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**1. Overall Description:**

SA2 has discussed the case of 5G RG that support MA PDU Session with wireline access leg and 3GPP access (NR) leg over 5GC but does not support MA PDU Session with a wireline access leg over 5GC and 3GPP access leg over LTE/EPC and has following questions:

* Q1: Does BBF consider that the support for such 5G-RG(s) needs to be specified or does BBF plan to mandate that a 5G RG that supports MA PDU Session shall support MA PDU Session both over NR/5GC and LTE/EPC , if LTE/EPC is supported.

In case of that such 5G RG shall be supported, SA2 would kindly ask

* Q2: If the 5G-RG does not support MA PDU session simultanously over LTE/EPC and wireline access, when 3GPP access of a MA PDU Session is handed over from NR to LTE/EPC should the wireline or the wireless access of the MA PDU Session be released?
* Q3: Does BBF have any preference on whether the release (see Q2) of the access should be initiated by the 5G RG or by the network (5GC)?

**2. Actions:**

**To BBF group.**

**ACTION:** SA2 kindly requests BBF to answer to the questions above.

**3. Date of Next SA2 Meetings:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | DATES | LOCATION | CTRY |
| SA2#141e | Oct 12th – 23rd | Elbonia | any |
| SA2#141e | Nov 16th – 20th | Elbonia | any |