**3GPP TSG-RAN WG2 Meeting #130 R2-250xxxx**

**St Julian, Malta, 19th – 23rd May 2025**

**Source: vivo**

**Title: Remaining RRC open issue for LP-WUS/WUR**

**Agenda Item: 8.4.1**

**Document for: Discussion and Decision**

1. Introduction

The following document includes a list of RRC open issues for LP-WUS/WUR according to the following email discussion:

* [Post129bis][209][LPWUS] Running CR for 38.331 (vivo)

Intended outcome:

1. Updated running CR based on new agreements for endorsement
2. open issue list

Deadline: Long

Companies are invited to provide comments/additional issues in the below table by 2nd May, 2025

# Discussion

* 1. Easily addressed open issues

**Open issue RRC-1 (essential): whether whether RRM relaxation configuration is provided in SIB2**

In the current RRC running CR, there is an EN as below:

Editor’s NOTE: FFS on whether RRM relaxation configuration is provided in SIB2.

In legacy Rel-16/17 neighboring cell measurement relaxation, the corresponding conditions and parameters are configured in SIB2. Rapporetur understands it is straightforward to follow the same design for Rel-19 RRM offloading/relaxation conditions and parameters.

**Companies are invited to provide comments on whether RRM relaxation configuration is provided in SIB2.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
| OPPO | Yes | Fine to follow the the same design as legacy RRM relaxation |
| Lenovo | Yes |  |
| Samsung | Yes | To be aligned with the legacy parameters. |
| Huawei | Yes | To be aligned with the legacy parameters. |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
| CATT | Yes |  |
| LGE | Yes |  |
| Xiaomi | Yes |  |

**Open issue RRC-2 (essential): whether entry/exit condition is mandatory or optional**

In the current RRC running CR, there is an EN as below:

Editor’s NOTE: FFS on whether entry/exit condition is mandatory or optional.

During the discussion, some companies think Some companies think if the MR and LR have the same coverage, the entry/exit condition for LP-WUS monitoring seems not always need to be configured. If entry/exit condition for PDCCH monitoring is absent in LP-WUS configuration, UE assumes that entry condition is always met and whether to monitor LP-WUS is up to UE implementation. While some companies think the entry/exit condition should be mandatorily configured to make UE correctly check whether the entry/exit condition for LP-WUS monitoring is met or not.

**Companies are invited to provide comments on whether the entry/exit condition for LP-WUS monitoring is mandatorily configured if the network is intended to support the LP-WUS feature.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
| OPPO | Yes | When entry/exit condition is not configured, how does the UE know whether the NW does not support LP-WUS or it supports but not configuring the condtion? Especially for the OOK-based receiver, when LP-SS is not configured, does the UE assume NW does not support OOK based LR or assume the UE always met the condition when entry/exit condition is absent?  If the UE is able to differentiate the case, we’re ok to let the UE assume entry condition is always met when entry/exit condition is absent. Otherwise, the mandatory configuration for entry/exit is the easy way. |
| Lenovo | Yes | For coverage aspects, it has been agreed that LR covarege shall match MR’ s PUSCH coverage for MSG3, but it is still has a smaller coverage than MR’s DL coverage; Furthermore, since the LP-WUR architecture may vary from one UE to another (OOK-based or OFDM-based LR).  We think it is necessary to provide an entry/ exit condition for LP-WUS monitoring mandatorily in SIB information if the NW is intended to support the LP-WUS feature. |
| Samsung | Yes | We believe the UE needs to be configured at least a threshold to compare. |
| Huawei | Yes | A specific threshold configured is clear and reliable. We understand in most cases, the coverage of LP-WUS is smaller than that of MR, the signaling overhead saved by making the field optional is marginal. |
| Sharp | Yes |  |
| CATT | No | If the MR and LR have the same coverage, the entry/exit condition for LP-WUS monitoring seems not always need to be configured. In this case, the UE can be aware the NW supports LP-WUS via other paramters in SIB1, e.g. LO related configurations, subgrouping related configurations for LP-WUS and so on. |
| LGE | Yes | Even though the MR and LR have the same coverage, having consistent UE behavior seems more desirable. |
| Xiaomi | Yes | This has been discussed on the online meeting many times. That is the reason we introduced the entry/exit conditions. We do not need to repete the discussions. |

**Open issue RRC-11 (essential): how to report the whether entry/exit condition is mandatory or optional**

In RAN2#129bis meeting, it was agreed that:

* If configured, the UE can signal a preferred time offset via UAI signalling.
* Ask RAN1 for further information regarding their conclusions.

But how to report the UAI has not been decided. Rapporteur understands that the detailed design is the same as legacy, including the configuration, procedure, as well as prohibit timer, etc.

