## PSEUDO CHANGE REQUEST eudo-CR CRNum rev - CR-Form-v7 CR-Form-v7

<b>33.878</b>	B Pseudo-CR CRNum rev - R C	current version: 0.0.3	
For <u>HELP</u> on using this form, see bottom of this page or look at the pop-up text over the ** symbols.			
Proposed change affects: UICC apps   ME   X   Radio Access Network   X			
Title:	Clarification of issues raised in LS from SA2 (S3-04	1036)	
Source:	Siemens		
Work item code:	Early IMS	<i>Date:</i>	
Reason for chang	Use one of the following categories:  F (correction)  A (corresponds to a correction in an earlier release)  B (addition of feature),  C (functional modification of feature)  D (editorial modification)  Detailed explanations of the above categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900.  e:   1) The term authenticated PDP contexta is not one categories of the interface used between the GGSN and the categories of the categories can be found in 3GPP TR 21.900.	he HSS needs to be clarified. be mandated. , not the PDP context, which is used.	
Consequences if not approved:	器 Unclear text.		
Clauses affected:	策 7.1, 7.2.2 Y N		
Other specs affected:	X   X   Other core specifications   X   X   Test specifications   X   O&M Specifications		
Other comments:	₩ -		

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\* begin change \*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

## 7.1 Overview

The early IMS security solution works by creating a secure binding in the HSS between the public/private user identity (SIP-level identity) and the IP address currently allocated to the user at the GPRS level (bearer/network level identity). Therefore, IMS level signaling, and especially the IMS identities claimed by a user, can be connected securely to the PS domain bearer level security context.

The GGSN<sub>7</sub> terminatesing each user's authenticated PDP context and has assurance that the IMSI used with this PDP context is authenticated<sub>7</sub>. The GGSN shall provides the user's IP address / MSISDN pair to a RADIUS server in the HSS over the Gi interface when a PDP context is activated towards the IMS system. The HSS has a binding between the MSISDN and the IMPI, and is therefore able to store the currently assigned IP address from the GGSN against the user's IMPI. The GGSN informs the HSS when the PDP context is deactivated/modified so that the stored IP address can be updated in the HSS. When the S-CSCF receives a SIP registration request or any subsequent requests for a given IMPI, it checks that the IP address in the SIP header (verified by the network) matches the IP address that was stored against that subscriber's IMPI in the HSS.

The mechanism assumes that the GGSN does not allow a UE to successfully transmit an IP packet with a source IP address that is different to the one assigned during PDP context activation. In other words, the GGSN must prevent isource IP Spoofingî. The mechanism also assumes that the P-CSCF checks that the source IP address in the SIP header is the same as the source IP address in the IP header received from the UE (the assumption here, as well as for the full security solution, is that no NAT is present between the GGSN and the P-CSCF).

The mechanism prevents an attacker from using his own IP address in the IP header but spoofing someone else's IMS identity or IP address in the SIP header, so that he pays for GPRS level charges, but not for IMS level charges. The mechanism also prevents an attacker spoofing the address in the IP header so that he does not pay for GPRS charges. It therefore counters the threat scenarios given in clause 6 above.

The mechanism assumes that only one contact IP address is associated with one IMPI. Furthermore, the mechanism supports the case that there may be several IMPUs associated with one IMPI, but one IMPU is associated with only one IMPI.

In early IMS the IMS user authentication is performed by linking the IMS registration (based on an IMPI) to an <a href="https://muthenticated-PDP">muthenticated-PDP</a> context (based on an <a href="https://muthenticated-IMSI">authenticated-IMSI</a>). The mechanism here assumes that there is a one-to-one relationship between the IMSI for bearer access and the IMPI for IMS access.

In the following we use the terms P-CSCF and S-CSCF in a general sense to refer to components of an early IMS system. We note however that early IMS solutions may not have the same functionality split between SIP entities as defined in TS 23.228 [3]. Therefore, the requirements imposed on the SIP/IP core are specified in such a way that they are independent of the functionality split between SIP entities as far as possible. While the exact functionality split of the SIP/IP core may be left open, it is important that any changes to the Cx interface towards the HSS and changes to the interface towards the UE are standardised for vendor interoperability reasons.

*******	end change	******
*******	begin chang	e *******

## 7.2.2 Protection against IP address spoofing in GGSN

All GGSNs that offer connection to IMS shall implement measures to prevent source IP address spoofing. Specifically, a UE attached to the GGSN shall not be able to successfully transmit an IP packet w\_ith a source IP address that is different to the one assigned by the GGSN during PDP context activation. If IP address spoofing is detected the GGSN shall drop the packet. It shall be possible for the GGSN to-and log the event in its security log against the subscriber information (IMSI/MSISDN), e.g. based on operator configuration.