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**1. Overall Description:**

RAN2 thanks CT1 for their LS on limited service availability of an SNPN (C1-21212601/R2-2104704).

**Question 1 (from CT1):**  Can the lower layer of a UE operating in SNPN access mode in the limited service state ensure that the UE (re)selects an SNPN cell supporting emergency services?

**[RAN2 answer]:** Yes, RAN2 agreed that AS can ensure that an SNPN cell supporting emergency services is selected. In RAN2#103bis-e, RAN2 agreed to “Extend the ims-EmergencySupport field to SNPN cells”. However, RAN2 has not decided whether the legacy emergency support indication (*ims-EmergencySupport*) will be re-used or if a new indication per cell or per SNPN will be introduced. Therefore, RAN2 would like to inform CT1 that RAN2 does not know yet if AS can also indicate to NAS which SNPNs advertised by the cell support emergency services.

**Question 2 (from CT1):** If the answer to Question 1 is “no”, then what will the lower layer be providing to the NAS layer to support a UE operating in SNPN access mode in the limited service state to select an SNPN supporting emergency services?

**[RAN2 answer]:** Not applicable, as the answer to Question 1 is “yes”.

**2. Actions:**

**To CT1**

**ACTION:**  RAN2 respectfully asks to take this into account.

**3. Date of Next TSG-RAN WG2 Meeting:**
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