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# Introduction

This paper captures the following CB discussion:

|  |
| --- |
| **CB: # IAB1\_General****- Discussion on connectivity of a Mobile IAB node to a stationary intermediate IAB-node or to an IAB-donor****- Depending on the outcome, discuss on the need for optimization/enhancements for multi-hop and/or single hop use cases** **- Need for new authorization information for mobile IAB?****- Clarification on the sentence “Solutions should support UE HO and DC” and the fact it refers to UE procedures**(Qualcomm - moderator)[NWM] Summary of offline disc [R3-225029](Inbox%5CR3-225029.zip) |

The CB has the following phases:

**Phase I：Converge on open issues. Deadline is Friday, 19th August, 2022, 23:59 UTC.**

**Phase II：If needed.**

The following contributions are included in this CB:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [R3-224375](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_117-e%5CDocs%5CR3-224375.zip) | Discussion on general mobile IAB aspects (Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell) | discussion |
| [R3-224428](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_117-e%5CDocs%5CR3-224428.zip) | Discussion on multi-hop backhauling for mobile IAB (Lenovo) | discussion |
| [R3-224495](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_117-e%5CDocs%5CR3-224495.zip) | Clarifications of Rel-18 Mobile IAB Work Item Scope (Ericsson) | discussion |
| [R3-224502](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_117-e%5CDocs%5CR3-224502.zip) | Workplan for Rel-18 mobile IAB (Qualcomm Inc. (Rapporteur)) | Work Plan |
| [R3-224786](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_117-e%5CDocs%5CR3-224786.zip) | Discussion on multi-hop scenario for mobile IAB-node (Intel Corporation, Qualcomm, Huawei, Ericsson, Nokia, InterDigital) | discussion |
| [R3-224825](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_117-e%5CDocs%5CR3-224825.zip) | Discussion on potential complexity of single-hop and multi-hop scenarios (samsung) | discussion |

# For the Chairman’s Notes

**[To be updated].**

# Discussion - Phase I

## 3.1 Connectivity of a mobile IAB-node to a stationary intermediate node vs. to an IAB-donor

RAN Plenary #96 added the following note to the WID [1]:

|  |
| --- |
| *Note: At the beginning of the work period, RAN3, RAN2 should discuss the potential complexity of a scenario where a mobile IAB node connects to a stationary (intermediate) IAB node, with respect to the scenario where a mobile IAB node connects directly to an IAB-donor.* |

We will first address if mobile IAB-node connectivity to stationary intermediate nodes can be supported, and then potential enhancements/optimizations.

**Issue 1: Support of mobile IAB-node** **connectivity to stationary intermediate IAB-nodes**

According to R3-224786 (Intel Corporation, Qualcomm, Huawei, Ericsson, Nokia, InterDigital), R3-224495 (Ericsson), and R3-224825 (Samsung), Rel-16/17 IAB can already support connectivity of mobile IAB-nodes to stationary intermediate nodes. Therefore, multi-hop backhauling via Rel-16/17 IAB should not be precluded for the mobile IAB-node.

According to R3-224428 (Lenovo), the mobile IAB-node should only connect to the IAB-donor since multi-hop connectivity will require new NG-based IAB-MT HO procedures in case Xn interconnectivity is not available. The contribution, however, does not identify any problem for the mobile IAB-node’s connectivity to a stationary intermediate node in case Xn ***is*** available, i.e., as it is assumed for Rel-17 IAB.

R3-224825 (Samsung) emphasizes that there are benefits to single-hop as well as multi-hop scenarios for mobile IAB. For these reasons, multi-hop backhauling should not be precluded.

Therefore, based on these contributions, Rel-16/17 IAB should be able to support mobile IAB-node connectivity to a stationary intermediate IAB-node as the baseline.

**Q1-1: Do you agree that as the baseline, Rel-16/17 IAB can support mobile IAB-node connectivity to a stationary intermediate node. If not, please explain why this could not be supported?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Yes | 1. The complexity is lowest if we reuse what we already have. Any restriction to single hop for mobile IAB would add complexity.2. On Lenovo’s issue: In the absence of Xn, enhancements are also needed for single hop. Moving information from Xn to Ng is independent of single- vs. multi-hop backhauling. |
| **Ericsson** | Yes, but rewording is needed | We should **remove the word “baseline”** from any proposal derived from this question because it sounds as if there will be enhancements. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Issue 2: Enhancements/optimizations for multi-hop backhauling of mobile IAB-node**

According to R3-224786 (Intel Corporation, Qualcomm, Huawei, Ericsson, Nokia, InterDigital) and R3-224495 (Ericsson), enhancements/optimization that are specific to multi-hop backhauling should be precluded for mobile IAB. The authors believe that such enhancements/optimizations are implicitly precluded in Rel-18 mobile IAB WID, since they were already discussed in Rel-17 and then deprioritized in Rel-17.

