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1. Introduction

The document is to report the summary of the following email discussion:

|  |
| --- |
| \* **[AT113-e][508][R16-PowSav] CR R2-2100456 on 38.331  (Vivo)**Scope: §  Discuss submitted CR R2-2100456, agree on which corrections are acceptable and update CR with acceptable changes only.          Intended outcome: §  Agreeable CRs for email approval      Deadline for providing comments:  §  Companies comments/text suggestions and on need/criticality of the CRs– Jan. 28th§  Updated CRs (the ones agreed to be pursued) from responsible companies Jan. 29nd |

1. Discussion

## 1st change

The contribution [1] indicates that the description of *combineRelaxedMeasCondition* is not correctly captured in TS38.331.

In current field description of *combineRelaxedMeasCondition*, this parameter configures the UE to fulfil both criteria in order to relax measurement requirements for cell reselection. But in fact, when both criteria are fulfilled, UE could perform relaxed measurement regardless of whether *combineRelaxedMeasCondition* is configured or not, which is captured in TS 38.304 and TS 38.133.

Thus, it was proposed to fix the issue as below [1]:

|  |
| --- |
| ***combineRelaxedMeasCondition***When both *lowMobilityEvalutation* and *cellEdgeEvalutation* criteria are present in SIB2, this parameter indicates when the UE needs to fulfil both low mobility criterion and not at cell edge criterion to determine whether to relax measurement requirements. If the field is absent, the UE is allowed to relax measurement requirements for cell reselection when either or both of the criteria are met. (See TS 38.304 [20], clause 5.2.4.9.0) |

**Q1: Companies are invited to provide their views whether they agree with the above issues 1 and the corresponding change.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2nd change

The contribution [1] indicates that the description of *highPriorityMeasRelax* is not correctly captured in TS38.331.

In current field description of*highPriorityMeasRelax*, it is mentioned: If the field is absent, the UE shall not relax measurements on high priority frequencies beyond "Thigher\_priority\_search"

But in fact, when both criteria are fulfilled, UE could perform relaxed measurement up to 1hour for high priority frequencies, which is beyond "Thigher\_priority\_search" regardless of whether *highPriorityMeasRelax* is configured or not, according to TS 38.133 or TS 38.304.

Thus, it was proposed to fix the issue as below [1]:

|  |
| --- |
| ***highPriorityMeasRelax***Indicates whether measurements can be relaxed on high priority frequencies (see TS 38.304 [20], clause 5.2.4.9.0).  |

**Q2: Companies are invited to provide their views whether they agree with the above issues 2 and the corresponding change.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 3rd change

The contribution [1] indicates that: in current field description of*ps-WakeUp*, the reference is TS 38.213. But this parameter is actually used in TS 38.321. Thus, the reference spec should be updated.

Thus, it was proposed to fix the issue as below [1]:

|  |
| --- |
| ***ps-WakeUp***Indicates the UE to wake-up if DCI format 2-6 is not detected outside active time (see TS 38.321 [3], clause 5.7). If the field is absent, the UE does not wake-up if DCI format 2-6 is not detected outside active time. |

**Q3: Companies are invited to provide their views whether they agree with the above issues 3 and the corresponding change.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

1. Conclusions

TO BE ADDED.
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