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# 1 Introduction

This is the draft document (and the future email discussion summary) for the following email discussion.

* [Post128][018][AI Mob] generalization (Apple)

Intended outcome: Discuss parameters for different cell configuration and attempt to prioritize 1 parameters and not more than 2 values per parameter. Can do 2 max values if really reneed. for

Deadline: 3 weeks (i.e. December 13)

For your convenience, below you can find the relevant agreements on model generalization from RAN2#128.

|  |
| --- |
| **Agreements on generalization**   1. Reuse the evaluation methodology in TR38.843 for generalization study, i.e., the generalization performance is evaluated with the following cases,  * *Baseline:* The AI/ML model is trained using the dataset with Configuration #B and tested using the dataset with Configuration #B. * *Generalization Case #1 (GC#1):* The AI/ML model is trained using the dataset with Configuration #A but tested using the dataset with Configuration #B. * *Generalization Case #2 (GC#2):* The AI/ML model is trained using mixed datasets with both configurations and tested using the dataset with Configuration #B.   2 Companies can choose which case they compare with and should report it with simulation results.  3 Generalization issues on RRM measurement prediction are prioritized.  4 Start the study with generalization issue with RRM measurement prediction in temporal domain. Companies can chose to study frequency domain prediction cases and report what they have simulated.  5 Study generalization over UE speeds  6 The simulation assumption of FR1 temporal domain case B is reused for generalization study with 3 UE speeds i.e. 30Km/h, 60Km/h and 90Km/h. FFS on combinations  7 The simulation assumption of FR2 temporal domain case A is reused for generalization study with 3 UE speeds i.e. 60Km/h, 90Km/h and 120Km/h. FFS on combinations |

**Further agreements on generalization**

1. Companies that would like to study inter-frequency generalization can start with input 2GHz and output 4GHz, and 4GHz to 2GHz. FFS if we introduce a third frequency.
2. Study model generalization across different cell configurations (e.g. ISD, gNB height, power, beam pattern, etc). FFS which parameters we prioritize.

# 2 Discussion

The following is hopefully a complete list of all the proposals relevant to the present discussion made in contributions submitted to RAN2#128:

* R2-2409652
  + Proposal 3: Study AI/ML generalization from cell perspective for intra-frequency RRM measurement prediction, i.e., whether the model trained based on one cell’s data can also be applied to another cell’s intra-frequency RRM measurement prediction.
* R2-2409668
  + Proposal 1: The following factors are considered in the generalization study for RRM prediction:
    - Scenarios, including deployment scenarios (e.g., UMa, UMi), ISD (e.g., 500m, 200m), UE speed (e.g., 30/90 km/h for goal 1 and 60/120 km/h for goal 2), gNB height (e.g., 25m, 10m), and UE height (e.g., 1m, 1.5m).
    - Configurations (parameters and settings), including gNB settings (e.g., DL Tx beam codebook), UE parameters (e.g., Rx beam number), set B of beam (pairs) for spatial domain prediction, and sample period/measurement period.
* R2-2409829
  + Proposal 5: RAN2 to study generalization issue on RRM measurement prediction in frequency domain with different frequency combinations.
  + Proposal 6: RAN2 to verify the impact of including source/target frequency information as input of AI/ML to study generalization issue on RRM measurement prediction in frequency domain with different frequency combinations
* R2-2409869
  + Proposal 14: RAN2 use the definition of model generalization:
    - Model generalization, i.e., using one model that is generalizable to different scenarios/configurations/areas.
  + Proposal 16: RAN2 consider to study the impact of setting(scenarios/configurations/areas) on model generalization, including UE speed, beam pattern, and the collection area of data.
  + Proposal 18: To evaluate the impact of data collection area, considering following options:
    - Model trained with dataset of one certain cell, apply inference on certain cell (one model for one cell).
    - Model trained with dataset of cluster(all cells), apply inference on any one cell of the cluster (one model for cluster);
    - Model trained with dataset of one cell, apply inference on any other of cells within the cluster (one model for cluster);
* R2-2409972
  + Proposal 7: model generalization refers to generalization across cells with potentially different configuration. It is evaluated in a limited number of scenarios of high priority for RRM measurement prediction.
  + Proposal 9: to use field data for mode generalization study; to discuss simulation assumptions to generate an environment with multiple different cell configurations (for model generalization study).
* R2-2410023
  + Proposal 3
    - Study the generalization aspects according to the prediction domain of the use cases.
      * For Case 2 and 4 (temporal domain), the aspects are
        + UE speed,
        + Temporal domain configurations (measurement period, observation window length, prediction window length).
      * For Case 3 (frequency domain), the aspect is
        + The carrier frequencies and frequency gap between the two bands.
      * For Case 6 (spatial domain), the aspects are
        + TXRU mapping, tilt angle, and SSB/CSI-RS beam number and pattern.
* R2-2410263
  + Proposal 3 For generalization purposes, the following parameters can be prioritized in the study:
    - UE speed: the AI/ML model is trained using a certain UE speed and the AI/ML model performs inference for a UE with a different speed, including stationary UEs.
    - Frequency: The AI/ML model is trained using a certain frequency, but the inference is done using another frequency.
    - Cell size: the AI/ML model is trained for small cells, but the inference is done for large cells.
  + Proposal 8 For generalization evaluation over cell size:
    - Training dataset is small cells, and inference dataset is large cells.
    - Training dataset is large cells and inference dataset is small cells.
* R2-241054
  + Proposal 4: To verify how well the AIML model can be generalized, RAN2 should check the following (a prioritization discussion is expected):
    - how well the model trained in one frequency (e.g. 2GHz) performs in another one (e.g. 4GHz)
    - how well the model trained in a certain deployment scenario performs on another one, e.g. UMa, UMi deployments, different ISDs
    - how well a model trained in a certain cell configuration perform in another one, e.g. gNB/UE antenna heights, different UE/gNB port settings, different number of beams etc.
    - how well the same model works for different prediction window lengths
* R2-2410800
  + Proposal 3: For generalization performance verification, consider the following scenario/configuration:
    - Scenarios:
      * Various deployment scenarios, e.g., UMa, UMi and others; e.g., 200m ISD or 500m ISD and others; e.g., same deployment, different cells with different configuration/assumption; e.g., gNB height and UE height;
      * Various UE mobility, e.g., 30km/h, 60km/h, 90km/h, and 120km/h.
    - Configurations (parameters and settings):
      * Various UE parameters, e.g., number of UE Rx beams, UE antenna configuration, measurement period, L3 filtering parameter, system bandwidth
      * Various gNB settings, e.g., the number of BS Tx beams, BS Antenna configuration.
* R2-2410345
  + Proposal 2: For intra-frequency temporal prediction, the following aspects can be considered to verify the generalization performance of an AI/ML model over various configurations:
    - UE speed (e.g. 30km/h, 60km/h, 90km/h, etc.)
    - the number of TX/RX (e.g. 1/1,1/2,1/4 for FR1, 8/4,16/4,32/4 for FR2)
    - MRRT for FR1 (e.g. 50%, 80%)
    - OW/PW (e.g. 5/1, 4/1, 1/1, 1/2, etc.)

