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# 1 Introduction

This contribution summarizes the following email discussion:

* [AT117-e][040][MBS] Reply LS on max no of MBS sessions that can be associated to a PDU session (Ericsson)

 Scope: Collection opinions and determine agreements in order to reply to Reply to LS in R2-2200141 (received at R2 116bis-e)

 Intended outcome: Agreeable LS out (and a Report if applicable).

 Deadline: W1 Thursday (for on-line CB W1 Friday)

Contact person(s) for each participating company:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Email** |
| Ericsson | Henrik.enbuske@ericsson.com |
| Nokia | Benoist.sebire@nokia.com |
| CATT | zhourui@catt.cn |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 2 Discussion

In R2-2200141(S2-2109171) the following response from SA2 to CT1 was made:

**…**

**1. Overall Description:**

SA2 thanks CT1 for the LS on maximum number of MBS sessions that can be associated to a PDU session. SA2 discussed the LS and would like to provide the following feedback:

|  |
| --- |
| **currently CT1 assumes that the maximum number of MBS sessions that can be associated to a PDU session is limited to 4** |

**SA2 response:**

The UEs can be involved in multiple multicast MBS sessions in parallel, e.g.:

* In MCPTT (Mission Critical Push-To-Talk), the UEs can be involved in multiple group calls;
* In TV services, the UEs can be involved in multiple TV channels together with associated data channels.

Therefore maximum 4 MBS sessions that can be associated to a PDU session is not considered sufficient. To be more flexible and future proof, SA2 is thinking of a value between 8 to 32 to be the maximum number.

As the actual use cases are under the remit of SA4 and SA6, and there are limitations in relevant radio resources, e.g. number of DRBs per PDU Session, number of MRBs per cell as defined in RAN2, SA2 would also respectfully ask SA4, SA6 and RAN2 to provide feedback on the maximum number of MBS sessions that can be associated to a PDU session.

…

**SA2 ask RAN2 for feedback on** “ … the maximum number of MBS sessions that can be associated to a PDU session”

Rapporteur assumes that the question from SA2 is to understand the RAN limit, if any, on how many MBS sessions can be associated with one PDU session, i.e. one aspect is to understand how many MBS sessions one UE can join at a time.

In RAN2 there are currently assumptions that (e.g. CR introducing MBS in 38.300) a MBS session results in one or more multicast MRB configuration(s).

Additionally, the current assumption is that in Rel-17 MBS, the current defined max RB (i.e. 16 RB per UE) is reused. In addition to that, an optional UE capability of *maxMRB-Add* for additional MRBs support is adopted for multicast.

As a conclusion, the maximum number of *active* MBS session one UE can join at the same time is bound to the UE capability on max concurrent Radio Bearers (including impact from Split bearer types) that is used for a service.

For MBS one can assume that, as also pointed out by SA2, a unique MBS session for each group (or broadcast area) you are communicating with may be needed, and as a result, a low value of MBS sessions associated to each PDU Session would possibly restrict what is possible to achieve for the end user services. However, this suggests that UEs in different groups join different MBS Sessions.

From a signalling perspective, RAN2 should not have any particular view on a limit on the number of PDU Session associated MBS Sessions, whereas a limitation to what number of MRBs (including DRBs etc) to configure will need to be handled at RAN for any max number MBS to PDU Sessions. I.e. supporting a higher number of associated MBS Sessions, e.g., 32, would not be an issue itself, but the combined number of RBs the UE have to receive joining multiple active MBS Sessions needs consideration.

If companies agree to the above a brief clarification along those lines can be sent as reply to SA2. Should there be other considerations w.r.t. the association of MBS Sessions to PDU Sessions, company input is welcomed.

**Q: Company input on the maximum number of MBS sessions that can be associated to a PDU session:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | SA2 is asking what is limitation from Radio resource perspespective. From RAN2 perspective, we can indicate that default DRBs + MRBs = 16 and an optional UE capability of *maxMRB-Add* for additional MRBs support is adopted for multicast. In R2-2202671, we proposed to have 4 MRBs as default and any additional MRBs is based on UE capability between 5 to 8. *maxMRB-Add* proposed value is 2 to 16. Once we agree UE capabilities for supporting MRBs, we can convey to SA2. Actual number of MBS sessions that can be associated with PDU session can be decided by SA2. |
| Nokia | Agree with the rapporteur’s suggestion of a brief clarification between number of sessions and MRBs; and also agree with Qualcomm on the need to wait for the UE capability to progress to indicate the number of MRBs. |
| CATT | Even though we agree with rapporteur that normally RAN2 should not have any particular requirement on the maximum number of MBS Sessions,but we should inform SA2 that the maximum number of MRB(i.e. 16) defined by RAN2 will eventually limit the maximum number of MBS sessionthat can be associated to a PDU session(i.e. can not exceed 16).A MBS session may contain one or multiple MBS flows and one or multiple MBS flows of one MBS session can map to one MRB, and MBS flows from different MBS sessions can not mapped to a same MRB,So The maximum number of MBS session should not exceed the maximum number of MRB(i.e. 16). |
|  |  |
|  |  |

 **Summary:**

**Q: Any additional comments can be made here (e.g RAN2 agreements needed, clarifications, modelling, etc):**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| Qualcomm | We need to first agree RAN2 UE capabilities for supporting MRBs as we commented in Q1. |
| Nokia | See above. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

 **Summary:**

# Conclusion

**The conclusion from this discussion will render a Draft Reply LS.**