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\* \* \* \* 1st change \* \* \* \*

5.8.2.X Support for PIN communication

5.8.2.X.1 General

In order to support communication of a PIN, a common SMF is controlling a PSA UPF that the PDU Sessions for the PIN established by PEGC-capable UEs with PIN subscription are anchored at the PSA UPF.

The UPF local switching with UPF internal interface ("5G VN internal") and a two-step detection and forwarding process described in clause 5.8.2.13.0 are used. The packets received from any PEGC-capable UE (via it's PDU Session) are forwarded to the UPF internal interface, and the packets received from the UPF internal interface are forwarded to the respective PEGC-capable UE and PINEs behind the PEGC-capable UE. These configurations do not allow any PINE-to-PINE communication and communication with DN via 5GC.

The SMF provides following N4 rules as described in clause 5.8.2.13.1 for each PEGC-capable UE’s N4 Session (i.e., N4 Session corresponding to PDU Session for the PIN) with following additions:

- The PDR with Source Interface set to "access side" shall also contain the Source Address set to the IP address (es) of the PEGC-capable UE;

- The FAR with Destination Interface set to "5G VN internal" shall also contain the Network Instance set to the value representing the PIN;

- The PDR with Source Interface set to "5G VN internal" shall also contain the Network Instance set to the value representing the PIN as well as the Destination Address set to the IP ranges of the PEGC-capable UE and the PINEs behind the PEGC-capable UE;

5.8.2.X.2 Support communication between PEGC and PEMC

To enable management of a PIN, a common SMF is controlling a PSA UPF that the PDU Sessions for the PIN established by PEGC-capable UEs with PIN subscription and the PDU Sessions for manageing the PIN established by PEMCs are anchored at the PSA UPF.

The SMF provides N4 rules as described in clause 5.8.2.13.1 for each PEMC's N4 Session (i.e., N4 Session corresponding to PDU Session for managing the PIN) with following additions:

- The PDR with Source Interface set to "access side" may also contain the Destionation Address set to the IP ranges of the PIN;

- The FAR with Destination Interface set to "5G VN internal" shall also contain the Network Instance set to the value representing the PIN;

- The PDR with Source Interface set to "5G VN internal" shall also contain the Network Instance set to the value representing the PIN;

5.8.2.X.2 Support PINE-to-PINE communication

For a PDU Session established by a PEGC-capable UE with PIN subscription, if the PDU Session is associated with a PIN and when traffic description (i.e., represented by packet filters) for the PDU Session is received, the SMF checks whether the traffic needs to be routed between the PDU Session and other PDU Sessions for the PIN.

If check succeeds, the following applies:

- The SMF provides for the N4 Session (i.e. N4 Session corresponding to the PDU Session) the following N4 rules that enable the processing of packets received from this PEGC-capable UE.

- in order to detect the traffic, a PDR containing Source Interface set to "access side", CN Tunnel Information set to PDU Session tunnel header (i.e. N3 GTP-U F-TEID), and Packet Filter Set includes UL part of the traffic routing information; and

- in order to forward the traffic, a FAR containing Destination Interface set to "5G VN internal", and Network Instance set to the value representing the PIN.

5.8.2.X.3 Support communication with DN

For a PDU Session established by a PEGC-capable UE with PIN subscription, if the PDU Session is associated with a PIN and when traffic routing information (i.e., represented by packet filters) for the PDU Session is received, the SMF checks whether the traffic needs to be routed between the PDU Session and DN.

If check succeeds, the following applies:

- The SMF provides for the N4 Session (i.e. N4 Session corresponding to the PDU Session) the following N4 rules that enable the processing of packets towards DN.

- in order to detect the traffic, a PDR containing Source Interface set to "access side", CN Tunnel Information set to PDU Session tunnel header (i.e. N3 GTP-U F-TEID), and Packet Filter Set includes UL part of the traffic routing information; and

- in order to forward the traffic, a FAR containing Destination Interface set to "core side".

- The SMF provides for the N4 Session (i.e. N4 Session corresponding to the PDU Session) the following N4 rules that enable the processing of packets received from DN.

- in order to detect the traffic, a PDR containing Source Interface set to "core side", and Packet Filter Set includes DL part of the traffic routing information; and

- in order to forward the traffic, a FAR containing Destination Interface set to "5G VN internal", and Network Instance set to the value representing the PIN.

\* \* \* \* 2nd change \* \* \* \*

5.44.3.1 PDU Session Establishment for PIN

When a PDU Session associated with a PIN is established by PEGC-capable UE with PIN subscription, an SMF is selected according to clause 4.3.2.2.3 of TS 23.502 [3]. The PEGC-capable UE uses methods as specified in clause 5.8.2.2 (i.e. IPv6 Prefix Delegation feature) for allocating IPv6 addresses to PINEs or mapping addresses of PINEs to IPv6 addresses.

One PEGC may serve more than one PINs and in this case the PEGC shall have at least one PDU Session for each PIN if the PIN traffic is via PEGC/5GC. One PIN may be served by more than one PDU sessions in the PEGC.

Editor's note: How and whether to handle the case where PINs share a PDU session and local switching is FFS.

Editor's note: One PIN served by more than one PDU sessions in PEGC is FFS.

\* \* \* \* End of change \* \* \* \*