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# Background

This draft TP captures comparison of PDSCH performance under SU-MIMO scenario for different spatial channel model part.

1. Draft TP

6. Comparison of spatial channel Models

## **6.1 PDSCH performance under SU-MIMO scenario**

This section provides comparison for different spatial channel models with common simulation assumptions captured in Table 6.1-1.

**Table 6.1-1: Common Simulation assumptions**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
| Duplex mode | TDD |
| TDD Slot Configuration Pattern | 7D1S2U (PDSCH is not scheduled in S slot for Rank8) |
| Channel Bandwidth/SCS | 40MHz/30kHz |
| Rank | 4,8 |
| Antenna configuration | Rank4: 4T4RRank8: 8T8R |
| MCS | 13 (64 QAM table) |
| Channel model | rCDL-C1xTDL-C1TDLC300-LowTDLC300-ULA MedTDLC300-ULA HighTDLC300-XP MedTDLC300-XP High |
| Codebook configuration for PDSCH and DMRS  | CodebookType | For CDL channel: Single Panel Type I; Randomized precoder selection for every REG bundle and updated per slot with equal probability of each applicable i1/i2 combination or codebook For TDL channel: Single Panel Type I; (i1,1=i1,2=i2)=(0,0,0) |
| Codebook configuration | (N1,N2,O1,O2) = (4,1,4,1) |
| PDSCH configuration | Mapping type | Type A |
| k0 | 0 |
| Starting symbol (S)  | 2 |
| Length (L) | 12 |
| PDSCH aggregation factor | 1 |
| Resource allocation type | Type 0 |
| VRB-to-PRB mapping type | Non-interleaved |
| VRB-to-PRB mapping interleaver bundle size | N/A |
| PDSCH DMRS configuration | DMRS Type | Type 1 |
| Number of additional DMRS | 1 |
| Maximum number of OFDM symbols for DL front loaded DMRS | 2 |
| Number of HARQ Processes | 8 |
| Maximum HARQ transmissions | 4 |
| UE receiver type | MMSE-IRC |
| Test metric | Rank 4: SNR@70% of maximum throughputRank8: SNR(dB) @ 30% and 70% of max throughput for each codeword |

Simulation assumptions for rCDL-C1 channel specifically are captured in Table 6.1-2:

Table 6.1-2: Simulation assumptions for rCDL-C1 channel

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Parameter** | **Value** |
| Rank8 |
| FR / Carrier frequency | FR1,3.5GHz |
| UE speed and movement direction | 3km/h, ($65^{°},90^{°}$) |
| AAV assumptions | (M,N,P,Ms,Ns) = (1,2,2,1,1) | (M,N,P,Ms,Ns) = (1,4,2,1,1) |
| Channel Geometry |  LCS UE | α = 180°, β=0°, γ = 0° |
| LCS gNodeB | α = 0°, β=10°, γ = 0° |
| GCS UE | Height = 1.5 m; Azimuth = 0; X Coordinate = 100 m |
| GCS gNodeB | Height = 25 m; Azimuth = 0; X Coordinate = 0 m |
| BS Antenna Polarisation | Cross Polarized antenna elements with +/-45 degrees polarization slant angles |
| BS Radiation Pattern | Defined Table 7.3-1 in TS 38.901 |
| UE Antenna Polarisation | cross-polarized antenna elements with +90/0 degrees polarization slant angles |
| UE Antenna Radiation Pattern | Omnidirectional |
| Antenna Panel Placement | YZ Plane |