Based on the proposals listed above, it appears that the following would be a fair summary of all the cell configuration parameters proposed for the generalization study (with comments from the moderator):

1. deployment scenarios/channel model

* Moderator’s comments:
  + Only UMa and UMi have been agreed so far
  + Furthermore, UMa was agreed for FR1 and UMi for FR2

1. ISD

* Moderator’s comments:
  + Only 500m and 200m have been agreed so far
  + Furthermore, 500m was agreed for FR1 and 200m for FR2

1. BS antenna height (e.g., 25m, 10m)

* Moderator’s comments:
  + Only 10m and 25m have been agreed so far
  + Furthermore, 25m has been agreed for FR1 and 10m for FR2

1. BS antenna configuration

* Moderator’s comments:
  + In currently agreed spreadsheets, the following is used for FR1
    - 32 ports: (8,8,2,1,1,2,8), (dH,dV) = (0.5, 0.8)λ
    - 16 ports: (8,4,2,1,1,2,4), (dH,dV) = (0.5, 0.8)λ
  + In currently agreed spreadsheets, the following is used for FR2
    - Antenna setup and port layouts at gNB: (4, 8, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1), (dV, dH) = (0.5, 0.5) λ

1. BS antenna radiation pattern

* Moderator’s comments:
  + In currently agreed spreadsheets, the following is used for FR1
    - 3-sector antenna radiation pattern, 8 dBi
  + In currently agreed spreadsheets, the following is used for FR2
    - TR 38.802 Table A.2.1-6

1. BS antenna tilt

* Moderator’s comments:
  + No explicit agreements in RAN2

1. Number of Tx beams

* Moderator’s comments:
  + 1,2 and 4 have been agreed for FR1
  + 8, 16, and 32 have been agreed for FR2

1. BS Tx power

* Moderator’s comments:
  + This is my interpretation of the proposal to generalize across “small vs large cells”
  + In currently agreed spreadsheets 44 dBm is used for FR1 and 40 dBm for FR2

1. Usage of field data:

* Moderator’s comments:
  + This is not strictly speaking a network configuration parameter, but it sort of incorporates all of them

### Question 1

**Question 1: which of the parameters listed above you prefer to use for the generalization across different cell configurations study? Please try to limit your response to the lowest number of parameters you can accept. Please consider providing additional technical details for your favourite parameters in the comments column, if needed.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Preferred parameters | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

### Question 2

**Question 2: any additional parameters not listed above we should consider? If you chose to suggest an additional parameter, please provide a technical justification.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Additional parameters | Comments |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Proposals

[TBD]
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