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# 1 Introduction

This document is the report of the following discussion:

* [AT131][019][TEI19] NES (Huawei)

 Intended outcome: update and agree to CR by email

 Deadline: Thursday

**[Cell DTX/DRX]**

[R2-2506049](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cpanidx%5COneDrive%20-%20InterDigital%20Communications%2C%20Inc%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%20RAN%5CTSGR2_131%5CDocs%5CR2-2506049.zip) Discussion on UE assistance information for cell DTX/DRX Huawei, HiSilicon, China Telecom, NTT DOCOMO, Deutsche Telekom, KT Corporation, LG Uplus, Orange, Turkcell, SK Telecom, China Unicom, CMCC, Sharp, TCL, HONOR, ZTE Corporation, Sanechips, Google, Fraunhofer IIS, Fraunhofer HHI, CEWiT, CAICT, Apple, OPPO, CATT discussion Rel-19 TEI19

=> Revised in [R2-2506198](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cpanidx%5COneDrive%20-%20InterDigital%20Communications%2C%20Inc%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%20RAN%5CTSGR2_131%5CDocs%5CR2-2506198.zip)

[R2-2506198](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cpanidx%5COneDrive%20-%20InterDigital%20Communications%2C%20Inc%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%20RAN%5CTSGR2_131%5CDocs%5CR2-2506198.zip) Discussion on UE assistance information for cell DTX/DRX Huawei, HiSilicon, China Telecom, NTT DOCOMO, Deutsche Telekom, KT Corporation, LG Uplus, Orange, Turkcell, SK Telecom, China Unicom, CMCC, Sharp, TCL, HONOR, ZTE Corporation, Sanechips, Google, Fraunhofer IIS, Fraunhofer HHI, CEWiT, CAICT, Apple, OPPO, CATT, TIM discussion Rel-19 TEI19

*Proposal 1: UE indicates to the network that the configured cell DTX/DRX does not suit UE services. RAN2 to down-select from the following:*

*Option 1: Introduce a 1-bit indication in UAI, indicating the UE prefers not to operate under the current cell DTX/DRX configuration;*

*- Option 1-a: 1-bit indication as above, with an optional recommendation from UE of cell DTX/DRX configuration;*

*Option 2: Clarify in the spec that DRX-Preference-r16 can also be used by the network for cell DTX/DRX configuration.*

- Docomo supports this proposal as this helps energy consumption from network perspective.

- Nokia doesn’t share the enthusiasm as we can use normal QoE procedure to let the network control UE behaviour. Huawei explains that this is for OTT services that the network doesn’t understand what type of service it is and that no one pays for it.

- Xiaomi agrees with intention but DRX preference report is sufficient. Huawei thinks that this is option 2, but we need to clarify so after dtx/drx is configured and it is sending a preference it is also sending a preference for dtx/drx. LG shares the view with Xiaomi.

- ZTE agrees and prefers option 1, and using DRX preference without clarification it will be confusing to the network.

- Ericsson thinks that I can report unhappy but it doesn’t mean the network will make the UE happy, so this can go for a while. Huawei assumes that there is always a coverage cell and if it wants it can handover these unhappy UEs that cell.

- BT doesn’t see why we need to use this. Huawei explains that it is for OTT services.

- Vivo thinks that option 1 doesn’t exactly work so option 2 would work better.

- Ericsson thinks that the DRX preference for r16 would work implicitly. Huawei is explaining that we just need to clarify for implementers that this can also be used and also only the long cycle would need to be sent.

* UE indicates to the network that the configured cell DTX/DRX does not suit UE services. Clarify in the spec that DRX-Preference-r16 can also be used by the network for cell DTX/DRX configuration.
* Don’t need to capture how the NW will use this indication

Based on the online agreements I have provided a draft CR in the folder. The field description capturing NW behaviour was removed from the TP.

Please provide your comments by 19:00 on Wednesday to allow time for the rapporteur to update the CR before the deadline.

# 2 UAI CR for NES

Please don’t change the CR text or insert comments to the CR file. Please use the table below for comments and wording suggestions for clarity of the CR tdoc. If you want to highlight several issues, please use comment IDs e.g. HW01, HW02, etc. so it is easier for the rapporteur to respond.
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