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# Introduction

This document is the report of the following email discussion:

* [AT114-e][028][eNPN] SNPN and subscription or credentials by a separate entity (China Telecom)

 Scope: Start from the baseline, the tdocs under 8.16.2, identify easy agreements, potential agreements, discussion/open points, and identify questions to ask other group, if any,

 Intended outcome: Report that paves the way for on-line agreements.

 Deadline: May 24 1600 UTC (In time for CB online May 25)

**Rapporteur’s note**

The intention of the present document is to identify common views regarding the remaining open issues.
Based on the companies' responses, the Rapporteur's proposals will be classified as:

* Cat-a: Proposals that could be agreed upon quickly
* Cat-b: Proposals that require further discussion
* Cat-c: Proposals that can be postponed

The aim is then, for Cat-a Proposals to be quickly treated online, allowing for Cat-b issues that require a more in-depth discussion or that have been on the table for some time already (e.g., congestion control), to be addressed during the online session.

The deadline for inputs has been set so that there is enough time to take into account any further comments and fine-tune details of the Email Discussion Summary prior to the online session scheduled on May 25.

**Contact from companies**

To facilitate the potential discussion, the rapporteur recommends to provide contact information as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Name | Email address |
| China Telecom | Jiaxiang Liu | liujiaxiang6@chinatelecom.cn |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# Discussion

## SIB for broadcasting GINs

The following agreement of GIN is achieved at RAN2#113-bis meeting:

* GIDs are broadcasted per SNPN in network sharing scenarios.

However, which SIB is responsible for broadcasting GINs is still FFS. Based on contributions provided by companies in this meeting, SIB1 has the advantage of fast SNPN selection procedure [1][3][5][7][8][15]. On the other hand, other SIB for GINs broadcasting could alleviate the burden of SIB1[4][12]. Since SIB10 is introduced for HRNN in Rel-16 NPN, [9][11] proposes to reuse SIB10 for broadcasting GINs. Furthermore, [6][14] recommends a new SIB considering the size limitation of SIB10.

**Q1: Which option do you think is the most reasonable for GINs broadcasting?**

* **Option A: SIB1**
* **Option B: SIB10**
* **Option C: New SIB**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | SIB1 | We think it is the fast way for UE to recognize the GIN information for cell selection. Since we can reuse the Rel-16 restriction of the maximum number of network IDs per cell, there is no extra burden for SIB1. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

## Maximum number of GINs

Rel-16 NPN has concluded the maximum number of network IDs for RAN sharing. The total number of networks of PLMN+PNI-NPN+SNPN, is 12 per cell. Some companies [5][7][15] think this restriction could be extended to GINs, i.e. #PLMN+#PNI-NPN+#SNPN+#GIN <= 12. However, some companies [9] think the limitation is independent for GINs, like 12 or 24. Meanwhile, there is still no consensus on the restriction is per cell[3][9][13] or SNPN[6][8].

**Q2.1: Do companies agree with the restriction of Rel-16 NPN could be extended to GINs(i.e. #PLMN+#PNI-NPN+#SNPN+#GIN <= 12)? The granularity is per cell or SNPN?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Per Cell or SNPN** | **Comments(If answer is no, please make your proposal)** |
| China Telecom | Yes | Per cell | We can reuse the original restriction in Rel-16 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

The encoding of GIN determines how many bits one GIN occupies. However, there is a mismatch between TR 23.700-07[19] and TS 23.501[18] as mentioned in [13]. TR 23.700-07 concludes that “Group ID as a specific case of SNPN ID reusing SNPN ID encoding in TS 23.003”, while TS 23.501 states that “GIN reuses the NID encoding in TS 23.003 and can be self-managed or globally unique”.

**Q2.2: Do companies agree with sending LS to SA2 for clarifying whether GIN reuses SNPN ID or NID encoding? If answer is no, please indicate the right understanding.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | Yes | We need this clarification |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

## Relationship between GID and indication of accessing using CH

In [3], it notices that for SNPN only support GIN, the separate entity supporting information can be implicitly indicated by broadcasting GIN and the indication shall not be set. However, [1][13] have different opinions and think they are set independently.

**Q3: Do companies think GID is set independently of indication of accessing using CH?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | Yes | GID and the indication has different usage and we do not want to involve complexity. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

## HRNN

For manual network selection, Rel-16 involves SIB10 for broadcasting HRNN which corresponds to the NPN identity. [5] proposes that SIB10 is responsible for HRNN of GINs to align with Rel-16. Furthermore, [15] thinks the name of the respective entity separate from the SNPN owning the credentials (e.g. Home SP credentials) can also be sent to NAS. On the contrary, [12][16] think UE selects SNPN not GIN and object HRNN of GIN. However, [1][4][8][11] prefer to wait for SA2 requirement in this issue.

**Q4: Do companies support HRNN of GID? Does RAN2 send LS to SA2 for confirming the requirement of this issue?**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Send LS to SA2?**  | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | Yes | Yes | We think HRNN of GIN is as useful as HRNN of NPN. It will facilitate manual selection for User. Send LS to SA2 to confirm this requirement.  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

## Interaction between NAS and AS

RAN2 has agreed the information from AS to NAS as following:

* In the UE, AS reports to NAS about the following broadcasted new parameters:

Indicator that "access using credentials from a separate entity is supported" in the cell per SNPN

Supported Group IDs

Indicator that "whether the SNPN allows registration attempts from UEs that are not explicitly configured to select the SNPN" per SNPN.

