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# Introduction

This document captures the following discussion:

* [AT117-e][022][eIAB] UE capabilities (Intel)

      Scope: Treat R2-2203702. Determine agreeable parts, points for discussion if needed, open issues if needed. Aim for offline agreement, if not possible then pave the way for efficient on-line. Review Updated draft CRs for UE capabilities (pl provide), including agreements from prev. meeting, and all agreeable points from this meeting (e.g. this discussion and the open issues discussion).

      Intended outcome: Report, Draft CRs (38306, 38331) endorsed.

      Deadline: In time for on-line CB W2 Wednesday (Report) if CB is needed or W2 Thursday (CRs) if needed

The discussion consists of two phases, Phase 1 and Phase 2, and the deadline of each phase is given below:

Phase 1: Deadline: Monday W2, 11:00PM UTC.

1) Determine agreeable parts, points for discussion if needed, open issues if needed. Aim for offline agreement, if not possible then pave the way for efficient on-line.

2) Review draft CRs for UE capabilities (38306, 38331) from previous meeting and easy agreements in [AT117-e][022][eIAB] UE capabilities (Intel)

Phase 2: Deadline: Wednesday W2, 8:00AM UTC.

Review updated draft CRs for UE capabilities (38306, 38331) for all remaining agreeable points from this meeting.

**Contact**

To make it easier to find the correct contact delegate in each company for potential follow-up questions, the rapporteur encourages the delegates who provided input to provide their contacts information in this table:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contact: Name (Email)** |
| Intel (Rapporteur) | Ziyi.li@intel.com |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Yulong (shiyulong5@huawei.com) |
| LG Electronics | Gyeong-Cheol LEE (gyeongcheol.lee@lge.com) |
| Samsung | Milos Tesanovic (m.tesanovic@samsung.com) |
| Apple | Ralf Rossbach (rrossbach@apple.com) |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Discussion

## UE capability for BAP header rewriting

As summarized in [1], all companies believe a separate UE capability needs to be defined for BAP header rewriting based local re-routing. This local re-routing includes both inter-donor DU re-routing and inter-donor CU re-routing.

The rapporteur proposes with below proposal for easy agreement:

**Proposal 1 [easy agreement]: Define a new UE capability for BAP header rewriting-based local re-routing (including inter-donor DU re-routing and inter-donor CU re-routing) as optional UE capability for IAB-MT.**

#### **Q1. Do you agree with above proposal for BAP header rewriting-based local re-routing?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company  | Y/N | Comment |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Y |  |
| LGE | Y |  |
| Samsung | Y but see comment | The question is really whether we need a UE capability for BAP header rewriting. Is it important to specify it’s for local re-routing? Also, why are we emphasising ‘inter-donor CU **re**-routing’? We do not typically refer to this case as local re-routing. |
| Apple | Y |  |

It was also proposed in [Pre117-e][003][eIAB] eIAB Open Issues Input (Qualcomm) [2] to discuss inter-donor-DU re-routing and/or congestion-based local re-routing.

**Proposal 5b: RAN2 to discuss if inter-donor-DU re-routing and/or congestion-based local re-routing be optional with capability signaling.**

It was agreed in RAN2 #116bis-e meeting:

|  |
| --- |
| * [051] The single UE capability is used for all UL local re-routing trigger conditions.
 |

The rapporteur acknowledges that the above agreement is agreed as “a single UE capability is used for all local re-routing trigger conditions, e.g. type-2/type-3 RLF indication, type-4 RLF indication or congestion”, based on discussion in [AT116bis-e][051][eIAB] UE Caps [3]. With that, a clarification agreement is proposed as below:

**Proposal 2 [easy agreement]: If new UE capability for BAP header rewriting-based local re-routing is defined in Proposal 1, it is used for all local re-routing trigger conditions, e.g. flow control feedback (congestion), type-2/3 RLF indication, etc.**

#### **Q2. Do you agree with above proposal?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company  | Y/N | Comment |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Y | Minor comment: This is the capability for IAB-MT which means UL traffic re-routing. Then “e.g. flow control feedback (congestion)” seems not needed, since it is DL traffic. |
| LGE | Y |  |
| Samsung | Y | The important thing in our view is not to limit the new capability to UL (as it seems to be the case in the agreement quoted above). Huawei seem to have a different view and we see their point. In any case the congestion-triggered re-routing – which Huawei are right is not strictly speaking an IAB-MT capability – should somehow be captured since the network will need to configure the relevant IAB-MT with reporting threshold. |
| Apple | Y |  |

## UE capability for inter-donor CU parital migration and topology redundancy

As summarized in [1], all contributions believe that there’s no need to differentiate the capability between inter-donor CU partial migration and inter-donor CU routing for topology redundancy, as the BAP procedure for above two scenarios is the same.

