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# 1 Introduction

This document is to kick off the following email discussion:

* [AT114-e][221][DCCA] Cell grouping CR (Ericsson)

Scope:

* + - Discuss CRs for R16 NR-DC cell grouping based on online agreements.

Intended outcome:

* + - Discussion summary in [R2-2106493](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_114-e/Docs/R2-2106493.zip) (by email rapporteur).
    - Agreeable CRs. Intermediate status of discussion will be checked during 2nd week Monday session.

Deadline for providing comments, for rapporteur inputs, conclusions and CR finalization:

* + - Deadline for CR finalization: 2nd week Wed, UTC 1000

In the online session Wednesday 1st week, the following was agreed:

* Work offline to provide CRs for the NW-filtering solution.
* Email discussion [221] (Ericsson)
* Checkpoint Monday 2nd week. If several possibilities, can have show of hands to see which direction has most support.

This discussion document is to gather comments from participating companies on the CRs for introducing cell grouping for NR-DC.

# 2 Discussion

To make it easier to find the correct contact delegate in each company for potential follow-up questions, the rapporteur encourages the delegates who provide input to provide their contact information in this table:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Delegate contact |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Ericsson | stefan.wager@ericsson.com |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Companies are requested to add their comments for each of the treated CRs of this email discussion in the boxes below.

## 2.1 Network based cell group filtering

Network based cell group filtering is described in:

[R2-2106017](https://www.3gpp.org/ftp/TSG_RAN/WG2_RL2/TSGR2_114-e/Docs/R2-2106017.zip) Cell grouping for NR-DC Ericsson discussion LTE\_NR\_DC\_CA\_enh-Core

Based on the text proposal in Annex A, draft CRs for 38.331 and 38.306 introducing cell group filtering have been created and uploaded to the drafts folder (link).

### 2.1.1 General questions and comments

Companies are requested to provide their questions and comments on the CRs in the table below. Detailed comments can also be provided in the CRs themselves, if more feasible.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Questions/Comments |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

*Rapporteur summary: tbd.*

### 2.1.2 Size of *maxCellGroupings*

One open issue that needs to be solved is to decide a suitable value for *maxCellGroupings* in the CR, i.e. what should be the maximum number of cell groupings that the network can filter for. The size affects the size of *supportedCellGrouping*, which is signalled as part of UE capability for each supported band combination. The target should be to not exceed the overhead per BC created by the LTE-DC cell group signalling approach, for which the cap of 5 bands created a bitmap of max 30 bits. Note that

Companies are requested to provide their input on the size of *maxCellGroupings* in the table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Size | Motivation |
| Ericsson | 4 or 8 | Given that the UE does not need to support all bands in *requestedCellGroupngs,* we expect not many cell groupings will be needed. We added some examples in the field description to illustrate this. Mostly a single cell grouping should be sufficient, but the list could have max size of 4 or 8. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Rapporteur summary: tbd.*

### 2.1.3 *supportedCellGrouping* as list or bitmap

Another open issue may be whether *supportedCellGrouping* should be encoded as list or bitmap in ASN.1. In the current draft CR it is encoded as list, which means the size will be variable depending on the number of *requestedCellGroupings* supported by the UE:

CA-ParametersNRDC-v16xy ::= SEQUENCE {

supportedCellGrouping SEQUENCE (SIZE (1..maxCellGroupings)) OF INTEGER(0..maxCellGroupings-1) OPTIONAL

Alternatively, it could be encoded as a bitmap, where each bit position points to a certain entry in the *requestedCellGroupings* list, e.g.:

CA-ParametersNRDC-v16xy ::= SEQUENCE {

supportedCellGrouping BIT STRING (SIZE(maxCellGroupings)) OPTIONAL

The benefit with the bitmap format is the more compact size through the bitmap representation, but the drawback it that the size is constant, i.e. it is the same regardless of the number of *requestedCellGroupings* provided by the network. Assuming though that network and UE vendors are aligned in what cell groupings that are supported, it can be expected that the UE normally supports all (or at least most of) requestedCellGroupings, and then bitmap could be more efficient.

Companies are requested to provide their input on the encoding of supportedCellGrouping in the table below.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | List or bitmap | Motivation |
| Ericsson | bitmap? | We originally had the list, but assuming UEs support all or most of cell groupings requested by the network the bitmap may be more efficient. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Rapporteur summary: tbd.*

# Conclusion

Tbd