The following comparison test cases are included

* FR1 SU-MIMO PMI 4Tx 4Rx 4 layers
* FR1 SU-MIMO PMI 8Tx 8Rx 8 layers

**Table 6.2-3: Simulation result summary of SNR@70% of maximum throughput for FR1 SU-MIMO PMI 4Tx 4Rx with 4 layers**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Channel model** | **UE speed/Doppler** | **Source #1** | **Source #2** | **Source #3** | **Source #4** | **Source #5** | **Source #6** | **Source #7** | **Source #8** | **Source #9** |
| rCDL-C1  | 3 kph | 15.5 | 14.3 | 16.48 | 15.6 | 15.9 | 16.6 | 14.7 |  | 15.6 |
| xTDL-C1 | 10 Hz | 　 | 　 | 　 | 13.5 | 15.9 |  | 14 |  | 15.4 |
| TDLC300-Low | 10 Hz | 14 | 　 | 　 | 　 | 14.7 | 14.3 | 13 |  | 13.6 |
| TDLC300-ULA Med | 10 Hz | N/A | 　 | 　 | 　 | 49.2 | N/A |  |  | N/A |
| TDLC300-ULA High | 10 Hz | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 |  |  |  |  | N/A |
| TDLC300-XP Med | 10 Hz | 15.3 | 　 | 　 | 　 | 16.3 |  |  |  | 15.5 |
| TDLC300-XP High | 10 Hz | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 |  |  |  |  | 27.6 |
| rCDL-C1 | 30 kph | 16.3 | 　 | 　 | 　 |  | 17.9 | 15.2 |  | 17.5 |
| xTDL-C1 | 100 Hz | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 |  |  | 14.5 |  |  |
| TDLC300-Low | 100 Hz | 14.5 | 　 | 　 | 　 | 15.6 |  | 13.3 |  |  |
| TDLC300-ULA Med | 100 Hz | N/A | 　 | 　 | 　 | N/A |  |  |  |  |
| TDLC300-ULA High | 100 Hz | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDLC300-XP Med | 100 Hz | 16.1 | 　 | 　 | 　 | 17.4 |  |  |  |  |
| TDLC300-XP High | 100 Hz | 　 | 　 | 　 | 　 |  |  |  |  |  |

**Table 6.2-3: Simulation result summary of SNR@70% of maximum throughput for FR1 SU-MIMO PMI 8Tx 8Rx with 8 layers**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Channel model | UE speed/Doppler | Source #1 | Source #2 | Source #3 | Source #4 | Source #5 | Source #6 | Source #7 | Source #8 | Source #9 |
| Codeword 1 | Codeword 2 | Codeword 1 | Codeword 2 | Codeword 1 | Codeword 2 | Codeword 1 | Codeword 2 | Codeword 1 | Codeword 2 | Codeword 1 | Codeword 2 | Codeword 1 | Codeword 2 | Codeword 1 | Codeword 2 | Codeword 1 | Codeword 2 |
| rCDL -C 1 | 3 kph | 24.4 | 16.7 | 24 | 17.5 | 24.7 | 17.2 | 23.4 | 18.5 | 24.4 |  |  | 24.4 | 16.7 | 24 | 17.5 | 24.7 | 17.2 | 23.4 |
| xTDL-C1 | 10 Hz | 21.2 | 11.1 | 19.7 |  |  | 15.4 | 16.6 | 14.8 | 20.4 |  |  | 21.2 | 11.1 | 19.7 |  |  | 15.4 | 16.6 |
| TDLC300-Low | 10 Hz |  | 15.7 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 15.5 | 15.7 | 14.4 | 14.3 |  |  |  | 15.7 | 15.8 | 15.9 | 15.9 | 15.5 | 15.7 |
| TDLC300-ULA Med | 10 Hz |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  | N/A | N/A |  |  |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| TDLC300-ULA High | 10 Hz |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDLC300-XP Med | 10 Hz |  | N/A | 35.1 |  |  |  |  | 27.4 | N/A |  |  |  | N/A | 35.1 |  |  |  |  |
| TDLC300-XP High | 10 Hz |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| rCDL -C 1 | 30 kph |  |  |  | 18.6 | 25.5 | 17.4 | 23.8 | 21.1 | 26.4 |  |  |  |  |  | 18.6 | 25.5 | 17.4 | 23.8 |
| xTDL-C1 | 100 Hz |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 17.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 17.4 |
| TDLC300-Low | 100 Hz |  | 16.4 | 16.4 |  |  | 16.4 | 16.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 16.4 | 16.4 |  |  | 16.4 | 16.6 |
| TDLC300-ULA Med | 100 Hz |  | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |
| TDLC300-ULA High | 100 Hz |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TDLC300-XP Med | 100 Hz |  | N/A | 39.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N/A | 39.1 |  |  |  |  |
| TDLC300-XP High | 100 Hz |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The more results with full curves refer to [x]

1. Conclusion

This draft TP captures comparison of PDSCH performance under SU-MIMO scenario for different spatial channel model part.