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# Introduction

A Summary of Offline Discussions has been assigned to the topic of LTE-NR Relative Timing.

The discussion has been summarised as follows in the meeting minutes:

**CB: # 12\_****LTE-NR RelTiming**

**CT,QC,ZTE,CATT**

**LTE->NR direction needs to be supported in Rel-16.**

**Both SFTD format and SFN0 offset with respect to common reference time should be supported in standard.**

**E///**

**Knowledge of the SFN0 start time with respect to an absolute time reference is needed for NR cells and for LTE cells. A single solution should be selected for both LTE and NR cases.**

**define the SFN0 start time with respect to the common initialization time as 1980-01-06T00:00:19 International Atomic Time (TAI). This maintains alignment with the specifications in TS38.401.**

**signal as part of the served cell information, over the X2 and Xn interfaces, the SFN0 time offset with nanoseconds granularity and with respect to the initiation time 1980-01-06T00:00:19 International Atomic Time (TAI)**

**SFTD measurement results are not signaled over the X2/Xn interface**

**HW**

**exchange SF0 starting time info, or SF0 offset (between starting time and absolute timing reference point) over Xn**

**- consensus for exchanging SF0 start time; suggest trying to converge around this**

**- common solution for LTE and NR?**

**- F1AP impact?**

**- check details; merge/revise as needed**

**(E/// - moderator)**

**Summary of offline disc** [**R3-210965**](../../../../../AppData/Local/Temp/Temp1_RAN3_111-e_agenda_with_Tdocs20210126_1005.zip/Inbox/R3-210965.zip)

# For the Chairman’s Notes

**[To be added]**

# Discussion

It was discussed at the last RAN3 meeting that there is value in reporting the time difference between SFN0 start time of NR and LTE neighbouring cells. Such reporting would need to be signalled over the F1, Xn and X2 interfaces to cover the cases of EN-DC and NG-RAN scenarios.

During RAN3-110e it was agreed that

Define signaling for LTE-NR timing information exchange between eNB and gNB

NR->LTE direction is agreeable as Rel-16 correction; LTE->NR direction may be discussed as TEI17

*Format of timing info (SFTD format, SFN0 format, or both): To be continued on this basis...*

In this SoD we tackle the formating of timing info and whether to signal only NR->LTE or also LTE->NR information exchange at the same time.

## Format of LTE-NR Timing Information

In [1] it is proposed that the format of the relative timing information exchanged between LTE and NR is a “choice” between UE measurement based SFTD information and an “SFN Timing Offset” calculated as the time offset between SFN0 start and the absolute initialization time “GPS EPOCH 1980-01-06 T00:00:19 International Atomic Time (TAI)” (i.e. the same absolute initialization time specified in TS38.401). This is done to provide redundancy between the two types of information.

In [2] and [3] it is proposed that only the time offset between SFN0 start and the absolute initialization time “GPS EPOCH 1980-01-06 T00:00:19 International Atomic Time (TAI)” is signalled between LTE and NR nodes. The reason for this is that SFTD measurements availability depends on the presence of UEs with SFTD capabilities and that SFTD measurements are of variable accuracy depending on UE position, making the information on LTR-NR relative timing un-reliable.

**Companies are invited to provide their view on whether the essential information needed to make the solution work, i.e. SFN0 timing offset or both SFN0 timing offset and SFTD measurements**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | SFN0 timing Offset.  As discussed in [2] and [3], the relative timing information between LTE and NR is a crucial piece of information that needs to be as accurate as possible. Leaving this information to SFTD measurements reporting will decrease the reliability of the solution and it will expose it also to possible errors due to different UE implementations. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Format of the SFN0 time offset

In [4], [5], [6], [7], [8] the same format for the SFN0 time offset is proposed, namely:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **IE/Group Name** | **Presence** | **Range** | **IE type and reference** | **Semantics description** | **Criticality** | **Assigned Criticality** |
| SFN Time Offset | M |  | BIT STRING (SIZE(40)) | Time offset in ns between the GPS EPOCH absolute time reference and the SFN0 start. The maximum usable value is (1024\*10^7-1). Values higher than the maximum are discarded. |  |  |

In [9], [10] a slightly different formatting is proposed, namely:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| SF0 Reference Timing | M |  | INTEGER (0.. 549755813887, …) | The field counts the number of UTC seconds in 10 ms units since 00:00:00 on Gregorian calendar date 6 January, 1980 (start of GPS time) | YES | reject |

**In order to follow the majority view, it is proposed that the formatting for the SFN Time Offset proposed in [4], [5], [6], [7], [8] is agreed. Companies are invited to provide their view if they do not agree with this proposal**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Signalling direction of LTE-NR relative timing information

RAN3 has agreed to the following:

Define signaling for LTE-NR timing information exchange between eNB and gNB

NR->LTE direction is agreeable as Rel-16 correction; LTE->NR direction may be discussed as TEI17

In [2] it is explained that

* Knowing the SFN0 timing of neighbour LTE cells is needed for an NR node because short measurement gaps (3ms) can be configured for a UE served in NR. Hence, measurement gaps may not be configured correctly in NR, unless the exact SFN0 start time of neighbour LTE cells is known by a gNB

Given that a solution for LTE-NR relative timing signalling is under discussion and agreement now, it would be efficient to tackle both the LTE->NR and NR->LTE signalling exchange with the same solution, if RAN3 agrees that both scenarios are useful and feasible.

**Companies are invited to provide their view on whether both the LTE->NR and NR->LTE signalling can be covered with the same solution for LTE-NR relative timing exchange**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | We see the benefits of providing the LTE-NR relative timing information also in the LTE to NR direction. If this is not done, it is not possible to correctly use short measurement gaps in NR (to measure LTE cells). This will imply a drop in efficiency due to forced usage of 5ms gaps or longer.  We believe that we can cover both directions now. This is more efficient as we will not need to reopen the topic in TEI17 and it will ensure that the solution is the same for both signalling directions. |
|  |  |

## LTE-NR relative timing information for served and neighbour cells

In [6], [7], [8], it is proposed that the SFN0 Time Offset is signalled not only for cells served by an NG-RAN node but also for neighbour cells.

**Companies are invited to provide their view on whether signalling the SFN0 Time Offset of neighbour cells is useful and needed**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Ericsson | We are in favour of signlling the SFN0 Time Offset for neighbor cells. This helps the ANR process in case a neighbnour is not Xn/X2 connected to the source node and measurements need to be configured at the source node to discover the neighbour and eventually setup an Xn/X2 interface with it. Without neighbour’s SFN0 Time Offset information measurement gaps to measure the neighbour’s cell cannot be correctly assigned |
|  |  |

# Conclusion, Recommendations
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