When GINs are selected by UE NAS, it is still no agreement about whether AS is visible for selected GINs. Based on the contributions in this meeting, rapporteur notice most companies tend to support that NAS only needs to send selected SNPN to AS as legacy, no other information is needed[1][4][6][8][12][16]. Only one company proposes NAS to indicate GINs and two indications related to external credentials to AS[10].

**Q5: Do companies support NAS sending GINs and two indications related to external credentials to AS?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | No |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

## Cell (re)selection

RAN2#113bis meeting has agreed that “To support SNPN with subscription or credentials by a separate entity, R2 assumes that there is no impact on cell (re)selection (e.g. no need to change suitable cell criteria).” [8] thinks this meeting needs to confirms that agreement. [2] also analyses that the new agreed CT1 CR does not impact suitable cell definition. However, one company involves new considerations on suitable cells as well as cell barring rules [10].

**Q6: Do companies confirm that to support SNPN with subscription or credentials by a separate entity, there is no impact on cell (re)selection?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

## Connected mode mobility

According to SA2’s reply LS in S2-2101076[17], the support of external credentials is uniform for all cells in the SNPN. Therefore, there is no RAN2 impact of intra-SNPN handover [9][16]. Any potential impact is from other WGs like RAN3 or SA2[1][13].

**Q7: Do companies agree that no RAN2 impact of connected mode mobility for third party credential?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

## AMF selection

Based on TS 23.501[18], clause 5.30.2.4, NG-RAN shall inform the AMF of the selected PLMN and NID. [1][13] believe the legacy procedure is enough while [14] wants to depend on the Home SP or GIN for AMF selection. [9] wonders whether the support of external credentials are uniform for all AMFs in an SNPN. The rapporteur recommend to confirm that no matter the AMFs supporting of external credentials are uniform, whether gNB could find the right AMF based on SNPN ID. If the answer is no, we can think about sending LS to SA2.

**Q8: Do companies agree that the legacy AMF selection procedure is enough? Do we need to send LS to SA2 to clarify whether the support of external credentials are uniform for all AMFs in an SNPN**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Send LS to SA2?** | **Comments**  |
| China Telecom | Yes | We are OK to send LS to confirm that |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

## Others

Since some open issues need to be addressed first, the rapporteur to postpone the detail design of ASN.1 mentioned in [1][3][7][8][9]. After RAN2 decides which SIB for GIN broadcasting, whether we need HRNN, etc, we can come back to these contributions.

**Q9.1: Do companies agree that ASN.1 design could be postponed?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

It has been proposed in [2] that RAN2 to discuss trusted 3rd party authentication servers. Since this is a new topic never discussed before, the rapporteur recommends to postpone this issue.

**Q9.2: Do companies agree that trusted 3rd party authentication servers related issues could be postponed?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

Access control related proposals are shown in [9][14], the rapporteur recommends to postpone this issue.

**Q9.3: Do companies agree that access control related issues could be postponed?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

It has been proposed in [14], for RAN2 to discuss if V-SNPN info of neighbour cells is collected and reported for ANR purpose. [1] supports to extend ANR function for third party credential and Onboarding.

**Q9.4: Do companies agree that ANR related issues could be postponed?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments** |
| China Telecom | Yes |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

 **Rapporteur’s Summary:** To be added

# Conclusion

Proposals that could potentially be agreed upon quickly

To be added

Proposals that require further discussion

To be added

Proposals that can be postponed

To be added

# Reference

1. R2-2104767 Support SNPN with subscription or credentials by a separate entity OPPO discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core
2. R2-2105125 Additional considerations for access of SNPN with credentials from a different entity Apple discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core
3. R2-2105167 Consideration on the Separate Entity Supporting ZTE Corporation, Sanechips discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core
4. R2-2105192 Further Consideration on Subscription or Credentials by CH CATT discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core
5. R2-2105200 Consideration on GIN related issues China Telecommunication discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core
6. R2-2105244 Discussion on GINs from RAN2 perspective Nokia, Nokia Shanghai Bell discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core
7. R2-2105291 Remaining issues on supporting SNPN with subscription or credentials by a separate entity vivo discussion
8. R2-2105409 SNPN access with different entity credentials Qualcomm Incorporated discussion
9. R2-2105570 Accessing SNPN with credentials owned by a credentials holder Huawei, HiSilicon discussion
10. R2-2105632 Cell (re)selection for Rel-17 NPN enhancements Asia Pacific Telecom, FGI discussion
11. R2-2105670 RAN2 impact to support SNPN with credentials by a separate entity MediaTek Inc. discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core R2-2103782
12. R2-2105915 Support of credentials owned by third party entities in SNPN Intel Corporation discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core
13. R2-2106034 SNPN access using external credentials Ericsson discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core
14. R2-2106199 On Supporting Visited SNPN with Credentials Samsung discussion NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core
15. R2-2106246 Left Issues on Supporting SNPN with Credentials by a Separate Entity CMCC discussion Rel-17 NG\_RAN\_PRN\_enh-Core
16. R2-2106296 Resolving issues for access with external CH LG Electronics discussion Rel-17
17. S2-2101076, Reply LS on clarification request for eNPN features, SA2 #143-e
18. TS 23.501, “System architecture for the 5G System (5GS); Stage 2”, V17.0.0, 2021-03
19. TR 23.700-07, “Study on enhanced support of non-public networks”, TSG SA, V17.0.0, 2021-03