIVE and UEs in RRC\_CONNECTED other than NB-IoT UEs, BL UEs and UEs in CE, about an ETWS primary notification and/ or ETWS secondary notification and/ or;

- to inform UEs in RRC\_IDLE other than NB-IoT UEs in a TN cell, UEs in RRC\_INACTIVE and UEs in RRC\_CONNECTED other than NB-IoT UEs, BL UEs and UEs in CE, about a CMAS notification and/ or;

 **[Comments]**:

# V211

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RIL Id | WI | Class | Title | Tdoc | Delegate | Misc | File version | Status |
| V213 | IoTNTN | 1 | Indicate the regenerative operation mode to NAS | Yes, R2-250xxxx | vivo (Stephen) |  | v003 | ToDo |

[Description]: As per the current spec, when t-ModeSwitching is not configured for the transition from S&F to regenerative, upon the satellite transitions from S&F to regenerative, no mode indication of regenerative mode is indicated to NAS. The NAS may always consider the cell is in S&F mode. It is incorrect.

**[Proposed Change]**: We propose that the RRC of an S&F capable UE shall indicate the cell is operating in regenerative mode if *sf-OperationMode* is not present. Alternatively, *t-ModeSwitching* shall always be configured by the network when the cell is operating in S&F.

**[Comments]**:

# V212

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RIL Id | WI | Class | Title | Tdoc | Delegate | Misc | File version | Status |
| V211 | IoTNTN | 1 | Refine the wording of NOTE in sub-clause 5.3.3.3x | N | vivo (Stephen) |  | v003 | ToDo |

 **[Description]**: The sentence “*Which procedure (e.g. EDT, random access procedure, CB-Msg3-EDT) is initiated*.” is not complete. The intended UE behavior is unclear.

**[Proposed Change]**: Clarify that which procedure (e.g. EDT, random access procedure, CB-Msg3-EDT) is initiated is up to UE implementation.

5.3.3.3x UE actions upon receiving CB-Msg3-EDT indications from lower layers

For CP transmission using CB-Msg3-EDT, upon indication from lower layers that CB-Msg3-EDT is successfully completed, the UE shall perform the actions as specified in 5.3.3.4b as if an empty *RRCEarlyDataComplete* message was received.

Upon reception of CB-Msg3-EDT failure indication from lower layers, the procedure ends.

NOTE: When receiving the CB-Msg3-EDT failure indication from lower layers, the RRC procedure is re-initiated. Which procedure (e.g. EDT, random access procedure, CB-Msg3-EDT) is initiated is up to UE implementation.

**[Comments]**:

# V213

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RIL Id | WI | Class | Title | Tdoc | Delegate | Misc | File version | Status |
| V212 | IoTNTN | 1 | Need Code of *sf-OperationModeNeigh-r19* should be Need OR | N | vivo (Stephen) |  | v003 | ToDo |

 **[Description]**: The Need OP is not intended for *sf-OperationModeNeigh-r19*, since no specified behavior exists for the absence of the field.

**[Proposed Change]**: Need OR is used for *sf-OperationModeNeigh-r19*.

**[Comments]**:

# V214

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RIL Id | WI | Class | Title | Tdoc | Delegate | Misc | File version | Status |
| V214 | IoTNTN | 1 | Clarification on TA report  | Yes, R2-250xxxx | vivo (Stephen) |  | v003 | ToDo |

[Description]: Based on the MAC spec, the TA report can be multiplexed into the CB-Msg3 (i.e., there is no limit on the UL multiplexing). The FD of *ta-Report* should be updated to align with the MAC spec.

**[Proposed Change]**: Remove the phrase “Random Access due to” or add the CB-Msg3-EDT. E.g.,

***ta-Report***

When this field is included in *SystemInformationBlockType2*, it indicates reporting of timing advance is enabled during Random Access or CB-Msg3-EDT due to RRC connection establishment, RRC connection resume or RRC connection reestablishment. When this field is included in *MobilityControlInfo*, it indicates TA reporting is enabled during Random Access due to handover, see TS 36.321 [6], clause 5.4.9.

**[Comments]**: