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# 1 Overall description

RAN3 would like to thank SA2 for the “Reply LS on emergency call back and paging”. Based on SA2 clarification questions, RAN3 further discussed and providing following response.

**Question 1：** Is it possible to have different behavior in NG-RAN node depending on the presence of the emergency PDU session to decide when to release RRC connection, compared to when there is no emergency PDU session?

**RAN3 Answer**: It is upto RAN implementation whether to use same or different logic when deciding to release a UE into RRC\_INACTIVE state in presence of emergency PDU session when compared with presence of normal PDU session.

**Question 2:**   If yes, when the emergency PDU session exist, whether it is required to configure the NG-RAN to not release RRC connection until the emergency PDU session is released by the SMF?

**RAN3 Answer:** It is upto RAN implementation whether to release UE into RRC\_INACTIVE state or not in presence of emergency PDU session when emergency PDU session is setup.

# 2 Actions

**To SA2**

**ACTION:** RAN3 respectfully asks SA2 to take the RAN3 reply into account.

# 3 Dates of next TSG RAN WG3 meetings

RAN3#129bis 13th -17th October Prague, Czech Republic

RAN3#130 17th – 21st November Dallas, USA