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# 1 Overall description

RAN3 would like to thank SA2 for the “Reply LS on emergency call back and paging”. Regarding two SA2 questions, RAN3 has further discussed and provides the following responses.

**Question 1：** Is it possible to have different behavior in NG-RAN node depending on the presence of the emergency PDU session to decide when to release RRC connection, compared to when there is no emergency PDU session?

**RAN3 Answer**: On the presence of the emergency PDU session, it is up to NG-RAN node implementation whether to release or maintain the UE RRC connection.

**Question 2:**   If yes, when the emergency PDU session exist, whether it is required to configure the NG-RAN to not release RRC connection until the emergency PDU session is released by the SMF?

**RAN3 Answer:** When the emergency PDU session exists, it is up to NG-RAN node implementation whether to keep or release the RRC connection.

# 2 Actions

**To SA2:**

**ACTION:** RAN3 respectfully asks SA2 to take the RAN3 reply into account.

# 3 Dates of next TSG RAN WG3 meetings

RAN3#129bis 13th -17th October Prague, Czech Republic

RAN3#130 17th – 21st November Dallas, USA