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# 1 Introduction

This document is the report of the following discussion:

* [POST131][108][NES] (Huawei)

**Scope:** Update NES 38.300 CR (including this meeting agreements also).

**Intended outcome:** 38.300 CR in R2-2506219 to be agreed.

**Deadline:** Short email discussion (Sept. 5th 1000 UTC)

Please provide your comments by Thursday September 4th EOB to allow time for the rapporteur to update the CR before the deadline.

# 2 38.300 CR for NES

The post-RAN2#131 stage-2 CR for NES enhancements and a document for providing comments are provided in the discussion folder. Please don’t change the CR text or insert comments to the CR file. Please use the table below for comments and wording suggestions for clarity of the CR tdoc. If you want to highlight several issues, please use comment IDs e.g. HW01, HW02, etc. so it is easier for the rapporteur to respond.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company and comment ID (e.g. HW01)** | **Section and detailed comments/suggestions** | **Rapporteur response** |
| OPPO001 | - If the serving cell is associated with SSB, other than the initial BWP, if any of the UE configured BWPs do not contain the frequency domain resources of the SSB associated to the initial DL BWP, and are not configured with NCD-SSB for serving cell measurement, or any of the UE configured BWPs do not contain the frequency domain resources of the OD-SSB associated to the serving cell;  [OPPO] original text is to say both the yellow-condition and green-condition have to be satisfied. Now I understand the blue condition intend to say when both   * Yellow-condition, and * Green-condition or the blue condition   Are satisfied.  But since R1 has concluded that both AO and OD-SSB are always in the same BWP, in our understanding the yellow-condition has already covered the blue condition, so no need to separately stated that.   * + AO-SSB and OD-SSB are located in the same BWP |  |
| Nokia001 | Related to OPPO comment – what is the agreement this change is trying to implement?  We thought “If the serving cell is associated with SSB, other than the initial BWP,” is already saying “serving cell associated with SSB” which is indeed one with servingCellMO-OD (or whatever will be final ASN.1 name) is doing that. So maybe we don’t need any changes here? |  |
| Apple001 | - If the serving cell is associated with SSB, other than the initial BWP, if any of the UE configured BWPs do not contain the frequency domain resources of **the SSB associated to the initial DL BWP**, and are not configured with NCD-SSB for serving cell measurement, or any of the UE configured BWPs do not contain the frequency domain resources of the OD-SSB associated to the serving cell;  Regarding to the issue raised by OPPO001, we also think the current change is complicated.  In our understanding, the ambiguity part is what “**the SSB associated to the initial DL BWP**” means (i.e. whether “the SSB” means OD-SSB or AO-SSB or both). Our understanding is “both”:   * Note that frequency domain resource may be different between OD-SSB and AO-SSB if they are in different frequencies (although they are always in same BWP as OPPO quoted R1 agreement). * Any overlapping of frequency resource of SSB needs measurement gap.   Thus, maybe we can just clarify it as a NOTE. We provide an example below:  If the serving cell is associated with SSB, other than the initial BWP, if any of the UE configured BWPs do not contain the frequency domain resources of the SSB associated to the initial DL BWP, and are not configured with NCD-SSB for serving cell measurement;  NOTE 2c: when the serving cell is associated with both SSB and OD-SSB in different frequency, “the SSB associated to the initial DL BWP” includes both SSB and OD-SSB. |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |