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# Introduction

**CB: # 17\_R17Redcap**

**- Add the RedCap Indication IE in F1 paging to ensure DU page the RedCap UE on RedCap-specific initial BWP If such specific BWP is configured?**

**- Add clarification to RedCap Broadcast Information in Served Cell Information to clarify that RedCap UE applies the cellBarred field in MIB?**

**- Clarify that NCD-SSBs in the measTiming list are RedCap-specific NCD-SSBs if the RedCap Broadcast Information IE is includerd in the served cell Information NR IE?**

**- Provide CRs if agreeable**

(Xiaomi - moderator)

Summary of offline disc [R3-225004](file:///D%3A%5CRAN3%20117e%5Cdiscussion%5Credcap%5CInbox%5CR3-225004.zip)

**Phase 1, please provide your comments before Friday August 19th 16:00 UTC time**

**Phase 2, update and review the CR according to the phase 1 discussion if any before next week’s Tuesday.**

# For the Chairman notes

**Agree the following:**

# Discussion

## Redcap indication in Paging over F1AP

In [1], it is proposed to introduce *Redcap Indication* IE in Paging message over F1AP, the argument is that the DU is not aware of the Paging is for Redcap UE in current specification, it cannot allocate the Redcap dedicated physical resources for the Paging.

The following the main part of the CR [1] for quick reference.

The *RedCap Indication* IE may be included in the *UE Paging Capability* IE in the PAGING message, and if present the gNB-DU shall, if supported, use it for paging the RedCap UE in the default or RedCap specific initial BWP.

9.3.1.270 UE Paging Capability

This IE provides the UE Paging Capability information needed for paging.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IE/Group Name** | **Presence** | **Range** | **IE type and reference** | **Semantics description** |
| UEID Subgrouping Support Indication | O |  | ENUMERATED(true,…) |  |
| RedCap Indication | O |  | ENUMERATED(true,…) |  |

**Q1. Do companies agree the CR in R3-224734 [1]?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Xiaomi | Agree with comments.Since dedicated search space can also be used for Redcap Paging, we suggest to use a more general description as follows:The *RedCap Indication* IE may be included in the *UE Paging Capability* IE in the PAGING message, and if present the gNB-DU shall, if supported, use it for paging ~~the RedCap UE in the default or RedCap specific initial BWP~~.  |
| Qualcomm | We support this CR. Based on this indication, DU determines which BWP to be used for RedCap UEs. We don’t have to get into details of which resources are used within selected BWP. It looks current CR wording is clear and sufficient.  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Redcap Broadcast Information

In [2], a misalignment with RAN2 about Redcap broadcast is raised, the argument is that RAN2 agreed and specified that both the barring info in MIB and the barring info in SIB1 should be applied to Redcap UE, while only the barring info in SIB1 is considered in RAN3 specification, the possible issue is there may be undesired handover for Redcap UEs, which may lead to handover failure and bad UE experience. Therefore, it is proposed to update the semantics description of RedCap Broadcast Information in TS 38.423 and TS 38.473 in [3] and [4].

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| RedCap Broadcast Information | O |  | BIT STRING (SIZE(8)) | The presence of this IE indicates that the *intraFreqReselectionRedCap* IE is broadcast in SIB1 of the corresponding cell, see TS 38.331 [10].Each position in the bitmap indicates which RedCap UEs are allowed access, according to the setting of cell barring indicator in MIB and/or RedCap barring indicators in SIB1, see TS 38.331 [10].First bit = 1Rx, second bit = 2Rx, third bit = halfDuplex,other bits reserved for future use. Value '1' indicates 'access allowed'. Value '0' indicates 'access not allowed”. | YES | ignore |

**Q2. Do companies agree the CR in R3-224763 [3] and R3-224764 [4]?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Xiaomi | Agree. |
| Qualcomm | Agree |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Indication of RedCap-specific NCD-SSB over Xn IF

In [5], it is proposed to introduce a text description about RedCap-specific NCD-SSB over XnAP, the argument is that it would be helpful to exchange RedCap-specific NCD-SSB information over Xn IF, which was agreed in RAN3 before, and NCD-SSB information can be indicated by existing IEs. Regarding how the Redcap-specified NCD-SSB is indicated, the following is the clarification from the proponent, if multiple instances of MeasTiming in the measTimingList are included in MeasurementTimingConfiguration and campOnFirstSSB and psCellOnlyFirstSSR are “true”, it means the first instance is CD-SSB, while all other instances are NCD-SSBs. And if the Redcap-specific NCD-SSBs are indicated, the receiving gNB should consider it for measurement configuration for Redcap UEs.

Below is the main part in CR for quick reference.

If the *RedCap Broadcast Information* IE is included in the *Served Cell Information NR* IE in the XN SETUP REQUEST message or the XN SETUP RESPONSE message, and multiple instances of *MeasTiming* in the *measTimingList* are included in *MeasurementTimingConfiguration*, the receiving NG-RAN node shall consider NCD-SSBs in the *measTiminglist* are RedCap specific NCD-SSBs

**Q3. Do companies agree the CR in R3-224295 [6]?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comment |
| Xiaomi | Generally OK, we prefer a more general description as below.If the *RedCap Broadcast Information* IE is included in the *Served Cell Information NR* IE in the XN SETUP REQUEST message or the XN SETUP RESPONSE message, and RedCap specific NCD-SSBs are indicated in *MeasurementTimingConfiguration*, the receiving NG-RAN node shall consider it for measurement configuration. |
| Qualcomm | Agree with intention of CR. It seems text proposed in R3-224295 is OK. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Reference

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [1] | [R3-224734](file:///D%3A%5C%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5C%5CTSGR3_117-e%5C%5CDocs%5C%5CR3-224734.zip) | Correction on RedCap paging capability to TS38.473 (ZTE, Ericsson, Qualcomm) |
| [2] | [R3-224762](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_117-e%5CDocs%5CR3-224762.zip) | Misalignment with RAN2 in Redcap broadcast information (Xiaomi, Ericsson, CMCC) |
| [3] | [R3-224763](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_117-e%5CDocs%5CR3-224763.zip) | Correction on RedCap Broadcast Information for TS38.423 (Xiaomi, Ericsson, CMCC) |
| [4] | [R3-224764](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_117-e%5CDocs%5CR3-224764.zip) | Correction on RedCap Broadcast Information for TS38.473 (Xiaomi, Ericsson, CMCC) |
| [5] | [R3-224294](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_117-e%5CDocs%5CR3-224294.zip) | Indication of RedCap-specific NCD-SSB over Xn IF (NEC) |
| [6] | [R3-224295](file:///D%3A%5C%E4%BC%9A%E8%AE%AE%E7%A1%AC%E7%9B%98%5CTSGR3_117-e%5CDocs%5CR3-224295.zip) | Correction for RedCap-specific NCD-SSB information exchange over Xn IF (NEC) |