**Companies are invited to provide comments on whether the design of UAI reporting for preferred time offset is same as the legacy, e.g. including the configuration, procedure, as well as prohibit timer, etc.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comments, if any** |
| Lenovo | See Comments | We are okay to follow legacy design, but we may need to wait to hear back from RAN1 since there is an ongoing LS. |
| Huawei | Yes | The design of configuration and procedure can follow the legacy UAI mechanism. |
| Sharp | See comments | Generally, agree to follow legacy UAI reporting procedure, but whether prohibit timer is needed depends on whether the preferred time will be changed frequently which needs RAN1 input. |
| CATT | Yes | Prefer to follow the legacy UAI mechanism |
| LGE | Yes | Agree with Rapporteur’s view |
| Xiaomi | Yes | The legacy way can be reused. The details can be discussed. |

* 1. Other open issue list

**Rapporteur provides the list of open issues as below, and the corresponding suggestions on how to address them. Some of them could be further discussed based on contributions or resoved based on further progress. Companies are invited to provide comments on whether it is open issue and whether the suggestions from reapporteur is accuracy enough.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Comments** |
| OPPO | RRC-3: regarding dual DRX group, we see no blocking issues from UE perspective to support LP-WUS and dual DRX group together.  RRC-5: no need to support empty UAI on offset, we see no motivation.  For the RRM relaxation related issues, we think the summaries from RAPP is good, we can submit contributions to discussion for the coming meeting. |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

### LP-WUS in idle/inactive mode

N/A

### LP-WUS in connected mode

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Index** | **Issue description** | **Rapporteur suggestion** |
| RRC-3 | **whether/how to support LP-WUS (including Option 1-1 and 1-2) and dual DRX group**  Editor’s NOTE: FFS on whether/how to support LP-WUS (including Option 1-1 and 1-2) and dual DRX group. | **Issue Type:** not essential but important  **How to address it:** can be discussed based on companies’ contribution |
| RRC-4 | **value range for offset UAI for LP-WUS monitoring for option 1-1 and option 1-2**  Editor’s NOTE: FFS on the value range for offset UAI for LP-WUS monitoring for option 1-1 and option 1-2. | **Issue Type:** essential  **How to address it:** can be easily addressed based on RAN1 inputs |
| RRC-5 | **whether it is allowed to report an empty UAI on offset for LP-WUS monitoring for both option 1-1 and option 1-2**  Editor’s NOTE: There is no conclusion on whether it is allowed to report an empty UAI on offset for LP-WUS monitoring for both option 1-1 and option 1-2. | **Issue Type:** not essential  **How to address it:** can be discussed based on companies’ contribution |

### RRM relaxation/offloading

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Index** | **Issue description** | **Rapporteur suggestion** |
| RRC-6 | **the value range of ThresholdPLP and ThresholdQLP for LR measurement based threshold for serving cell relaxation/offloading and neighboring cell relaxation**  Editor’s NOTE: FFS on the value range of *ThresholdPLP* and *ThresholdQLP* for LR measurement based threshold for serving cell relaxation/offloading and neighboring cell relaxation. | **Issue Type:** essential  **How to address it:** can be addressed based on RAN1/RAN4 inputs or companies’ contribution |
| RRC-7 | **FFS on exit condition for serving cell RRM relaxation**  Editor’s NOTE: FFS on exit condition for serving cell RRM relaxation, e.g., whether a separate exit condition other than ‘not fulfilling the entry condition’ is needed, or whether exit condition include MR and/or LR-based measurements. | **Issue Type:** not essential but important  **How to address it:** can be discussed based on companies’ contribution |
| RRC-8 | **FFS on whether/how to reduce the threshold number for LP-WUS/WUR**  Editor’s NOTE: FFS on the relationship between the thresholds for serving cell relaxation and offloading. FFS on the relationship between the thresholds for serving cell relaxation/offloading, neighboring cell relaxation and *s-IntraSearchP/s-NonIntraSearchP*.  Editor’s NOTE: FFS on the relationship between the thresholds for serving cell relaxation/offloading, neighboring cell relaxation and entry/exit condition of using LP-WUS, [and potential pre-condition between RRM relaxation/offloading criteria and entry/exit condition of using LP-WUS]. | **Issue Type:** not essential but important  **How to address it:** can be discussed based on companies’ contribution |
| RRC-9 | **FFS on whether/how RRM relaxation is applicable for high priority frequency**  Editor’s NOTE: FFS on whether/how RRM relaxation is applicable for high priority frequency. | **Issue Type:** not essential but important  **How to address it:** can be discussed based on companies’ contribution |
| RRC-10 | **FFS on low mobility criteria**  Editor’s NOTE: FFS on “low mobility” criteria. | **Issue Type:** not essential not important  **How to address it:** can be discussed based on companies’ contribution |

* 1. Others, please specify

Companies are invited to describe any other identified open issues not currently included within this document.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Other identified open issues? (please describe) or other comments** |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# Conclusion

In this contribution, we collect the open issues for LP-WUS/WUR in RRC as below:

***LP-WUS in idle/inactive mode***

***LP-WUS in connected mode***

***RRM relaxation/offloading***
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