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# 1 Introduction

This paper summarizes the post meeting email discussion as below.

* [POST128][023][NR Other] NR\_BWP (Vivo)

 Intended outcome: Agree how to capture the intention of the CR

 Deadline: long email

Please fill in the contact information in the table below

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Contact Person** | **Email Address** |
| vivo | Chenli | chenli5g@vivo.com |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 2. Discussion

This issue was discussed in RAN2#128 meeting as below:

|  |
| --- |
| [R2-2409778](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Cpanidx%5COneDrive%20-%20InterDigital%20Communications%2C%20Inc%5CDocuments%5C3GPP%20RAN%5CTSGR2_128%5CDocs%5CR2-2409778.zip) Correction on UE capability on ncd-SSB-BWP-Wor-r18 vivo, Qualcomm Incorporated, Guangdong Genius CR Rel-18 38.306 18.3.0 1204 - F NR\_BWP\_wor-CoreR2-2411153 Correction on UE capability on ncd-SSB-BWP-Wor-r18 vivo, Qualcomm Incorporated, Guangdong Genius CR Rel-18 38.306 18.3.0 1204 1 F NR\_BWP\_wor-Core* Agree to address the issue but need to discuss further how to solve it in the best way
* The CR is postponed
* [POST128][023][NR Other] NR\_BWP (Vivo)

 Intended outcome: Agree how to capture the intention of the CR Deadline: long email |

During the offline discussion in the meeting, some companies think it is better to add some description in stage-2 specification. In this way, I have provided two options as below. Companies are invited to provide comments. Thanks.

* **Option 1: update the description in TS 38.306 (same as what we submitted in RAN2#128 with some editorial changes).** Please provide detailed comments on the wording the corresponding CR.
* **Option 2: add the description in TS 38.300.** Please provide detailed comments on the wording the corresponding CR.
* **Option 3: please specify it, if any.**

***Question 1:* Companies are invited to provide preference/comment.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Option(s)** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

***Question 2: If companies prefer option 2, do companies agree to remove the corresponding description in TS 38.306 as shown in the draft CR for Option 2?***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Company** | **Yes/No** | **Comment** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3. Conclusion

Based on the discussion above, we propose that
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