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# 1 Overall description

TSG SA thanks MulteFire Alliance (MFA) on the LS on MulteFire Alliance PLMN-ID. The LS states a condition from the ITU-T for using the MFA PLMN-ID in networks that based on technical standards that were developed by 3GPP:

If the requested resources will be used in networks that are based on technical standards or specifications developed by another ROIO/SDO, the applicant shall affirm and provide evidence that there exists mutual consent between the applicant and the other ROIO/SDO regarding the usage of the requested resources for the ROIO/SDO-specified networks

**Question**: MFA would like to ask for 3GPP to confirm the potential use of the MFA PLMN-ID on 3GPP NR Private Networks.

[**TSG SA answer**]: It is not within 3GPP mandate to give such a consent to MFA or other organizations to use their PLMN-ID on 5G NR private networks. However, 3GPP TSG SA can confirm that in current 3GPP specifications, There is no limitation to use any PLMN-ID for SNPNs that are defined by 3GPP since release 16. Since release 16, the following related text is defined in TS 23.501 clause 5.30.2.1:

#### *5.30.2.1 Identifiers*

*The PLMN ID used for SNPNs is not required to be unique*

*NOTE 1:The PLMN ID used for SNPNs is not required to be unique. PLMN IDs reserved for use by private networks can be used for non-public networks, e.g. based on mobile country code (MCC) 999 as assigned by ITU [78]). Alternatively, a PLMN operator can use its own PLMN IDs for SNPN(s) along with NID(s), but registration in a PLMN and mobility between a PLMN and an SNPN are not supported using an SNPN subscription given that the SNPNs are not relying on network functions provided by the PLMN.*

[…]

Given the above 3GPP TSG SA would like to stress that other PLMN-ID such as MCC 999 are equally valid options for 5G SNPN deployments.

Furthermore, TSG SA would like to confirm with MFA that they do not intend to revise or modify the 3GPP specifications for their 5G NR deployments.

# 2 Actions

**To MulteFire Alliance**

**ACTION:** TSG SA asks MulteFire Alliance to take the above information into account and would like to confirm with MFA that they do not intend to revise or modify the 3GPP specifications for their 5G NR deployment.

# 3 Dates of next TSG SA WG2 meetings
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