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# 1 Introduction

**CB: # AIRAN4\_LBSolution**

**- Discuss the solution, input/output, standard impacts on the Load Balancing**

**- Merging any agreement parts; provide TP if agreeable**

**- Capture agreements and open issues**

(Nok - moderator)

Summary of offline disc in [R3-214222](Inbox\R3-214222.zip)

The deadline for the first phase of the email discussion is Friday 6 am UTC.

# 2 For the Chairman’s Notes

[To be completed]

# 3 Background

In RAN3 #112-e, the description for the Load Balancing use case was agreed by RAN3. According to the use case description in TR 37.817, load balancing optimization is challenging for several reasons:

* Currently the load balancing decisions relying on the current/past-state cell load status are insufficient. The traffic load and resource status of the network changes rapidly, especially in the scenarios with high-mobility and large number of connections, which may lead to ping-pong handover between different cells, cell overload and degradation of user service quality.
* It is difficult to guarantee the overall network and service performance when performing load balancing. For the load balancing, the UEs in the congested cell may be offloaded to the target cell, by means of handover procedure or adapting handover configuration. For example, if the UEs with time-varying traffic load are offloaded to the target cell, the target cell may be overloaded with new-arrival heavy traffic. It is difficult to determine whether the service performance after the offloading action meets the desired targets.

Through AI/ML, the load balancing performance can be improved.

In the first round of this CB, we discuss different solutions on the location of the AI/ML functionality for the load balancing use case, whether the load balancing solution should be categorized into AI/ML generated or AI/ML assisted, the exchange of load predictions between gNBs or between a gNB-CU and a gNB-DU it manages, and the possibility for a gNB to request assistance information for load balancing purposes. In the second round of the email discussion, we will attempt to identify some agreeable inputs and outputs to the Model Inference function once the different solutions for placing the AI/ML functionality have been agreed.

# 4 Discussion

## Location of the AI/ML Functionality

Different options have been discussed regarding where the AI/ML functionality may be placed. Contribution 3296 supports both local and centralized solutions. Contributions 3420, 3714, and 4080 support AI/ML inference in the RAN. The possible options proposed by different companies can be categorized as follows: a) AI/ML Training and AI/ML Inference may be located in OAM (3470, 3758, 4124), b) AI/ML Training may be located in OAM and AI/ML Inference may be located in the gNB (3470, 3758, 4124), c) AI/ML Training and Inference may both be located in the gNB (3470, 3893).

**Q4.1-1 Companies are invited to discuss which of the following solutions they prefer. More than 1 solution is possible.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Solution 1-1.a), 1-1.b), 1-1.c)**  **(More than one selection may be chosen)** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

In case of split architectures, the following possibilities on placement of the AI/ML functionality have been discussed by different companies: a) AI/ML Training in the OAM and AI/ML Inference in the gNB-CU (3725, 3758), b) AI/ML Training in the OAM and AI/ML inference in the gNB-DU (3758), and c) AI/ML Training and Inference in the gNB-CU (3725, 3893).

**Q4.1-2 Companies are invited to discuss which of the following solutions they prefer. More than 1 solution is possible**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Solution 1-2.a), 1-2.b), 1-2.c)**  **(More than one selection may be chosen)** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## AI/ML-assisted Load Balancing versus AI/ML-generated Load Balancing

In 3714, it is proposed that AI/ML functionality should be categorized into Type 1: AI/ML-assisted Load Balancing where Load Balancing strategy is calculated using the conventional Load Balancing method that utilizes load predictions and b) Type 2: AI/ML generated Load Balancing where the Load Balancing strategy is calculated by using the current/historical resource status.

**Q4.2.1 Companies are invited to provide their views on the categorization of the Load Balancing solution.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **AI/ML assisted, AI/ML generated, both types, no need for categorization** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Exchange of Load Predictions between Neighbours and between a gNB-CU and a gNB-DU in Split Architectures

Contributions 3420, 3714, 3725, 3758, 3893 propose that a gNB should be able to request a neighbouring gNB to start calculation and reporting of load predictions. In case of split architectures, contributions 3420, 3714, 3893 propose that a gNB-CU can request load predictions from a gNB-DU connected to it.

**Q4.3-1 Companies are asked to provide their views whether gNBs may signal load predictions to each other as well as whether in split architectures load predictions can be sent between a gNB-CU and a gNB-DU it manages.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **3-1.a) Introduce load prediction reporting between gNBs?**  **3-1.b) Introduce load prediction reporting between a gNB-CU and a gNB-DU its manages?** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

It was also discussed by some companies that a node requesting load predictions from another node should include in the request also an accuracy the requested load predictions need to satisfy (e.g., a prediction accuracy (3420, 3725, 3893)), a time for which the predictions must be valid (validity time (3420)), and a deadline by which predictions must be provided (3893).

**Q4.3-2 In case an answer to Q4.3-1 is yes, companies are invited to provide their views on the need to include in the request for load predictions a) a prediction accuracy, b) a validity time and c) a deadline for the requested predictions.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Include in the request for load predictions**  **3-2.a) prediction accuracy?**  **3-2.b) a validity time?**  **3-2.c) a deadline before which predictions must be provided?**  **(More than one selection may be chosen)** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## Request of Assistance Information by a gNB

In 3420 it is proposed that a gNB can request assistance information from a UE related to the user traffic, e.g., related to enhanced UE history information, data rate, packet size packet delay, next packet arrival time. Also, 4080 proposes that an NG-RAN node may use UE-assisted info, such as an MDT report with mobility history included.

**Q4.4-1 Companies are invited to provide their views on whether a gNB can request assistance information from a UE.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Can a gNB request assistance information from a UE for the purpose of load prediction?** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 5 References

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| [**R3-213296**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG3_Iu/TSGR3_113-e/Docs/R3-213296.zip) | Proposed TP to TR 37.817 on Load Balancing solutions and standard impacts | NEC |
| [**R3-213420**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG3_Iu/TSGR3_113-e/Docs/R3-213420.zip) | AI/ML Load Balancing use case | Ericsson |
| [**R3-213470**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG3_Iu/TSGR3_113-e/Docs/R3-213470.zip) | AI/ML based load balancing | Intel Corporation |
| [**R3-213714**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG3_Iu/TSGR3_113-e/Docs/R3-213714.zip) | Discussion on Standard Impact for RAN Intelligence (Load Balancing) | Samsung |
| [**R3-213725**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG3_Iu/TSGR3_113-e/Docs/R3-213725.zip) | Discussion on traffic load prediction | Lenovo, Motorola Mobility |
| [**R3-213758**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG3_Iu/TSGR3_113-e/Docs/R3-213758.zip) | Solution to AI based load prediction | ZTE Corporation, China Unicom |
| [**R3-213893**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG3_Iu/TSGR3_113-e/Docs/R3-213893.zip) | (TP for TR 37.817): Standards Impacts for the AI/ML Load Balancing Use Case | Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell |
| [**R3-214080**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG3_Iu/TSGR3_113-e/Docs/R3-214080.zip) | Further discussions on spec impacts of load balancing & traffic steering | Huawei |
| [**R3-214124**](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG3_Iu/TSGR3_113-e/Docs/R3-214124.zip) | (TP to TR 37.817) Solutions for AI-based load balancing | CMCC |

# 6 Conclusion, Recommendations [if needed]

If needed