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1 Decision/action requested

***It is proposed to approve the solution in TR 33.857.***

2 References

[1] 3GPP TR 23.700-07: " Study on enhanced support of non-public networks ."

3 Rationale

This contribution provides a solution to key issue 3, “Securing initial access for UE onboarding between UE and SNPN ”. The solution is based on Solution 6.5 in TR 23.700-07[1].

4 Detailed proposal

**\*\*\*\*START OF CHANGES \*\*\***

2 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non‑specific.

- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.

- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies. In the case of a reference to a 3GPP document (including a GSM document), a non-specific reference implicitly refers to the latest version of that document *in the same Release as the present document*.

[1] 3GPP TR 21.905: "Vocabulary for 3GPP Specifications".

[2] 3GPP TS 33.501: "Security architecture and procedures for 5G System"

[3] 3GPP TR 23.700-07: "Study on enhanced support of non-public networks (Release 17)"

[XX] 3GPP TS 23.502: " Procedures for the 5G System (5GS) "

…

[x] <doctype> <#>[ ([up to and including]{yyyy[-mm]|V<a[.b[.c]]>}[onwards])]: "<Title>".

**\*\*\*\*NEXT CHANGES \*\*\***

6.Y Solution #Y: UE Onboarding for an SNPN from Onboarding SNPN using EAP-TTLS

6.Y.1 Introduction

This solution addresses key issue 4," Securing initial access for UE onboarding between UE and SNPN," for UEs without UICC and figure 6. Y.2-1 shows a general use-case for this key issue. The actual provisioning mechanisms are outside the scope of this solution. In this solution, UE performs primary authentication using null algorithms, while EAP-TTLS is mandated for mutual authentication with DCS as part of secondary authentication.

When the UEs are deployed without a provisioned subscription, it provides a solution on how UE subscription/credentials are afterward provisioned to the UEs. The solution enables UEs to get network connectivity to an O-SNPN ("onboarding SNPN") so that it can be provisioned with necessary subscription credentials and configuration for the SO-SNPN that will own the UE's subscription ("SNPN owning the subscription"). The solution removes the complexity of O-SNPN by avoiding the need for any new Control plane interfaces, the connectivity between the O-SNPN and DCS relying on the existing interface for secondary authentication..

6.Y.2 Solution details

Following pre-conditions are assumed:

- The UE is provisioned with some default UE credentials and a unique UE identifier at the manufacturing time. The unique UE identifier is assumed to be unique within the DCS. It takes the form of a Network Access Identifier (NAI), which is composed of the user part and the realm part, which may identify the domain name of the DCS.

- The UE is not provisioned with *subscription credentials* that grant access to a SO-SNPN.

- The Onboarding SNPN (O-SNPN) that is used by the UE in the onboarding process is not necessarily the same as the SO-SNPN (Subscription Owner SNPN) for which subscription credentials will be provisioned in the UE.

- The O-SNPN operator has access to a Default Credential Server (DCS), which is used to verify that UE is subject to onboarding based on the UE identifier and the associated default UE credentials. The DCS is used for UE authentication/authorization in the O-SNPN during the establishment of a PDU Session for onboarding purposes. The DCS owner is out of this document's scope and can be inside or outside of the O-SNPN, e.g., DCS can be owned by the device manufacturer, by an SNPN other than the O-SNPN, or by a 3rd party.

The solution recommends using EAP-TTLS as an authentication mechanism for secondary authentication to O-SNPN.

NOTE: Provisioning is out of scope of this solution

In some deployments, the DCS and the Provisioning Server can be the same entity. In deployments where the DCS and the Provisioning Server are different entities, it is expected that they communicate with each other for the purpose of UE authentication based on the default UE credentials via an interface that is outside of this solution’s scope. The SO-SNPN owning the subscription (SO-SNPN) interacts with the Provisioning Server during the UE onboarding procedure and provides the corresponding UE's subscription credentials and UE's configuration data to be provisioned to the UE. The actual provisioning mechanisms are outside the scope of this solution

 

**Figure 6.Y.2-1 UE Onboarding for Remote Provisioning Procedure**

1. UE pre-configuration: The UE is provisioned with default UE credentials that allow for successful UE authentication with DCS and a unique UE identifier. A configuration may also include information for selecting SNPN needed to access the provisioning server.
2. Initial access to the Onboarding SNPN:
	1. Selection of SNPN: UE selects the O-SNPN based on the indication in SIB broadcasted by O-SNPN (e.g., "Support for onboarding" indicator). In this step, if the UE wants to initiate the UE onboarding, the UE either automatically discovers and selects the O-SNPN network based on the broadcasted information or presents a list of available ONs to the user for manual selection. The UE registers to O-SNPN for onboarding by including an indication in the Registration Request, indicating that the registration is for UE onboarding.
	2. Registration Procedure: During the registration procedure, the UE provides the UE-specific information, e.g. corresponding identity (encoded in SUPI format) to the network. The user may also provide the UE with additional information, such as an application identifier and/or Service Provider Identifier. NAS SMC is performed using NULL algorithms.