**Q1-2: Do you agree that enhancements/optimizations that are specific to multi-hop backhauling should be precluded for mobile IAB? Please provide reasons for your view.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Yes | The WI should primarily focus on the mobility of the IAB-node together with its UEs.Performance issues related to multi-hop are not specific to mobile IAB since they also apply to stationary networks. Such issues were already discussed in Rel-17. The WID explicitly states:*Note: Solutions should avoid touching upon topics where Rel-17 discussions already occurred and where the topic was excluded from Rel-17, except for enhancements that are specific to IAB-node mobility.* |
| **Ericsson** | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Issue 3: Enhancements/optimizations for single-hop backhauling of mobile IAB-node**

According to R3-224375 (Nokia), optimizations should be studied for single-hop backhauling of mobile IAB-nodes. The contribution claims that for single-hop backhauling, BAP may not be needed, for instance. Therefore, such optimizations may be beneficial for dedicated mobile-IAB deployments.

**Q1-3: Do you agree that enhancements/optimizations to single-hop backhauling should be studied for mobile IAB?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | No | Optimizations for single hop (e.g., drop BAP) are not specific to mobile IAB but they also apply to stationary networks. For that reason, they are not in scope for Rel-18 mobile IAB. |
| **Ericsson** | No | BAP would be needed on the m-MT side, but not on the m-DU side. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.2 Authorization of mobile IAB

R3-224375 (Nokia) proposes that RAN3 should discuss separate authorization for mobile IAB vs. stationary IAB. The contribution claims that such separate authorization may be necessary based on SA2 discussions during the SA2 Study Item on VMR. The moderator does not believe that RAN3 has to act on SA2 SI discussions unless SA2 has sent an LS to RAN with the request to consider certain aspects related to these discussions.

**Q2: Do you agree that RAN3 should discuss a separate authorization for mobile IAB? Please provide reasons why a separate authorization for mobile IAB would be (or not be) needed.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | See comment | As the baseline, mobile IAB should use the same authorization as Rel16/17 IAB. From RAN perspective, there is no obvious reason to introduce a separate authorization mechanism.RAN3 can still reconsider in case SA2 sends an LS to RAN on this topic. |
| **Ericsson** | No | RAN3 cannot specify authorization procedures, we can only provide signalling support, if SA2 reaches out to us. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.3 Clarification on DC procedures

The WID states [1]:

|  |
| --- |
| In Rel-18, mobile IAB supports the following functionality, applicable to FR1 and FR2:* …
* Solutions should support UE HO and DC.
 |

Some clarification is needed if “DC” only refers to UE procedures, or if it can also apply to IAB-MT procedures. According to R3-224495 (Ericsson), the mobility of dual-connected IAB-nodes in not in Rel-18 scope.

**Q3: Do you agree that mobility of dual-connected IAB-nodes is out of scope? Please provide reasons for your view.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Yes | The WID refers to UE-based DC procedures. This alone does not preclude mobility of dual-connected IAB-nodes. However, Rel-18 should focus on the baseline procedures for IAB-node mobility. Further refinements, e.g., such as mobility of dual-connected IAB-nodes, can be considered in later releases. |
| **Ericsson** | Yes | The WID text should be updated as follows:“Solutions should support UE HO and UE DC” |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3.4 Other issues raised

The WID states [1]:

|  |
| --- |
| In Rel-18, mobile IAB supports the following functionality, applicable to FR1 and FR2:* …
* The mobile IAB-node should have no descendent IAB-nodes, i.e., it serves only UEs.
 |

According to R3-224375 (Nokia), RAN3 should wait for RAN2 on the means to prevent the mobile IAB-node to connect to another mobile IAB-node.

**Q4: Do you agree that RAN3 should wait for RAN2 on the means to prevent the mobile IAB-node to connect to another mobile IAB-node?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | Yes | Nokia is right in that this functionality needs to be supported. The most obvious solution, i.e., mIAB-DU does not broadcast “IAB-supported”, is in RAN2 scope. |
| **Ericsson** | Yes | This does not seem to be a RAN3 issue. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion - Phase II

…
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