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# 1 Introduction

Following e-mail discussion was agreed to progress on potential agreements related to UE capabilities for IoT-NTN.

* [AT117-e][064][IoT-NTN] UE capabilites (Nokia)

Scope:

a) review the CR (it is new)

b) based on Input to 9.2.4, address the open issues. Determine agreeable parts, identify discussion points and pave the way for efficient on-line CB.

c)For OI4.4 focus for now on the need, rather than solutions, e.g. attempt to identify which capabilities should be indicated per deployment option, if any.

Intended outcome: Report

Deadline: In time for on-line CB W2 Tuesday

In this report, we consolidate company views for a) as direct comments to draft CR for minor updates and further views on any open issues to be addressed. For b) based on contributions potential proposals for agreements is identified for online discussion. For C) company views are sought on possible way forward.

**As the report needs to be submitted by WK2 Tuesday, response to the questions in this document by Monday WK2 EOB is appreciated.**

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Draft CR to 36.306 based on RAN2-116e Agreements

Draft running CR based on RAN2-116bis agreements is provided in [1]. The CR proposes changes to 4.3.Y for introducing single capability for NTN connectivity covering the essential RAN2 features agreed as per RAN2-116e and RAN1 features and optional capability for TA reporting. In section 6.Y new optional feature without capability indication is included.

**Q1. Please provide your views on the above changes for draft CR if any major changes are needed. For minor updates companies can provide the updates to draft CR directly.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Changes to 4.3.Y (OK/minor comments (in draft CR directly) /Not OK with reason) | Changes to 6.Y (OK/minor comments /Not OK) | Comments |

## 2.2 Summary of Input contributions to 9.2.4

Following are the FFS based on RAN2-116bis-e meeting agreements.

* FFS whether Support for soft TA switching procedure is optional for IoT-NTN UE.
* FFS whether Support for PUR Timer modifications is optional for IoT-NTN UE that supports PUR for terrestrial case.
* FFS if the Existing CHO capability indication can be reused for IoT-NTN CHO (FFS if it can be applied to terrestrial case).
* FFS whether Capability Indication of existing IoT-Features until Rel-16 are reused in NTN, or to what extent they need to be duplicated to allow for different Interop Test (IOT) Status.

Need for duplicating the capability bits of existing IoT-Features to differentiate the capability for NTN and TN access will be discussed in next section. Only the first 3 open issues from the above list is considered in this section for arriving at potential agreements.

9 companies provided contributions related to above open point. Based on the input contributions to this meeting the company views for the above open issues is summarised in below table.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **FFS Item** | **Support** | **Against** | **Other** |
| Soft TA Switching is mandatory capability for IoT-NTN UE | [7] [4] [6] [8] [9] |  | [3] Soft TA switching is essential sub-feature at-least for NGSO.  [5] Mandaotry for NGSO. For GSO reading and acquiring of SIB1 with multiple TAC is mandatory. Soft TA switch is optional. |
| PUR Timer modification is optional capability for IoT-NTN | [1] [3] [4] [5] [9] | [8] Existing PUR capability indication to be used with additional text to indicate enhanced capability |  |
| Existing LTE CHO Capability bit is reused for IoT-NTN | [3] [4] [6] [9] |  |  |

**Potential Proposals for Agreement.**

* **P1 (5/7): Support for reception of multiple tracking areas in system information and updating the TA list to NAS is considered as mandatory capability for NTN access.**
* **P2(5/6) : Timer modification for PUR operation for NTN is optional UE capability with separate UE capability indication.**
* **P3(4/4) : CHO capability for eMTC-NTN is indicated by the existing LTE CHO capability indication.**

**Q2: Do you agree to the above set of proposals? ( For companies which did not provide input contributions for the above issues )**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **OK / Not-OK (Specify proposal and reason)** |
|  |  |

## 2.3 Discussion on OI4.4

One of the open issue to be resolved is whether separate capability bits are needed for existing IoT-Features to indicate its applicability for NTN access.

Analysis in [2] indicates that it is possible that some features which are introduced for TN may not be supported by UE for NTN access. One possible reason for such differentiation is indicated as lack of testing for NTN access. This differentiation is needed only in case of handover between TN and NTN is needed. For NB-IoT as handover is not supported such duplication is not needed. Proposals in [6] also support this view with examples that features such as RRM Relaxation is not reliable for NTN access and prefer to introduce separate capability.

Analysis in [10] indicates that all the existing features which does not require any changes for NTN access are already identifier. For these features existing capability indication is sufficient. If issues identified later separate capability can be introduced if needed. Proposals in [4] also support similar views of [10].

As there is no handover is supported for NB-IoT and UE capability containers are maintained for different RATs, reuse of existing capability indication can be agreed for NB-IoT as first step.

**Proposal 4: Capability indication of existing NB-IoT Features are reused for NB-IoT-NTN.**

Separate capability indication if required due to the reasons stated above is relevant for the connected mode mobility scenario. As connected mode mobility between TN and NTN is not essential for Rel-17, introduction of separate capability bits for NTN access can be considered in later releases. So companies can provide views for the following proposal which simplifies the UE capability indication for Rel-17

**Proposal 5A: All the existing capability indications of eMTC features are re-used for eMTC-NTN in Rel-17.**

As indicated in [10] and [6] IoT-NTN study already analysed the modifications needed for existing IoT-Features for NTN. As per RAN2 agreements no modifications are needed on these base features for IoT-NTN access. If some companies think possible exclusion of some features for IoT-NTN as indicated in [4], these features need to be identified for Rel-17. For other features separate capability indications can be included whenever issues are identified. If companies does not agree to 5A, below proposal allows the possibility of introducing duplicate capability bits for the features which is expected to have some impact for Rel-17.

**Proposal 5B: Reuse of existing capability indication for eMTC for eMTC-NTN is considered as basis. Features which requires separate capability indication for Rel-17 needs to be discussed and agreed explicitly.**

|  |
| --- |
| ***Proposal 4: Capability indication of existing NB-IoT Features are reused for NB-IoT-NTN.***  ***Proposal 5A: All the existing capability indications of eMTC features are re-used for eMTC-NTN in Rel-17.***  ***Proposal 5B: Reuse of existing capability indication for eMTC for eMTC-NTN is considered as basis. Features which require separate capability indication for Rel-17 is discussed and agreed explicitly.*** |

**Q3: Companies provide views on above set of proposals related to applicability of capability indication of IoT-Features for NTN.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company | P4 (OK/Not OK/Comments) | P5A (OK/Not OK/Comments) | P5B (OK/Not OK/Comments) |

# 3 Summary

# Reference

[1]Remaining FFSs on UE Capabilities Spreadtrum Communications

[2]Open issues on UE capabilities for NB-IoT and eMTC Qualcomm Incorporated

[3]Remaining Issues on IoT NTN UE Capabilities CMCC

[4]Further analysis on remaining open issues for IoT-NTN Capabilities Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bells

[5]Discussion on UE capabilities Xiaomi

[6]Discussion on IoT NTN UE capabilities OPPO

[7]OI 4.1 and OI 4.2: UE capabilities open issues Huawei, HiSilicon

[8] Remaining open issues of IoT NTN UE capabilities NEC Telecom MODUS Ltd.

[9] On IoT NTN capabilities Ericsson

[10] R2-2203225 OI 4.4: TN – NTN differentiation Huawei