NOTE: Primary Authentication is not performed in this solution.

1. Configuration PDU session: UE obtains limited connectivity to the Provisioning Server. In the Configuration PDU Session Establishment Request, the UE includes DCS identity and optionally includes PS identity, SO-SNPN identity, or both. When the UE provides SO-SNPN identity, the SMF in the O-SNPN may decide to override the PS identity provided by the UE and send the new PS identity to the UE in the PDU Session Establishment Accept as PCO parameter. The PS identity received in the PDU Session Establishment Accept overrides any configured PS identity in the UE.

Editor’s Note: It is FFS how to address the following attack: if it lacks NAS security protection, PS identity can be modified because of some attack, e.g. MITM attack, which could cause the DoS attack

Editor Note: Call flow in figure needs correction to map steps described in solution.

1. The PDU session establishment authentication/authorization is performed as described in TS 23.502 [XX] clause 4.3.2.3 and in TS 33.501[2] clause 11.1.2. Secondary authentication with DCS is triggered by the SMF during PDU Session establishment.
2. The SMF selects the DCS either based on the DCS identity sent from the UE to the SMF or based on the realm part of the UE identity.. As secondary authentication is EAP-based, any EAP method can be used for secondary authentication to DCS. In this case EAP-TTLS is used as per RFC 5281[5]. To provide privacy of the UE identity, as per the RFC 5281 , “anonymous@realm” , is sent during the phase 1 of TTLS. In the second phase of EAP-TTLS , UE is authenticated by DCS using unique UE identity and default UE credentials as per RFC 5281[5].

1. The UE discovers the Provisioning Server using the stored PS identity. At this point, the stored PS identity is either the PS identity pre-configured in the UE, or the PS identity entered manually by the user, or the PS identity received by the O-SNPN. If the UE still does not have a stored PS identity, then the UE uses a well-known FQDN to perform PS discovery. The UE provides the provisioning server with the unique UE identifier, and optionally with the identity of the selected SO-SNPN. The provisioning server discovers the DCS identity sent from the UE to PS or based on using the realm part of the unique UE identity and authenticates the UE based on the default UE credentials. The interface between DCS and PS is out of the scope of this solution.

NOTE: This solution assumes there is trust relationship between DCS and PS. Specifics of the interface between DCS and PS including the aspects of mutual authentication, encryption and integrity protection are out of the scope of this solution.

NOTE: When the Onboarding network is the same as SNPN owning the subscription of the UE, the Provisioning Server is owned by the Onboarding Network

1. The Provisioning Server interacts with UE over secure connection.
2. Upon successful provisioning in the previous step, the UE releases the Configuration PDU Session and deregisters from the O-SNPN.
3. Upon a successful de-registration, the UE initiates a regular procedure, including a selection of a SO-SNPN, Registration using the provisioned credentials with the SO-SNPN owning the subscription, and PDU Session establishment(s). Depending on the provisioned subscription credentials, the UE may select an SNPN that is the same or different from the SNPN owning the credentials.

6.Y.3 System impact

UE:

- During the registration procedure, UE provides information to the SNPN, indicating that the registration is for restricted onboarding service only.

- Support for EAP-TTLS

- the UE might have been provisioned with some initial default configuration, including PLMN ID and NID of the SNPN, S-NSSAI, DNN needed to access the provisioning server.

NG-RAN:

- A new indication in SIB to indicate that the SNPN provides access to onboarding service.

5GC:

 - SMF to provide Limited connectivity to the provisioning server

6.Y.4 Evaluation

Editor’s Note: Each solution should motivate how the potential security requirements of the key issues being addressed are fulfilled.

Editor’s Note: Evaluation is FFS for the security and architectural implications of using EAP-TTLS.

**\*\*\*\*END OF CHANGES \*\*\***