On the other hand, [3] considers separate UE capabilities is needed, as the IAB-node may not be required to support two functionalities at the same time. It is considered by [3] that inter-donor CU partial migration is deployed to allow some traffic being migrated to another topology due to traffic offloading, and inter-donor CU topology redundancy is deployed for robustness. However, rapporteur believes, for inter-donor CU partial migration, there’s only one BH link is available, which means all traffics of the boundary IAB-node are routed from the source topology to another. For inter-donor CU topology redundancy, the boundary IAB-node may offload partial traffic to another topology due to load balancing, etc. From BAP processing point of view, both scenarios require BAP header rewriting from previous routing ID in source topology to new routing ID in target topology, which has no difference.

Therefore, the rapporteur proposes with below proposal:

**Proposal 3 [easy agreement]: No need to differentiate “inter-donor CU routing” UE capability between “inter-donor CU partial migration” and “inter-donor CU routing for topology redundancy”.**

#### **Q3. Do you agree with above proposal?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company  | Y/N | Comment |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | Y |  |
| LGE | Y |  |
| Samsung | Y |  |
| Apple | Y |  |

## UE capability for intra-donor DU local re-routing

As summarized by [Pre117-e][003][eIAB] eIAB Open Issues Input (Qualcomm) [2], it was summarized by rapporteur that there’s no need for Rel-17 to discuss intra-donor DU re-routing as it is already supported in Rel-16.

**Observation 9: Intra-donor-DU re-routing does not require Rel-17 discussion as it is already supported in Rel-16.**

Based on the contribution submitted to RAN2 #117bis-e meeting, as summarized in [1], there are equal support for defining new UE capability (3 companies) and not defining new UE capability (3 companies) for intra-donor DU local re-routing. Reasons are summarized as below:

Support to define new UE capability for intra-donor DU local re-routing

1) For congestion-based re-routing, the network needs to configure congestion threshold for re-routing

2) similar as inter-donor DU local re-routing, this UE capability should be used to cover all local re-routing trigger conditions, e.g. flow control feedback (congestion), type-2/3 RLF indication

No need to define new UE capability for intra-donor DU local re-routing

1) New triggers agreed in Rel-17 does not have corresponding configurations from IAB-donor CU side

2) Follow the same principle as Rel-16 local re-routing

3) Configuration to congestion triggered local re-routing is performed in downstream at the IAB-DU side

#### **Q4. Companies are invited to provide views on which option is preferred?**

**Option 1**: Define new UE capability for Rel-17 intra-donor DU local re-routing for all local re-routing trigger conditions, e.g. flow control feedback (congestion), type-2/3 RLF indication.

**Option 2**: Not to define new UE capability for Rel-17 intra-donor DU local re-routing.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Company  | Is Option 1 Acceptable | Is Option 2 Acceptable | Comment |
| Huawei, HiSilicon | N | Y | Type2 indciation triggred re-routing is same as legacy except for the new trigger. And, it is somehow up to implementation. CU does not have to know the capabiliy. |
| LGE | No | Yes |  |
| Samsung | Yes | No | The discussion of whether we should have a separate **intra**-donor DU local-rerouting is in our view about whether the IAB node supports header rewriting based local re-routing: for intra-donor DU local re-routing, header rewriting support is not needed. In this sense, we support the intention of separate capability. |
| Apple | No | Yes |  |

## Other open issues in eIAB Open issues Input

As summarized in [2], Fujitsu and Lenovo proposed that BH RLF detection indication, BH RFL recovery indication and inter-donor-DU rerouting be optional with capability signaling.

**Proposal 5a: RAN2 to discuss if BH RLF detection indication and/or BH RLF recovery indication to be optional with capability signaling.**

However, it was already agreed in RAN2 #116bis-e meeting:

|  |
| --- |
| * [051] Define a new UE capability (1 bit) for ‘BH RLF detection indication and BH RLF recovery indication’ as optional UE capability for IAB-MT.
 |

Therefore, there’s no need for discussion of this topic.

#### **Q5. Is there any other open issue for UE capability in Rel-17 eIAB?**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company  | Comment |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

## Feature Group

As summarized in [1], rapporteur thinks feature group is not a critical issue, companies are invited to check the Annex for TR38.822 in TS38.306 draft CR for eIAB directly.

# Conclusion

Based on the discussion above, the following is proposed:
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