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# 1 Overall description

SA3 thanks SA2 for the LS S2-2004750 on FS\_5G\_ProSe in TR 23.752.

Corresponding to the SA2 study, SA3 is studying all the related security aspects in TR 33.847.

The Key issues relevant with Relay scenarios are:

* KI#3 Security of UE-to-Network Relay,
* KI#4 Authorization in the UE-to-Network relay scenario,
* KI#5 Privacy protection over the UE-to-Network Relay,
* KI#6 Integrity and confidentiality of information over the UE-to-UE Relay,
* KI#7Authorization in the UE-to-UE relay scenario,
* KI#8 Privacy of information over the UE-to-UE Relay,
* and KI#9 Key management in 5G Proximity Services for UE-to-Network relay communication

According to the table 6.0-1 coppied as below, you can find the corresponding solutions to these Key issues.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Key Issues |
| Solutions | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |
| 27 | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | X |  |  |  |  | X |

There is no conclusions now.

# 2 Actions

**To: SA2**

**ACTION:** SA3 kindly ask SA2 to take the above reply into consideration. If SA2 makes further conclusions on the architectural options L2 vs L3 relays, please keep SA3 informed.

# 3 Dates of next TSG SA WG 3 meetings

SA3#102e-Bis 1 - 5 March 2021> Electronic meeting

SA3#103e 17 - 28 may 2021 Electronic meeting