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**1. Overall Description:**

SA2 thanks the LS on signaling feasibility of dataset and parameter sharing and provides feedback on the following RAN2’s assumption on non-OTA solutions:

* For non-OTA approaches, i.e., ‘NW dataset/model parameters collection entity -> UE training entity (a server inside MNO or an OTT server)’, RAN2 identified the following candidate solutions (see below Table 1). From RAN2 point of view, it is assumed they can be supported within Rel-19 existing architecture framework. RAN3, SA2, and SA5 can further confirm the above RAN2 assumption.

**SA2 Feedback:**

* SA2 would like to inform RAN2 that the Rel-19 specifications do not support option 2 nor option3 (gNB -> CN -> UE-side training entity). Note that Rel-19 is frozen now for SA2. As RAN3 indicated in LS R3-253961, the exchange of AI/ML-related data between the CN and gNB is not supported in R19. The Rel-19 architecture provides exposure capabilities, which may still need to be enhanced to support exposure to UE training entity. The feasibility of option 3 (gNB -> OAM -> UE-side training entity) should be determined by SA5.
* To study non-OTA candidate solutions, SA2 would appreciate any further information from RAN2 / RAN plenary on the requirements. The decision on whether to have study is also subject to SA/SA2 planning.
* SA2 would like to ask RAN2 about the difference between option 3 and the other two options. In particular, how option 3 (gNB -> OAM/CN -> UE-side training entity) and option 2 (CN -> UE-side training entity) are different from the CN entity perspective?

**2. Actions:**

**RAN2:**

**ACTION:** SA2 kindly asks RAN2 to take the above feedback into account.

**3. Date of Next TSG SA WG2 Meetings:**

TSG-SA2 Meeting #171 13-17 October, 2025 Wuhan, CN

TSG-SA2 Meeting #172 17-21 November, 2025 Dallas, US