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**1. Overall Description:**

SA2 has discussed the case of 5G RG that support MA PDU Session with a 3GPP leg over NR but don’t support MA PDU Session with a 3GPP leg over EPC and has following questions:

* Q1: Do we need to support such 5G RG or does BBF plan to mandatet that a 5G RG that supports MA PDU Session with a 3GPP leg over NR but shall support MA PDU Session with a 3GPP leg over EPC. Further questions relate to the case we need to support such 5G RG
* Q2: when 3GPP access of a MA PDU Session is handed over from NR to EPC should the wireline or the wireless access of the MA PDU Session be released?
* Q3: should the access to be released (see Q2) be released by the 5G RG or by the network (5GC)?

**2. Actions:**

**To BBF group.**

**ACTION:** SA2 kindly requests BBF to answer to the questions above.

**3. Date of Next SA2 Meetings:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | DATES | LOCATION | CTRY |
| SA2#141e | Oct 12th – 23rd | Elbonia | any |
| SA2#141e | Nov 16th – 20th | Elbonia | any |