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# 1 Introduction

This email discussion is to try to reach conclusion on the remaining UE capabilities for Mobility enhancements.

* [Post126][514][R18MobE] UE capabilities Open Issues (intel)

Scope: progress open issues

Intended outcome: Report, TP/draftCRs if applicable

Deadline: Long

The meeting minutes provides more details of this email discussion:

* Long email discussion on the FFS and possibly other points (can take into account late LSes from other groups).

RAN2 had sent an LS R2-2400385 [1] to RAN1 and RAN4 asking the following questions:

**Question 1 :** Are the above intra-frequency and inter-frequency L1 measurement and reporting features (45-1 and 45-1a) prerequisites to support intra-frequency and inter-frequency LTM, respectively?

**Question 2:** The above features, 45-1 and 45-1a, from RAN1 and related RAN4 features (39-1, 39-2, 39-3-1, 39-3-2, 39-3-3, 39-3-4, 39-3-5, 39-3-6) are defined per BC for both intra-frequency and inter-frequency measurements. RAN2 would like check with RAN1/4 for which BC (e.g. BC of current serving cells, BC including current serving cells and cell to be measured or something else) these capabilities are to be considered for L1 intra-frequency and inter-frequency LTM measurements?

While RAN2 was waiting for the LS response, RAN2 made the following agreements in R2-126:

* The LTM L1 measurement capability and LTM capabilities are decoupled
* As the LTM L1 measurement capability and LTM capabilities are decoupled:

1. A UE which reports LTM capability without 45-1 may not perform L1 measurement reporting, and it is up to network implementation how to trigger the LTM execution.

2. The granularity is FFS, e.g. whether LTM capability is divided into two separate capabilities for inter-frequency and intra-frequency.

RAN1 did not send an LS response but made some conclusions from the discussions. RAN4 sent RAN2 an LS response in R4-2410303 [2] (not yet handled by RAN2). As RAN1 and RAN4 were only able to reach partial agreement on the questions in the LS, this email discussion is to get company views in RAN2 and attempt to see if agreements can be made on these. Sections 2.1 and 2.2 cover these LTM L1 questions in the LS.

The granularity for RAN2 LTM capabilities that were dependent on L1 measurement capabilities were removed from the specs last meeting and needs to be re-discussed based on the new understanding of the LTM L1 measurements questions above. This is discussed in section 2.3.

# 2 Discussion

## 2.1 Dependency of LTM cell switch on LTM L1 measurements

The first question to RAN1/4 was:

**Question 1 :** Are the above intra-frequency and inter-frequency L1 measurement and reporting features (45-1 and 45-1a) prerequisites to support intra-frequency and inter-frequency LTM, respectively?

RAN1’s conclusion was:

|  |
| --- |
| **Conclusion:** There is no consensus in RAN1 in regards to Question 1. At this point, RAN1 will not revisit question 1 and leaves final determination to other RAN WGs. |

RAN4’s response was:

|  |
| --- |
| **Reply to the Question 1:**  For whether intra-frequency and inter-frequency L1 measurements and reporting are prerequisites to support intra-frequency and inter-frequency LTM, RAN4 analysed RAN4 requirements and achieved following agreements   * For FR1, RAN4 Rel-18 related LTM requirements are applicable to the case without L1 measurement and reporting of LTM candidate cells. * For FR2, RAN4 Rel-18 related LTM requirements are not applicable if L1 measurements for LTM candidate cells are not supported by the UE, or not configured by the network. |

RAN1 was not able to reach an agreement and has left it to other groups and RAN4 has a response that LTM measurements are a prerequisite for FR2 but not for FR1.

While RAN2 had a tentative agreement while waiting for the RAN1/4 response that L1 measurements are not a prerequisite for LTM cell switch, rapporteur believes that RAN4 response will overrule that.

**Question 1: Do companies agree to go with the RAN4 response that LTM L1 measurements are a prerequisite for FR2 but not for FR1.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | Justification if “no” |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.2 Granularity of the L1 measurement capability

On granularity of the L1 measurement capability, the question from RAN2 to RAN1/4 in [1] was:

**Question 2:** The above features, 45-1 and 45-1a, from RAN1 and related RAN4 features (39-1, 39-2, 39-3-1, 39-3-2, 39-3-3, 39-3-4, 39-3-5, 39-3-6) are defined per BC for both intra-frequency and inter-frequency measurements. RAN2 would like check with RAN1/4 for which BC (e.g. BC of current serving cells, BC including current serving cells and cell to be measured or something else) these capabilities are to be considered for L1 intra-frequency and inter-frequency LTM measurements?

RAN1 had the following conclusion:

|  |
| --- |
| **Conclusion:** There is no consensus in RAN1 in regards to Question 2 at this point. It is RAN1’s understanding that RAN2 can implement this FG as is, and RAN1 will continue discussion at RAN1 #118. |

RAN4 provided the following response:

|  |
| --- |
| **Reply to the Question 2:**  RAN4 discussed the following options. Option 1 is supported by majority companies in RAN4, but RAN4 cannot reach consensus on option 1. Meanwhile, RAN4 discussed the compromised option in Option 3, and the feasibility and details are up to RAN2.   * Option 1: The BC granularity is BC of serving cells. * Option 2: The BC granularity is BC including serving cells and candidate cells to be measured. * Option 3: The UE capability is based on option 1 and introduce additional UE capability to accommodate option 2.   From RAN4 perspective, the UE capabilities can be implemented into the specification based on RAN2 conclusion. |

On this, neither RAN1 nor RAN4 was able to reach an agreement. RAN4 provided 3 options and seems to have left it to RAN2. RAN1 may discuss it further.

As the majority in RAN4 preferred option 1 (The BC granularity is BC of serving cells), we can try to see that would be acceptable for companies in RAN2. Option 3 is not clear to the rapporteur.

**Q2: For L1 measurements, would it be acceptable for companies to go with RAN4 “Option 1: The BC granularity is BC of serving cells”?**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Yes/No | If “No”, please indicate which option would be acceptable to you and the justification. If option 3, please also provide details of option 3. |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

## 2.3 Granularity of RAN2 LTM capability

At least for FR1, there is no dependency on L1 measurements according to RAN4 and hence RAN2 need to decide on the granularity of the RAN2 LTM MCG/SCG capabilities. Some possible options are listed below.

Option 1) split LTM capabilities (for MCG and SCG) into per UE intra and inter-frequency capabilities each as suggested in [3]

Option 2) Define LTM capabilities (for MCG and SCG) per band and UE shall set the capability value consistently for all FDD-FR1 bands, all TDD-FR1 bands, all TDD-FR2-1 bands and all TDD-FR2-2 bands (as done for many other HO capabilities)

Option 3) Define LTM capabilities (for MCG and SCG) per serving cell BC.

Option 4) Option 2 or 3 plus option 1 (e.g., have an additional intra and inter-frequency capability bit that will be applicable intra-FR1, FR2 etc. )

**Q3: Please provide your preference for LTM capabilities (for MCG and SCG) – which of the above options or any other new option.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Company | Option # or new option | Comments/justification |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

# 3 Any other comments/new capabilities

**Q4: Please use the table below to provide any other comments not covered by the above questions related to L1 measurements or associated RAN2 capabilities. E.g., any new split for other capabilities/new capabilities etc.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Company | Comments/justification |
|  |  |
|  |  |

# 4 Summary and proposals

TBD
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